

TRADITIONAL VS SOCIAL MEDIA: A PHENOMENOLOGY OF INFLUENCES ON POLITICAL IDEOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT IN ADOLESCENTS***Genevieve Andersen**

2373 rue Nicolas-Pinel, App. 412 Sainte-foy, Quebec, G1V 4J1, United States

Received 20th January 2021; **Accepted** 16th February 2021; **Published online** 18th March 2021

Abstract

Increased political polarization in the United States has been examined in both Congress and the general adult populous, but has rarely been investigated in adolescent interactions. Blame for partisanship has landed on both traditional and social media however, the lack of regulation surrounding social media makes it unprecedented territory in its affect on political polarization. The age group that generally uses social media for news and political content is primarily adolescents, meaning the effects of social media are both unknown and mainly impacting those whose political ideas are still in the developmental stage. The goal in my inquiries was to analyze the difference between social media and traditional media's effect on teen Political Ideological Development (PID) the progression of internalized biases and hostility in adolescents. My findings show that current political hostility in the classroom is higher than any previous generation interviewed. Accounts of social sorting, stereotyping, and perceived political hostility show the creation of an adverse learning setting. By comparing traditional media's effect on teen's PID from previous generations to current teen's PID, I have concluded that social media is creating enough of an impact on PID to alter our cycle of polarization severely, even if it has not been definitively shown yet. Both teens and teachers surveyed were in support of the implementation of "social media interpretation" education in the social studies curriculum. Additional proposed solutions all urged administrative intervention on political disrespect and hostility in what is meant to be in a safe and controlled learning environment. Through expansion of my research and awareness of posts and discourse, it may be possible to curb the negative effects and emphasize the positive aspects of social media use.

Keywords: PID, Phenomenology

INTRODUCTION

Increased political polarization in Congress and the general public seems to align with the advent of social media. Events such as the 2019 government shutdown highlight Congressional partisanship that has led to unsettling gridlock. As a truly representative democracy could be at stake, it is important to understand how partisanship among citizens mirrors congressional discord. As Ronald Brownstein asserts in *The Second Civil War*, "extreme partisanship has produced a toxic environment...that disenfranchises the millions of Americans attracted to pragmatic compromise" (2007). According to the Pew Research Center, the gap between political values of Democrats and Republicans is now larger than at any point in Pew Research Center history (Mitchell, 2017). The cause of this polarization has been tied to many different sources. Traditional media is a linkage institution a system that connects the government to the people that has been examined for spurring hyper partisanship. Legislation and court decisions have extended first amendment rights for traditional media, resulting in more biased news sources and possible misinformation, as well as a lack of consistency across the ideological news spectrum. However, traditional media still has an important filter: a large portion of the population doesn't have access to their own news show or newspaper to spread their ideals. Social media has also become a prevalent linkage institution for constituents and representatives, and can be an accessible way to find information about candidates, parties, and current events. However, it is also easier to spread misinformation to a significant audience. Many social media programming setups can create an "echo-chamber", and only share information with citizens that strengthens their political ideology.

Another factor differentiating social media from traditional media is the age group it attracts. Approximately 81% of teenagers use social media (Anderson and Jiang, 2018). Because of this, more than half of teens get news from platforms such as Instagram, Facebook, and Twitter (Common Sense Media, 2019). As teens develop their political ideologies, social media may affect their exposure to information and thus affect socialization towards polarizing issues. Consequently, teens could be developing polarized views at a younger age, affecting future polity tremendously. As such, it is important to develop a better understanding of the difference between the influence of social media and traditional media on teens' development of political ideology. This leads to the question: Since the rise of social media, how has social media affected teen's political ideological development? To answer, I must identify the history and effects of traditional and social media, define terms imperative to my research, and analyze teenage use of social media.

Integral Definitions**Political Socialization and Efficacy versus Political Ideological Development (PID)**

The factor most frequently measured in the political development of adolescents is "political socialization." Socialization alludes to political participation, referring to one's participation in voting, discourse, protests, and political efficacy. As defined by the Bill of Rights Institute, "Political efficacy is one's individual sense of how effective one's vote will be in influencing the political process" (Cjanson, 2018.) Although political socialization is a credible term for the development of political mindsets, the way it is used in existing literature does not apply to my research. The context

and type of political discussion is largely overlooked in literature studying political ideology development political participation is often forced in a classroom setting through discussion. Additionally, political ideals are harder to measure in teens simply because most can't vote in elections. Current understanding would benefit from looking into the progression of internalized biases and hostility in adolescent discussion, or for the purposes of this paper, Political Ideological Development (PID).

Traditional Media

There are important distinctions between traditional and social media. As defined by *The Encyclopedia of Mobile Phone Behavior*, traditional media is "Any form of mass communication available before the advent of digital media. This includes television, radio, [and] newspapers" (IGI Global, 2015). An essential factor in considering traditional media is the age group that consumes it. As Figure 1 shows below, Americans over 30 tend to use traditional media, while younger citizens rely more on social media as a news source. Unlike social media, traditional media is constantly scrutinized for validity, as seen with the recent "Fake News" phenomenon. This is due to how traditional media is produced, with a small population (journalists, news channels, etc) funneling news to a large audience.




Figure 1. Percentage of each age group (starting at 18 years) who often get news on each platform, July 30-Aug 12, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/12/10/social-media-outpaces-print-newspapers-in-the-u-s-as-a-news-source/>, Copyright 2018 by Pew Research Center

Social Media

Social media is defined by *A Dictionary of Social Media* as a "Website and/or application that enables users to create and share content or to participate in social networking," and is used more by younger generations, specifically teens (Chandler, 2019). 72% of teens use social media (Fineran, 2019), and the majority "use it for primarily [news] educational purposes and secondly for entertainment" (Erdogan, 2017.) While traditional media is often scrutinized for legitimacy, social media is perceived as a marketplace of ideas, where anyone can spread content to a large audience. Contrary to traditional media, social media eliminates the filter of a smaller source, as anyone can share anything.

Evolution and Biases of Traditional Media

Legislation and Standards: Traditional media was initially extensively regulated, beginning with the Radio Act of 1927. The act defined the role of the media as to serve the public interest, as the act only validated broadcasting licenses to those

who did so (U.S Congress, 1927). It later was enforced by the Communications Act of 1934 (S. 3285, 1934). Expounding on both acts was the Fairness Doctrine in 1949, found in a report entitled *In the Matter of Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees* (FCC Rept 1246, 1949). The Fairness Doctrine's objective was to ensure that different opinions on controversial issues are equally shared. It was repealed in 1987 due to claims of First Amendment violations for broadcasters, and can be correlated with the "explosion of partisan talk radio and television" in the late 1980s and early 1990s (Matthews, 2011). Since then, biases have become more prevalent in television. A provision of the Communications Act of 1934, the "Equal Time Rule," still stands and is referred to as "the closest thing in broadcast content regulation to the 'golden rule'" (Gill, 2018). This Equal Time Rule requires radio and television stations to treat political candidates equally in terms of air time (Communications Act, 1934). However, in 1959, Congress provided the first exemptions to the rule for regularly scheduled newscasts, news interviews shows, documentaries (unless the documentary is about a candidate), and on-the-spot news events (Gill, 2011). Overall, while TV is still more federally regulated than social media is, the strength of those regulations have decreased over time.

Traditional Media and Polarization: An important consideration in reviewing polarization in traditional media is the difference between cable and network news. As said by *Cultivating Political Incivility: Cable News, Network News, and Public Perceptions*, "Whereas network television has always attempted to target their news products toward the center of the political aisle...cable eschews this practice" (York, 2013). Since the 1980s, cable news has focused on an "event commentator format," which feels less objective and could be contributing to distrust of certain news sources. According to Pew Research Center, 81% of consistent liberals distrust Fox News, while 88% of consistent conservatives trust it wholly (Mitchell, 2018). A 2017 study in *American Economic Review* additionally estimates that removing Fox News from cable television during the 2000 election cycle would have reduced the overall Republican presidential vote share by 0.46 percentage points. The predicted effect increases in 2004 and 2008 to 3.59 and 6.34 percentage points, respectively. The study found that "The cable news channels' potential for influence on election outcomes would be substantially larger as biases strengthen" (Martin, 2017). While traditional media is more regulated than social media in terms of fairness and exposure, the rise of cable media biases has still led to increased polarization. It is possible that traditional media has since negatively affected PID in adolescents, but the remaining governance of traditional media makes it less likely. Given the fact that there are still some regulations in place with traditional media, and adolescents today consume more social media than traditional media, it is important to also look into the influence of social media platforms.

Evolution and Biases of Social Media

Differences from Traditional Media The "Fake News" Phenomenon: Whereas debates have surrounded traditional media for over 200 years, social media is new to both its recipients and law. Social media has allowed individuals to spread any news they desire, creating a societal fear of misinformation and provoking blame comparable to that seen in 1950s McCarthyism. According to *Echo Chambers in The Age of Misinformation*, digital misinformation has become so

“prevalent in online social media that it has been listed by the World Economic Forum as one of the main threats to human society.” (Del Vicario, 2015). Regulation of social media has proven difficult. “Fake news”, according to Yale Law, would typically fall into the category of public discourse and receive substantial First Amendment protection, regardless of its accuracy (Yale Law, 2017). The Supreme Court has also held that false speech enjoys full First Amendment protection, as seen in *US v. Alvarez* (2012). This has led to a protective barrier around social media’s fake news anomaly, where the main legal recourse against spread of misinformation is a defamation suit. However, defamation suits are only applicable if it’s proven that the outlet acted with “actual malice” (Haskins, 2019), which is difficult for an average citizen and usually only applies to public figures. This safety barrier around social media compares strikingly with the legislation that has surrounded traditional news.

Social Media and Polarization: Besides lack of regulation, researchers have considered other factors to help explain how social media could possibly affect teen PID. Since social media is a new medium, no theories or studies are especially conclusive, and researchers still dispute whether it has a positive or negative affect on political polarization. However, several factors seem to contribute to social media’s negative effects on polarization.

Echo Chambers: Social media programs can produce “echo chambers” for users. Media algorithms that track user interests create environments where the user only encounters beliefs or opinions that match their own. This furthers their confirmation bias and strengthens extreme ideas. According to Edward Kessler in *Social Media and the Movement of Ideas*, “The ‘one-way conversation’ is becoming the norm and examples of genuine public dialogue have diminished significantly” (Kessler, 2013). Furthermore, echo chambers may be significant enough to severely affect adolescent PID.

Virtuous Circles Thesis and Reinforcing Spiral Model: The Virtuous Circles Thesis (VCT) is extremely applicable to social media’s effect on adolescent PID. According to the VCT, “the most politically knowledgeable, trusting, and participatory are most likely to tune in to public-affairs coverage. And those most attentive to coverage of public affairs become more engaged in civic life” (Norris, 2000). It is possible that social media only attracts citizens who are already politically interested and active, and thus plays a role in amplifying strong beliefs. When combined with the VCT, the Reinforcing Spiral Model (RSM) also suggests social media is detrimental to polarization. The RSM proposes that media consumption influences the beliefs of media users. In turn, the beliefs of media users influence their media consumption. Over time, the two influences will work cohesively, influencing the user into more extreme versions of their original opinions (Slater, 2007). These opinions are then shared through social media, influencing others. If RSM is combined with the Virtuous Circles Thesis, it is possible that “a divide between politically engaged and non-engaged citizens emerges” (Kruikemeier, 2016), as well as division between political ideologies.

Value, Gap, and Hypothesis

Value: As teenagers develop political ideologies, the effect of social media could lead to further hostility in American

political culture, as well as political gridlock. If young people become more polarized, it will become extremely difficult to pass legislation, converse civilly, and coexist. Hostility from ideologically developed teens has already been shown to be detrimental in schools. According to *Teaching and Learning in the Age of Trump: Increasing Stress and Hostility in America’s High Schools*, political hostility in teens has led to an antagonistic learning environment, especially for minority groups. The study states, “Individuals who do harbor perspectives and racism and [sic] bigotry now feel empowered to offer their views more naturally in class discussions” (Rogers, 2017). Clarifying the influence of social media on a teen PID could help abate nationwide polarization. The *Role of Media Use in the Classroom and at Home in Improving News Consumption and Political Knowledge* (Vercellotti, 2017) described a course on how to interpret biases in traditional media that led to an increase in natural civil discussion. My research could help in developing a social media interpretation course, which could have the same positive effects as existing traditional media classes.

Gap: The majority of pre-existing research focuses on political efficacy and participation, creating a gap large enough that I felt the need to establish my own term. Because teens are not yet of voting age and because research on polarization is often funded by political campaigns that care primarily about election outcomes, there is very little research on the ways teen ideology is affected by social or traditional media. There is a lack of research on the PID of teens and its correlation with social media, especially with qualitative data. Usually, political polarization is measured quantitatively with voting statistics.. This can be seen in sources such as *It’s Partisan Time: Teens Forge Political Identities* (Lyons, 2004) and *#Polar Scores: Measuring partisanship using social media content* (Hemphill, 2016). As such, this study aims to provide qualitative data to enhance understanding of social media’s influence on teenage PID.




Figure 2. Hypothesis: Social Media and Adolescent PID

Hypothesis: Considering trends in existing literature, I hypothesize that social media is causing a more hostile PID in teens than traditional media has in the past. As an illustration of this study’s hypothesis, the flowchart in Figure 2 below shows how characteristics of PID (political efficacy, superiority, hostility, and sorting) could be affected by both social and traditional media. However, existing studies suggest there are more contributing factors to PID from social media

than traditional media, which is shown below. If my hypothesis is supported, increased polarization in teens from social media usage is leading to a hostile learning environment, as well as jeopardizing future bipartisanship.

METHODS

Inquiry Process

My research process was an embedded mixed-methods study that used a non-experimental, exploratory approach. After examining existing literature, I prioritized the collection of qualitative data, which is rarely used in the research community for measurement of polarization. Qualitative data would help determine how and why social media affects PID, whereas quantitative data would help compare polarization in both teens and adults. Research from *News Media Use and Political Engagement Among Adolescents* highlights the importance of qualitative data: “further consideration of how to best measure news use in today’s fragmented media environment is certainly warranted” (Kruikemeier, 2016). To understand how PID is affected by social media, I collected data from current teenagers, who have constant access to social media during their PID, and from adults, who didn’t have access to social media during their adolescence. My aim was to compare their PID and separate the nuances potentially caused by social media. I determined surveys would be the most effective method to collect data from both demographics. While interviews are more in-depth, surveys enable a larger number of people to participate.

A large sample size helps decrease bias and provide an overall idea of polarized ideals within the research group. The teen surveys and adult surveys were similar in the collection of quantitative data, including Likert scale forms. I modeled quantitative collection after quantitative-based studies such as *College Freshmen Are More Politically Divided Than Ever* (Glatter, 2017). The collection of qualitative data varied. The teen survey (see Appendix A) included more free-response questions based on how social media affects their political opinions, whereas the adult survey (see Appendix B) focused on what they believed was the most influential factor towards their PID as a teen. I sent out a secondary survey (see Appendix C) through social media itself to get a large representation of teen thoughts on solutions against political hostility. I also obtained permission to observe an AP Government senatorial debate simulation—the notes from that observation can be found in Appendix D. Additionally, I conducted an online interview with several teachers (see Appendix E for the questions), to gain a different perspective on political hostility in the classroom. Questions were loosely inspired by the study in *Teaching and Learning in the Age of Trump: Increasing Stress and Hostility in America’s High Schools* (Rogers, 2017.) Overall, my process of inquiry was a phenomenology that prioritized qualitative data.

Participants

At a local Midwestern, medium-sized suburban high school, I sent the adolescent survey through email to students aged 15-18 and received 190 responses. I received an additional 93 responses to the secondary survey sent through social media. My observations of the AP Government class senatorial simulation yielded additional qualitative data from 22 high school seniors and one junior. Finally, five teachers from the

Social Studies, English, Latin, and Student Services departments responded to my online interview. I distributed the adult survey through family members, Facebook, and teachers, and received 83 responses. The age of the respondents varied from 19 to 78. Although the survey was originally encouraged for adults of all political leanings, as survey data started trickling in, I noticed an extreme majority of the respondents were Democratic. To increase representation, I requested distribution assistance from self-proclaimed republicans in the community, having them share the survey with adults who are also conservative. In doing so, the number of republican respondents increased to 23 of 83 instead of 15. Overall, the number of participants in this study was around 278¹.

Ethical Research Practices

To ensure my data would be collected ethically, I submitted an Institutional Review Board (IRB) application to the local IRB board, which helped guarantee participants’ privacy and anonymity. With added assurances that respondents would remain anonymous, my application was approved, and I was able to commence my study and publish any significant findings.

Materials

I used the programs Google Forms and Instagram to create my surveys, and all were conducted and shared through either email or social media. I used a phone to record class discussion during my observation of the AP Government class and the teacher signed an informed consent form (Appendix F) to further ensure my research was ethical. For analysis of survey data, I used Google Sheets.

Data Collection

First, I had my high school principal send the teen survey to the entire student body. I then posted the adult survey on my Facebook account and encouraged adults who I knew were willing to share the survey with their peers. After receiving responses and beginning to notice trends in my initial data, I decided to further my analysis by observing an especially politically-charged class. After detailing my research to the students in the simulation, I recorded and took notes on the debate that included political “hot-button” issues, like prison reform, healthcare, and mental health. I made note of dialogue that consisted of hostility and compromise as well as physical observations (for example, eye-rolling). I then listened to the recording and documented quotes that characterized the debates as a whole (see Appendix G). I intended to do in-person interviews with teachers to garner a different viewpoint on political hostility in the classroom. However, due to the COVID-19 outbreak, I conducted online interviews with willing teachers. To do so, I created a Google form with only free-response questions asking about their experience with social media, students, and political hostility. During the online school period I also created a secondary survey for teens, which I sent out through Instagram and was focused on their ideas for curbing political hostility.

¹It should be acknowledged that the surveys were anonymous so ensuring there was no overlap between observation participants and survey participants is impossible. That said, the total number of participants would likely still be well over 200, even if all AP Government students also took the survey.

RESULTS

Media Usage: High School Survey

Overall, 57.1% of teens surveyed use social media as their main source of political content, while 29.1% use news applications on their phones and 13.8% use traditional sources of media. Of the teens that prefer traditional media as their main news source, the most common reason was a fear of misinformation. The teen traditional media responses are displayed in Figure 3 below, and noteworthy narrative explanations for this are included in Table 1 below further.




Figure 3. Student’s traditional media preferences

As for the teens who use social media as their main source of political content, 45.65% said they see the most political content from friends and family, 39.6% from news accounts, 6.9% from “trending” hashtags or pages, 6% from both friends, family, and news accounts, and 3% from advertisements. “Trending” hashtags and pages referenced include the Instagram explore page and the TikTok “For You” page. Both use algorithms to prepare content for users that cater to their shown interests. Answers are displayed in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Student’s Social Media Preferences

Although some students prefer traditional media over social media, the vast majority of adolescents surveyed use social media. When asked to rate their usage of social media on a scale of 1 (I do not have/use social media) to 4 (I use social media daily, and often I find myself checking social media almost every hour), the majority of students placed their social media use on the scale as a 3 or 4. This shows that although some students trust traditional media more than social media in terms of politics, exposure to politics through social media is still widespread. The entirety of the student’s rankings on the Likert scale is seen in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Student’s Social Media Usage

Although the majority of teens have high exposure to social media, and the majority of teens who use social media as their main political source tend to see the most political content from friends and family, only 37.4% post political content on their own accounts. This may be due to the fact that political content tends to incite negative responses. When asked their opinions on peers’ political content, 50.4% responded that political content most often spurs a negative change in their opinion towards the peer who posted. The full results can be seen in Figure 6, with narrative responses for this question represented in Table 2.




Figure 6. Students’ opinions on peer’s political content

Media Usage: Adult Survey

2.4% of adults surveyed used social media for political exposure in their teenage years. 61.2% of adults surveyed used television as their main source of political content. However, 52.2% of adults responded that the effects of media had minimal impact on the growth and development of their political views, which can be seen in Figure 7. A factor commonly referenced in narrative responses was the limited access to different channels, as well as that the news sources they watched were a way to learn information about both sides rather than being swayed with biased information. Narrative responses can be seen in Table 3.

Hostility in the Classroom

A large focus of my inquiry was on political hostility in the high school classroom before and after the advent of social media. 68.4% of teens surveyed have seen consistent hostility in school, 9.8% occasionally have, and 21.8% have not.

Table 1. Narrative Responses-Traditional Media Responses

Why do you prefer Traditional Media over Social Media?	Social Media is full of fake news and traditional media tends to be more reliable. However, it is becoming harder and harder to decipher the bias and reliability of the information we receive.
	Social media is filled with too many rash statements from people regarding politics, "fake woke" people and biased summaries of events. I'd rather read the facts from a reliable source and then form my own opinion, and not automatically conform to whatever harsh opinions I see on social media. Also, nobody on social media is willing to have a mature conversation about politics. It always escalates into insults and adds to group polarization regarding the political parties they identify with.
	Because social media news is usually perpetrated by ignorant individuals whereas, although it isn't perfect, traditional news requires a whole team or staff to be ignorant in a situation that is much less likely.

Table 2. Students' opinions on peer's political content

If seeing a peer post political content does it NORMALLY incite a positive or negative change in your opinion towards them?	If a friend posts something that goes extremely against my beliefs, especially if it's not something we've talked about in person, it kind of makes me feel like I don't really know them that well, and if it's about an issue that I feel morally connected to, it could even make me re-evaluate how closely I associate with that person.
	Maybe it's a bit aggressive, but if I see any classmate of mine post pro-Trump content publicly my opinion of them immediately plummets and I won't take what they say seriously anymore.
	Political views represent our moral values, our core beliefs. If someone shares something I believe to be ignorant or harmful, I do not want to be around them if they perpetuate that type of content.

Table 3. Media's Effect on Adult PID- Narrative Responses

How did (if at all) media affect the growth and development of your political ideas in your youth?/Why did you prefer the news source you did?	The effect of the media was more limited than today. We watched the national news on TV every night, which was limited to 30 minutes (including commercials). Newspapers were in print form only, so the news they provided was updated only once per day (if you read the morning paper, you had to wait until the next morning for news updates). We also read weekly news magazines such as Time, which had more in-depth articles but were updated only once per week.
	By supplying neutral information on which I based my opinions.
	It was the 70s. You watched the station with the best reception.
	I think the media explained enough about the differences between the different political parties and the views they represented.
	Parents had it on. Preference was not a choice. Only 3 channels.

Table 4 . Hostility in School-Teen Responses

Do you notice hostility in school when it comes to discussing politics?	I noticed that some people will get noticeably upset whenever someone has a different viewpoint from them. I've heard stories of students walking out of classrooms during debates when a story stated an opinion that others disagree with. I have also seen students make fun of the appearance of certain students when they had a different stance on abortion during a debate in health class.
	By some, and especially when it is an issue concerning identity politics.
	I, myself, tend to judge people if I see them make a social media post on a topic that I feel strongly about. It makes me want to not talk to them in school.




Figure 7. Media's Effect on Adult PID




Figure 8. Political Hostility in High School: Teens vs. Adults

Compared to responses from the adult survey, perceived hostility of any kind jumped significantly between adults and teens. The disparity can be seen in Figure 8. Teen narrative responses describing hostility include accounts of judgment, social sorting, criticism, and lack of discourse, and can be seen in Tables 4 and 5.

Political hostility was also evident during my observation of the AP Government Senatorial Simulation. The class discussed a variety of issues, including mental health, veterans care, Planned Parenthood, and prison reform. Students demonstrated not only partisan hostility, but also perceived political and civil superiority.

Table 5. How is Hostility in School Shown? Student Responses

How is this hostility shown, in your experience?	If someone's a democrat they might say a republican's an uneducated person and if someone's a republican they might say that a democrat's a snowflake, without even meeting the person or ever having a conversation with them.
	This one guy said, "I'm a conservative" in the middle of class once and everyone suddenly looked at him differently. The room got quieter. No one said anything though
	In my AP government class, we have discussions about current events and democratic debates. I have found that when people tend to have an opposing viewpoint that leans conservative, many people criticize and say that they are 'stupid'. Despite not saying it openly, I have heard many negative comments, yet in my opinion, people are inclined to speak what they believe.
	My classmates and myself included will talk poorly about people who share political opinions we don't agree with.
	People who I thought were good people have told me that if anyone is a Republican, they would immediately hate that person without giving them the benefit of the doubt, but yet I respect their opinions all of the time even though I don't agree with them. I am not given the same respect, so now I tend not to share my political opinions and no one actually knows what side I am on because of this. I try to just play along but it can be detrimental to one's mental health by holding all of this in on a daily basis.

Table 6. AP Government Observations

Hostile Quotes/Dialogue	Physical Observations
"Can we kick _____ out?"	Eye Rolling
"I start a motion to censor _____ from talking anymore."	Slamming Fists
"Don't bring in your opinion if you don't have solid examples."	Agreed consensus, if the bill wasn't proposed by their party they wouldn't pass
"You're talking too much."	Smirking/Laughing
"I'm not allowing any (democratic) bills to pass."	Whispers, Gossip
"You should KNOW where that is (in the constitution)"	Total shutdown of discourse, student putting head down
"If you don't take human rights seriously I'm not going to take you seriously."	Tears, leaving the classroom after student's bill budget was made fun of

Table 7. Teachers viewpoints-political hostility

Please analyze/discuss how you feel social media news sources and sharing has pertained to political hostility in your classroom, if at all.	I think we are lazy consumers and allow algorithms to shape the world we see. We also tend to associate with like-minded people and so experience confirmation bias.
	I think students absorb the culture of social media posts and videos. Internet celebrities have a large influence on their opinions. Internet and meme-culture is certainly caustic and pessimistic for the sake of humor. I see many students as highly opinionated with no interest in listening to opposing points of view, though arguing is enjoyable for some. My bias here is that I tend to disagree with most of my students' explicit philosophical and political views. It's also worth mentioning that many students do not really have explicit opinions. Many are apolitical.
	The language used in some political sources shared on social media sometimes comes up in class discussion, which can sometimes be offensive.
	I think that in our society we see a lot more hostile discourse through social media than we do in person. It is much easier to voice hostile comments and beliefs through social media when you are not face-to-face than it is in person (it has become a wall to hide behind for many people). I think that in my class, students are hesitant to be hostile towards each other in an in-person conversation like a Socratic Seminar, but as soon as class is over, I have overheard students speaking poorly about each other behind their backs and have had to address this.

Table 8. Support of Social Media Interpretation Class

Would you be in favor of incorporating social media interpretation classes into your high school social studies curriculum to curb political hostility and stereotyping in your school? Why/Why not?	Social Media is so prevalent in our lives and dominates a lot of our political culture and I think it's important that we learn how to perceive others' opinions and social media posts especially. It can be hard to interpret things online when people are only focusing on their own beliefs when it's so easy to do with social media.
	We need to learn how to have respectful discourse online, as well as knowing how to weed out fake and misleading posts.
	Education about it should have been implemented as soon as it started.
	I observed an elementary school that had a class called 'digital citizenship'. I don't know much about it but I think it's very important in this very digital world.
	In theory, it sounds good, but I doubt most people would take it seriously and it'd end up being a joke.
	Social Studies is a lot about learning about the world around us and how it came to be that way. It's also about what our current world is like and understanding the why's to situations so learning how to interpret social media, which is such a big part of our lives, should be a part of that.
	As developing adults without a ton of real-world experience, we turn to social media for news and information. Biased news will no doubt infiltrate our conscience and shape our opinions.

Table 9. Students' Proposed Solutions to Political Hostility

Is there any other/better way to try and stop political hostility in school and encourage students to emphasize respect in their opinions?	Maybe instead of "democrats club" and "conservatives club" you just have a politics club so we're focused on solving our problems, not fighting about them.
	A class/unit seems to be the best because it's attached to a grade, which seems to be the easiest way to make people care.
	The same idea, but I think having an emphasis on how to have respectful dialogue while disagreeing is the most important part.
	More discussion-based learning, and understanding the root beliefs of both parties in an unbiased way. More encouraged political discourse in students who might not have a passion for it/have different opinions.

Students exhibited hostility towards other students, even if they had the same political leanings, based on their constitutional and political knowledge. Further observations are seen in Table 6. After observing the AP Government class, I included questions about perceived political superiority in my online teacher interviews. However, only the social studies teacher clearly recognized this specific type of hostility. They cited current events, Article I section VIII and other specific constitutional clauses as points of hostility in students. Other interviewees noted general political hostility caused by social media, as seen in Table 7.

Respondents' Proposed Solutions

After my initial inquiries, I concluded that enough political hostility was shown in my data that collecting more data and ideas would prove beneficial. Every teacher interviewed supported some sort of social media interpretation class, whether it was a unit in an already established class, or a new curriculum altogether. 90% of students surveyed said they would support a social media interpretation class in their social studies curriculum, and 64% believe it should be mandatory. Student reasoning can be seen in Table 8, and other proposed ideas for solutions to political hostility are in Table 9.

DISCUSSION

Limitations

Surveys and Location: My ability to conduct research was limited by the pool of respondents available to me. The majority of students surveyed were from a single school with required civics education classes and testing—curriculum that may affect teen PID and is discussed further in the Conclusion. Only 17 states require mandatory civics testing in high school (Shapiro, 2018), so results may have been different with nationwide access to student respondents. Additionally, both the school and adult populace surveyed were predominantly located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. This region has strong democratic leanings (Politics & Voting in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2018) which led to some biased responses that proved difficult to sort. I attempted to mitigate the civics education issue by posting the social media interpretation classes poll on Instagram, where students nationwide had access to the poll. To acquire data from all sides of the political spectrum, I reached out to self-proclaimed conservative voters in my community and asked them to share the survey with like-minded people.

COVID-19: I was also limited by the time allotted for data collection, which initially was about 3 months and was cut shorter by the COVID-19 outbreak. The outbreak hindered my plans for in-person discussion groups with both students and teachers. Online surveys are more effective in garnering a large number of responses. However, they eliminate the chance to ask follow-up questions and are likely to receive less authentic responses, sometimes due to misinterpretation of the question asked. The number of responses from the online teacher interview were lower than expected, but extremely detailed.

High School Political Research & Internal Biases: My age and identity were also constraints on data collection and analysis. As a high school student, I risk not being taken as seriously by participants. Additionally, I have developed my own political biases and have most likely had my PID affected by social media as well. To counteract these limits, I established credibility by communicating professionally, gathering informed consent, and researching existing literature on polarization in social media. I strived to separate my personal political beliefs from my data analysis, as well as establish enough credibility to receive authentic responses from the majority of responses.

Conclusion

My research shows that high school students today are facing more political hostility by far than any other generation surveyed. Additionally, teens are relying heavily on social media as a news source, far more than previous teens relied on traditional media. This is due to the fact that, according to respondents, social media is far more accessible than traditional media. Traditional media may require paid subscriptions or networks that can be unaffordable. The data demonstrates the increased polarization influenced by social media, as student respondents mentioned negative responses to political posts against their ideas, which connects to the Virtuous Circles Thesis discussed earlier. Student respondents also noted social sorting, gossip, and stereotyping as evidence of polarization in schools. Signs of perceived political superiority were higher than expected, where students have

experienced judgement simply based on their breadth of knowledge of politics. This ties heavily back to the gap between civically engaged/unengaged students predicted by the VCT and Reinforcing Spirals Model. I can further conclude that the VCT and RSM are causing gaps even between similarly engaged students because of observed perceived political superiority in advanced social studies classes. In these classes, the majority of students are civically engaged and political, yet perceived superiority was still observed. The vast majority of teens surveyed were in support of curbing hostility and recognized it as a serious issue. It seems the increase of social media use for politics has created a more hostile learning environment. This may prove detrimental to future discourse and problem solving as they develop into adults. My data revealed additional factors I hadn't predicted. My hypothesis stated that social media has caused an increase in political efficacy and participation. In actuality, adult survey respondents referenced protests and campaigning more often than teen respondents. This could be because of the phenomenon of "slacktivism", where people "support a cause by performing simple measures" but "are not truly engaged or devoted to making a change" (Muslic, 2019). Another factor affecting current teen PID is the evolution of civics education classes. A very small number of adults surveyed had civics classes as a teen, so it is possible the amount of civics education could affect PID more than social media. It's also possible civics education classes are just bringing out political hostility rather than causing it, given the goal of civics classes isn't to create opinion but broaden knowledge. Either way, my conclusions connect the rise in social media usage to the rise of political hostility in schools, showing the detrimental effect social media has on teen PID.

Further Research

My research and findings have opened multiple doors for future research. Both teens and teachers supported efforts to curb political hostility in the school. Most recommendations from teens included intervention by school administration, including regulation of clubs and emphasis on respectful discussion. The most common recommendation across the board was the installation of social media interpretation education. Ideas about how to implement this curriculum, however, were varied. I recommend further research on the most effective implementation and method of teaching social media interpretation, and how this may affect teen PID. Additionally, it would be beneficial to research the effect on civics classes and mandatory civics testing on PID and increased polarization. Overall, my research highlights the issues behind social media and PID, and I recommend further research to curb the negative effects and emphasize the positive aspects of social media use.

REFERENCES

- Admin, 2019. First Amendment and Censorship. Retrieved from: <http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/censorship>
- Anderson, M. and Jiang, J. 2019. Teens, Social Media & Technology 2018. Retrieved from: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/>
- Brownstein, R. 2008. The second civil war how extreme partisanship has paralyzed Washington and polarized America. New York: Penguin Books
- Chandler, D. and Munday, R. 2019. Dictionary of Social

- Media - Oxford Reference. Retrieved from <https://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/acref/9780191803093.001.0001/acref-9780191803093>
- Cjanson, 2018. Political Efficacy and Voter Turnout. Retrieved from: <https://billofrightsinstitute.org/political-efficacy-voter-turnout/>
- Common Sense Media. New Survey Reveals Teens Get Their News from Social Media and YouTube: Common Sense Media. (2019, August 12). Retrieved from <https://www.common Sense Media.org/about-us/news/press-releases/new-survey-reveals-teens-get-their-news-from-social-media-and-youtube>
- Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. § 307(a) (1982 and Supp. V 1987)
- Congressional Budget Office. The Effects of the Partial Shutdown Ending in January 2019. (2019, January 28). Retrieved from <http://www.cbo.gov/publication/54937>
- Cohn, N. 2014. Polarization Is Dividing American Society, Not Just Politics. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/12/upshot/polarization-is-dividing-american-society-not-just-politics.html>
- Del Vicario, M. 2015. Echo chambers in the age of misinformation. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1509.00189.pdf>.
- Erdogan E. and Icen, M. 2017. High School Students' Social Media Usage Habits. Online Submission, 8, 8. Retrieved from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=cookie,ip,cpid&custid=s6269127&db=eric&AN=ED577955&site=ehost-live&scope=site>
- Federal Communications Commission. Editorializing by Broadcast Licensees, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.fcc.gov/document/editorializing-broadcast-licensees>
- Fineran, S. 2019. Teen Social Media Statistics 2018 (What Parents Need to Know). Retrieved from <https://smartsocial.com/social-media-statistics/>
- Gill, K. 2018. What Is The Equal Time Rule to the FCC? Retrieved from: <https://www.thoughtco.com/what-is-the-equal-time-rule-3367859>
- Glatter, H. 2017. College Freshmen Are More Politically Divided Than Ever. Retrieved. From: <https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/05/the-most-polarized-freshman-class-in-half-a-century/525135/>.
- Greenhaven Press, 2012. Current Controversies: Politics and the media (8th ed.). Farmington Hills, MI.
- Halbrooks, G. (2019, June 25). How TV News Has Evolved in the Past 50 Years. Retrieved from <https://www.thebalancecareers.com/a-look-at-tv-news-history-over-the-past-50-years-2315217>
- Hemphill, H. L. Information and Communication Studies, & Illinois Institute of Technology. Polar Scores: Measuring partisanship using social media content. Retrieved from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19331681.2016.1214093>
- Haskins, Jane. Fake News: What Laws Are Designed to Protect, 2019. Retrieved from: <https://www.legalzoom.com/articles/fake-news-what-laws-are-designed-to-protect>
- IGI Global. What is Traditional Media? (n.d.). Retrieved from: <https://www.igi-global.com/dictionary/mobile-phones-news-consumption-news-creation-and-news-organization-accommodations/47688>
- Kessler, E. 2013. Social Media and the Movement of Ideas, European Judaism, 46(1), 26-35. Retrieved Jan 25, 2020, from: <https://www.berghahnjournals.com/view/journals/european-judaism/46/1/ej460104.xml>
- Kim, K. Y. Knowledge Versus Beliefs: How Knowledge and Beliefs Mediate The Influence of Likeminded Media use on Political Polarization and Participation. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08838151.2017.1375497>
- Kirtley, J. E. 2017. Getting to the Truth: Fake News, Libel Laws, and "Enemies of the American People". Retrieved January 25, 2020, from https://www.americanbar.org/groups/crsj/publications/human_rights_magazine_home/the-ongoing-challenge-to-define-free-speech/getting-to-the-truth/
- Kruikemeier, S., Shehata, A., Kruikemeier, K. S., Shehata, A., & Amsterdam School of Communication Research, 2016. News Media Use and Political Engagement Among Adolescents: An Analysis of Virtuous Circles Using Panel Data. Retrieved November 8, 2019, from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10584609.2016.1174760?scroll=top&need>
- Lyons, L. 2004. It's Partisan Time: Teens Forge Political Identities. Gallup Poll Tuesday Briefing, 1-3. Retrieved from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=cookie,ip,cpid&custid=s6269127&db=buh&AN=14859735&site=ehost-live&scope=site>
- Martin, G. J. and Yurukoglu, A. 2017. Bias in Cable News: Persuasion and Polarization. Retrieved from <https://pubs.aeaweb.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1257/aer.20160812>
- Matthews, D. 2011. Everything you need to know about the Fairness Doctrine in one post. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/ezra-klein/post/everything-you-need-to-know-about-the-fairness-doctrine-in-one-post/2011/08/23/gIQAN8CXZJ_blog.html
- McCraw, S. K. Equal Time Rule. Retrieved from: <https://www.mtsu.edu/first-amendment/article/949/equal-time-rule>
- Mitchell, A., Gottfried, J., Kiley, J. and Matsa, K. E. 2019. Media Sources: Distinct Favorites Emerge on the Left and Right. Retrieved from <https://www.journalism.org/2014/10/21/section-1-media-sources-distinct-favorites-emerge-on-the-left-and-right/>
- Muslic, H. 2019. What is Slacktivism and is it Even Helping? Retrieved from: <https://nonprofithub.org/social-media/what-is-slacktivism-does-it-help/>
- Norris, P. 2000. A virtuous circle: Political communications in postindustrial societies. Cambridge/London, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Politics and Voting in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2018. Retrieved May 17, 2020, from: <https://www.bestplaces.net/voting/city/wisconsin/milwaukee>
- Rogers, J., Franke, M., Yun, J.-E. E., Ishimoto, M., Deira, C., Geller, R. C., UCLA, I. for D. E. and A. (IDEA). 2017. Teaching and Learning in the Age of Trump: Increasing Stress and Hostility in America's High Schools. UCLA IDEA. UCLA IDEA. Retrieved from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=cookie,ip,cpid&custid=s6269127&db=eric&AN=ED580203&site=ehost-live&scope=site>
- Shapiro, S., and Brown, C. 2019. A Look at Civics Education in the United States. Retrieved from https://www.aft.org/ae/summer2018/shapiro_brown
- Shearer, E. 2018. Social media outpaces print newspapers in the U.S. as a news source. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/12/10/social-media-outpaces-print-newspapers-in-the-u-s-as-a-news-source/>
- Shin, Jieun, Thorson, and Kjerstin, 2017. Partisan Selective Sharing: The Biased Diffusion of Fact-Checking Messages on Social Media. Retrieved from <https://academic.oup.com/>

- joc/article-abstract/67/2/233/4082394?redirectedFrom=fulltext
- Slater, M. D. 2015. Reinforcing spirals model: Conceptualizing the relationship between media content exposure and the development and maintenance of attitudes. *Media Psychology*, 18(3), 370–395. doi:10.1080/15213269.2014.897236
- United States v. Alvarez, 2012. Oyez. Retrieved January 25, 2020, from: <https://www.oyez.org/cases/2011/11-210>
- U.S. Congress, 1925. United States Code: Radio Act of , 47 U.S.C. §§ 81-119 Suppl. 4 1925. [Periodical] Retrieved from the Library of Congress, <https://www.loc.gov/item/uscode1925-005047004/>.
- Stefon, M. 2018. Fairness doctrine. Retrieved from: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Fairness-Doctrine>
- Vercellotti, T. 2015. The Role of Media Use in the Classroom and at Home in Improving News Consumption and Political Knowledge. Retrieved October 22, 2019, from /Users/genevieveandersen/Downloads/VercellottiandMatto_12_29_15.pdf.
- Yale Law. Fighting Fake News, 2017. Retrieved from: https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/area/center/isp/documents/fighting_fake_news_-_workshop_report.pdf
- York, C. 2013. Cultivating Political Incivility: Cable News, Network News, and Public Perceptions. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1931243113507926>

APPENDIX

Appendix A

Hello! I'm Genna Andersen and I'm an East Senior in AP Research! For my project, I'm analyzing the effect social media and/or traditional media has on teens while they're forming their political opinions- you do NOT have to have strong political opinions or knowledge to fill out this survey, as I'm trying to get a truly representative population of the school. Thank you.

CONSENT FORM FOR RESEARCH (SURVEY) PARTICIPATION: You are being invited to participate in a research study titled "Traditional vs. Social Media and their relationship with teens' political-ideological development" conducted by Genevieve Andersen. The purpose of this research study is to analyze the difference between social media and traditional media on teen's development of political ideology. Taking part in this study is completely voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any point in time during this survey. Your answers in this survey will be confidential. The records of this study are kept private and identifying information such as name or address will not be asked of. In any sort of report made public, we will not include any information that will make it possible to identify you. If you agree to the term and participate in the study you will be asked to complete an online survey/questionnaire. For further questions, email andersge2020@wauwatosak12.wi.us. By clicking "I consent" below you are indicating that you have read and understood this consent form and agree to participate in this research study.

- a. I consent (*form redirects respondent to Tosa East AP Research Survey: Demographics*)
- b. I do not consent (*form redirects respondent off of the survey.*)

Tosa East AP Research Survey: Demographics

1. What is your age?
 - a. 15
 - b. 16
 - c. 17
 - d. 18
2. What gender do you identify as?
 - a. Male
 - b. Female
 - c. Prefer not to say
 - d. Other _____
3. What political party do you tend to identify with?
 - a. Democrat
 - b. Republican
 - c. Independent
 - d. I do not identify with a party, or am indifferent when it comes to political parties.
 - e. Other _____

Social Media Usage

1. How often do you use social media? (Snapchat, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, TikTok, etc.) (I don't have/use social media- I use social media daily, and often I find myself checking social media almost every hour)
2. Of the social media you are on, which application do you use the most?
 - a. Snapchat
 - b. Instagram
 - c. Twitter
 - d. Facebook
 - e. TikTok
 - f. Other _____

1. Where do you tend to see, view, and/or read stories about current events, politics, and opinions? (In other words, where are you most likely to find access to informative stories?)
 - a. Traditional Media (Television, Newspaper, Radio) *(Survey redirects respondent to Traditional Media as main news source section)*
 - b. Social Media (Any social media application/website, either through following a news source account or friends/followers posts) *(Survey redirects respondent to Social Media as main news source section)*
 - c. News Apps (Traditional news sources such as CNN, Fox, Washington Post, etc, but as an application on your phone) *(Survey redirects respondent to Traditional Media as main news source section)*

Traditional Media as Main News Source

1. Which news network do you tend to trust/watch/read the MOST?
 - a. CNN
 - b. BBC
 - c. ABC
 - d. NBC
 - e. PBS
 - f. NPR
 - g. Other _____
 2. Why do you prefer that news source? *(Free Response)*
 3. Why do you prefer traditional media over social media? *(Free Response)*
- Survey redirects respondent to "Posting political content" section*

Social Media as Main News Source

1. Which application (snapchat, Instagram, etc), of the ones you use, are you more likely to read political content? *(Free Response)*
 2. Who is the political content you see on social media most often posted by?
 - a. Friends/Followers sharing news and their political opinions (either through memes, posts on news stories, or more)
 - b. I follow news accounts on social media
 - c. Other _____
- Survey redirects respondent to "Posting political content" section*

Posting Political Content

1. Do you post political content on social media? (Political content can refer to snapchat stories, Instagram posts, tiktoks on political events, tweets, and reposts from political accounts or figures.)
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Sometimes
 - d. Other _____
2. Do you ever get responses from classmates/followers if you post political content? Are they more positive or negative?
 - a. Yes, I get responses from classmates. They are overall POSITIVE and agreeing with my post.
 - b. Yes, I get responses from classmates. They are overall NEGATIVE and disagreeing with my post.
 - c. Yes, I get responses from classmates. They are overall MIXED and do not especially agree or disagree with my post.
 - d. No, I do not get responses from my classmates.
 - e. I do not post political content on social media.
 - f. Other _____

Respondent is directed to Receiving Political Content section

Receiving Political Content

1. Does your opinion of a classmate/friend change if they post/share specific political content?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Other _____
2. Is it a positive or negative change? Why does it change, if at all? *(Free Response)*
3. Which social media platform is more likely to expose you to the content shared by peers that will upset you/ incite strong feelings? *(Free Response)*

Respondent is directed to Political Polarization section

Political Polarization

Political Polarization is defined as when an individual's stance on a given issue, policy or person is more likely to be strictly and strongly defined by their identification with a particular political party (e.g., Democrat or Republican) or ideology (e.g., liberal or conservative). In terms of my research, it's also defined as hostile relationships/internal biases with classmates.

1. Do you notice hostility in school when it comes to discussing politics?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Other _____
2. If yes, how is this hostility portrayed? (*Respondent can choose multiple*)
 - a. Social Sorting (Democrats are friends with only democrats and vice versa)
 - b. Discourse Hostility (Angry tone, language, or feelings when discussing opposing political viewpoints)
 - c. Refusal of discourse (Lack of conversation on a political issue from someone who's opinion may be different)
 - d. Through Social Media (online arguments and posts including hostile opinions towards another person opinion)
 - e. I do not see political polarization/hostility portrayed in my school.
 - f. Other _____
3. Have you ever seen someone judge someone else as a person simply because of their political opinions?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Other _____
4. If yes, elaborate. (*Free Response*)
5. Are you more likely to interact with someone who has differing political opinions who posts about said opinions on media, or someone who shares their differing political opinions in the classroom?
 - a. I am more likely to interact with someone who has differing political opinions who posts about said opinions on media
 - b. I am more likely to interact with someone who shares their differing political opinions in the classroom
 - c. I avoid interacting with anyone who has a different political opinion from me.
 - d. I will interact with either.
 - e. Other _____

Appendix B

Hi! I'm Genna Andersen and I'm a senior at Wauwatosa East High School in AP Research. For my research project, I'm analyzing and comparing the effects of both social and traditional media on teen's political ideological development and how they learn to discuss politics with others. To do so, I need to also compare today's teen media consumption (which tends to be Social Media dominated) with other generations' experiences with media and polarization in their teenage years. (Please note you do NOT need to have strong political opinions or knowledge to fill out this survey). I really appreciate the participation!

CONSENT FORM FOR RESEARCH (SURVEY) PARTICIPATION: You are being invited to participate in a research study titled "Traditional vs. Social Media and their relationship with teens' political-ideological development" conducted by Genevieve Andersen. The purpose of this research study is to analyze the difference between social media and traditional media on teen's development of political ideology. Taking part in this study is completely voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any point in time during this survey. Your answers in this survey will be confidential. The records of this study are kept private and identifying information such as name or address will not be asked of. In any sort of report made public, we will not include any information that will make it possible to identify you. If you agree to the term and participate in the study you will be asked to complete an online survey/questionnaire. For further questions, email andersge2020@wauwatosa.k12.wi.us. By clicking "I agree" below you are indicating that you have read and understood this consent form and agree to participate in this research study.

Demographics

1. What gender do you identify as?
 - a. Male
 - b. Female
 - c. Prefer not to say
 - d. Other _____
2. My age falls within the range of...
 - a. 19-28
 - b. 29-38
 - c. 39-48
 - d. 49-58
 - e. 59-68
 - f. 69-78
 - g. 78+
3. What political party do you tend to identify with?
 - a. Republican

- b. Democrat
- c. Independent
- d. I do not affiliate with any party/I am indifferent
- e. Other _____

Survey is directed to Teenage Political Ideals-General

Teenage Political Ideals-General

1. In your teenage years, did you identify yourself as:
 - a. Democrat
 - b. Republican
 - c. I didn't affiliate with a party
 - d. Other _____
2. Describe any political interactions you had as a teen OUTSIDE of the classroom. (Discussions with friends, protests, etc.)
(Free Response)
3. If applicable, rate how hostile that experience was. (Free Response)
4. Describe any political interactions you had as a teen INSIDE the classroom. (Political Classes/Discussions, political clubs)
(Free Response)
5. If applicable, rate how hostile that experience was. (Free Response)

Survey is directed to Teenage Political Ideals-Media

Teenage Political Ideals-Media

For this section, I'm asking you to reflect on your media consumption during your teenage years (13-18.) Try to reflect as unbiased and honest as possible on your political beliefs at the time.

1. Which of the following represents where you learned about current events and politics MOST as a teen?
 - a. Television (*Survey is redirected to Television section*)
 - b. Radio (*Survey is redirected to Social Media section*)
 - c. Newspaper (*Survey is redirected to Social Media section*)
2. How did (if at all) media affect the growth and development of your political ideas in your youth? (Free Response)

Television

If you are on this screen, it is because you clicked the "television" option for most used media as a teen.

1. Rate your usage of television as a teen.
 - a. 12345
 (I RARELY watched TV. I only saw it when it was on because someone else was watching.-I ALMOST ALWAYS watched TV every day as a teen.)
2. Which news network did you tend to trust/watch/read the MOST? (Free Response)
3. Why did you prefer that news source? (Free Response)

Survey is directed to Social Media section

Social Media

1. Do you post political content on social media? (Political content can refer to Facebook, snapchat stories, Instagram posts, tweets, and reposts from political accounts or figures.)
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Sometimes
 - d. Other _____
2. Do you ever get responses from peers/followers if you post political content? Are they more positive or negative?
 - a. Yes, I get responses. They are overall POSITIVE and agreeing with my post.
 - b. Yes, I get responses. They are overall NEGATIVE and disagreeing with my post.
 - c. Yes, I get responses. They are overall MIXED and do not especially agree or disagree with my post.
 - d. No, I do not get responses.
 - e. I do not post political content on social media.
 - f. Other _____

Survey is directed to Social vs. Traditional Media section

Social vs. Traditional Media

1. Personally, does traditional media or social media seem to strengthen your political opinion? Why? (*Free Response*)
2. Overall in your community, does traditional media or social media seem to strengthen political opinion? (*Free Response*)
3. From your general viewpoint, do today's teens or your generation's teens seem to be more politically polarized?
 - a. Today's teenagers
 - b. Your generation of teenagers
 - c. Other _____

Appendix C

78.2% of teens surveyed have noticed judgement, stereotyping, and hostility in school due to their political opinions. As previously studied, a way to curb this hostility is to incorporate social media interpretation classes into your social studies education, and learn how to agree/disagree with people whose opinions may be different than yours.

If you have any questions or concerns before taking this survey feel free to slide up and ask.
If applicable, your name and identity will be completely hidden if any answers are published.

1. Would you be in favor of incorporating social media interpretation education into your high school social studies curriculum with the goal of curbing political hostility and stereotyping in your school?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
2. Why/Why not? *Free Response*
3. Is there another/better way to try and stop political hostility in school and encourage students to emphasize respect in their opinions? *Free Response*
4. Should this class be MANDATORY in high school social studies curriculums?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No

Appendix D

I'm just a bill...An AP Gov Congressional Simulation Project

Assignment: Congratulations! You have been elected to the U.S. Congress, it is time to get busy making laws. Today your task is to search the news, former presidential candidate websites, www.house.gov or www.senate.gov, or the Constitution for bill ideas. Your bill can either be an original bill or can be loosely modeled after a current one (not copied- but could peruse current bills for inspiration and would need to somehow make it your own!). Once you have an area of interest, you will prepare a draft of your bill. Your ultimate goal in this simulation is to get your bill passed! Use your time wisely!!

Steps: Think of a problem our country faces today that can be resolved by the Federal Government and that would originate in the committee that you have been assigned. Determine the best solution to that problem... Craft the best possible approach to solving this problem and think through each step. This includes determining a governmental agency that will carry out this plan, staff needs, funding, impact on the current system, etc. Type your plan into the template on Classroom...don't forget to determine a clear purpose and define key terms in the first few sections.

Things to remember when writing: Think through your plan. Your goal is to create a thorough solution to the problem. Make sure to clearly state the purpose of your bill in one sentence. Is the purpose of your bill to change a procedure, correct a flaw in the current system, add new regulations for safety or security, alter prison sentences, etc. Define key terms in the first few sections of the bill. Once definitions are out of the way, provide your solution to the problem in the next few sections. Remember to think through if your bill will require funding in any way and think of a solution for this- is there a way for your plan to fund itself, will no additional funding be necessary? If not, how should we pay for it? Think through any federalism issues- do you need a block grant or categorical grant in order for the federal government to require states to do something? Think through the questions you will receive during the debate so that you are ready for them. Don't skimp on the details. A well thought out plan is hard to beat in a good debate. Remember to look for statistics, personal testimonies, and studies that you can use to explain why this bill or amendment is needed.

Appendix E

Hi! I'm Genna Andersen and I'm a senior at Wauwatosa East High School in AP Research. For my research project, I'm analyzing and comparing the effects of both social and traditional media on teen's political ideological development and how they learn to discuss politics with others. To do so, I need to also gain an understanding of a student's political discussion in the classroom. (Please note you do NOT need to have strong political opinions or knowledge to fill out this form). I really appreciate the participation!

CONSENT FORM FOR RESEARCH PARTICIPATION: You are being invited to participate in a research study titled "Traditional vs. Social Media and their relationship with teens' political-ideological development" conducted by Genevieve Andersen. The purpose of this research study is to analyze the difference between social media and traditional media on teen's

development of political ideology. Taking part in this study is completely voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any point in time during this survey. Your answers in this survey will be confidential. The records of this study are kept private and identifying information such as name or address will not be asked of. In any sort of report made public, we will not include any information that will make it possible to identify you. If you agree to the term and participate in the study you will be asked to complete an online survey/questionnaire. For further questions, email andersge2020@wauwatosak12.wi.us. By clicking "I agree" below you are indicating that you have read and understood this consent form and agree to participate in this research study.

Demographics

1. What class/es do you teach? (*Free Response*)
2. How long have you been a teacher at TOSA EAST?
 - a. 1-5 years
 - b. 6-10 years
 - c. 11-15 years
 - d. 16-20 years
 - e. 20+ years

Survey is directed to Media News Exposure in the Classroom

Media News Exposure in the Classroom

1. How often do you discuss current events/news in your classroom? (*Free Response*)
2. When discussing current events or news with students, how do you MOST often begin the conversation? (*Respondents may choose multiple answers*)
 - a. Traditional Media (CNN 10, top 10 things to know today on NYT, etc)
 - b. I bring it up in person without a news source, casual conversation
 - c. It is brought up without my facilitation, student-guided discussion
 - d. I have graded assignments that include discussion or presentation of current events
 - e. Other _____
3. The next section is for social studies/civics/history teachers ONLY. Please click which option applies to you.
 - a. I am a social studies/civics/history teacher (*Survey is directed to Classroom facilitated interaction*)
 - b. I am NOT a social studies/civics/history teacher (*Survey is directed to General Student Hostility/Interactions*)

Classroom facilitated interaction

1. How long have you taught social studies/civics/history at EAST? (*Free Response*)
2. In facilitating discussion on your subject, do you notice political polarization in your students? (In this case, meaning hostility towards different opinions when discussing)
 - a. YES, I often notice hostility in discussion
 - b. NO, discourse is always respectful WITHOUT any emphasis on respectful discussion from me
 - c. NO, discourse is respectful WITH emphasis on respectful discussion from me
 - d. SOMETIMES, it depends on the relevance of the subject and the "hotness" of the issue (ex: abortion rights and elections compared to less opinion based subjects)
 - e. Other _____
3. Please analyze/discuss how you feel social media news sources and sharing have pertained to political polarization (hostile discourse) in your classroom, if at all. (*Free Response*)
4. For the purposes of this research, "perceived political superiority" is defined as a student's judgment on a peer due to lack of knowledge on a political, governmental, or current topic (for example, laughing at a "dumb question" about an issue or dismissing a student's opinion as "wrong".) Do you notice this in your classroom? If so, around what subject matters? (*Free Response*)
5. Please analyze/discuss how you feel social media news sources and sharing have pertained to perceived political superiority in your classroom, if at all. (*Free Response*)

Survey is directed to Media Interpretation

General Student Hostility/Interactions

1. In facilitating discussion on your subject, do you notice political polarization in your students? (In this case, meaning hostility towards different opinions when discussing) (*Free Response*)
2. Please analyze/discuss how you feel social media news sources and sharing have pertained to political polarization (hostile discourse) in your classroom, if at all. (*Free Response*)
3. For the purposes of this research, "perceived political superiority" is defined as a student's judgment on a peer due to lack of knowledge on a political, governmental, or current topic (for example, laughing at a "dumb question" about an issue or dismissing a student's opinion as "wrong".) Do you notice this in your classroom? If so, around what subject matters? (*Free Response*)
4. Please analyze/discuss how you feel social media news sources and sharing have pertained to perceived political superiority in your classroom, if at all. (*Free Response*)

Survey is directed to Media Interpretation

Media Interpretation

1. Would you support a social media and/or traditional media literacy class in your school?
 - a. YES, I would support an OPTIONAL class on social media and/or traditional media literacy
 - b. YES, I would support a UNIT or LESSON on social media and/or traditional media literacy
 - c. YES, I would support MANDATORY social media and/or traditional media literacy class
 - d. NO, We already have adequate information provided on how to interpret traditional and or/social media
 - e. NO, traditional and/or social media literacy is not a big enough issue to impose a class about it
 - f. Other _____
2. If you have additional opinions on any of the information discussed in this survey, please feel free to write it here.

Appendix F

You are being asked to take part in a research study of comparing the effects of both social and traditional media on teen's political ideological development and how they learn to discuss politics with others. I am asking you to take part because of the political implications of your class discussion and topics. Please read this form carefully and ask any questions you may have before agreeing to take part in the study.

What the study is about: The purpose of this study is to compare the effects of both social and traditional media on teen's political ideological development and how they learn to discuss politics with others. You must be a teacher of AP Government to consent to this study.

What I will ask you to do: If you agree to be in this study, I will observe your AP Government class in a politically charged discussion over the course of one hour and note perceived hostility within students during political discourse. With your permission, we would also like to record the interview/focus group discussion.

Risks and benefits

I do not anticipate any risks to you participating in this study other than those encountered in day-to-day life.

There are no benefits to you.

Your answers will be confidential. The records of this study will be kept private. In any sort of report that is made public, I will not include any information that will make it possible to identify you. Research records will be kept anonymous during data analysis as well; only the researcher will have access to the records. If I record the interview/focus group discussion, I will destroy the recording after it has been transcribed, which I anticipate will be within two months of its taping.

Taking part is voluntary: Taking part in this study is completely voluntary. You may skip any questions that you do not want to answer. If you decide not to take part or to skip some of the questions, there will be no negative consequences to you. If you decide to take part, you are free to withdraw at any time.

If you have questions: The researcher conducting this study is: Genevieve Andersen. If you have any questions before you participate in the research, you should contact the researcher at andersge2020@wauwatosa.k12.wi.us ; and you can reach Megan Sampson—the AP Research instructor—at sampsome@wauwatosa.k12.wi.us.

If you would like, you may request a copy of this consent form for your records. To do this, please check the box below, or email the researcher and request the copy via email.

I would like a copy of this consent form for my records.

Statement of Consent: *I have read the above information, and have received answers to any questions I asked. I consent to take part in the study.*

Your Signature _____ Date _____

Your Name (printed) _____

In addition to agreeing to participate, I also consent to having the observation of discussion recorded.

Your Signature _____ Date _____

Signature of researcher: _____ Date _____

Printed name of researcher: _____ Date _____

This form will be kept by the AP Research instructor for at least a year after the end of the study.

Appendix G

ap gov 7th hour observationSimulation requirements:

- * Actively engaged - participation required
- * emphasis on respectful discourse

hostile quotes:

- (political superiority)
- "stop talking if you don't have specific examples"
 - "capitalism? you're dumb"
 - "don't try and argue" "I had a motion to censor"
 - "this is so foul"
 - "you're talking too much"
 - "can we kick _____ out"

other quotes

- * total lack of discourse*
- "impeachment should not be a partisan issue"

teacher reminds respectfulness: WHHphysical observations

- eye rolling
- slamming fists
- smirking
- whispers along party lines
- not passing bill if not traditionally their party, even if they seem to agree
- either talking a lot or not at all

issues discussed

- Vernan mental health, prison reform, Mexican border, sex ed, impeachment
