# **International Journal of Science Academic Research**

Vol. 02, Issue 06, pp.1609-1613, June, 2021 Available online at http://www.scienceijsar.com



# **Research Article**

# CONTRIBUTIONS OF COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES TO WELL-BEING OF WOMEN IN YEWA NORTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

Rasaki, W.A., \*Olojede, M.O., Adeoye, A., Emiola, S.A

Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Igboora, Oyo State, Nigeria

Received 10th April 2021; Accepted 16th May 2021; Published online 17th June 2021

#### Abstract

Cooperative Societies have been acknowledged as a valuable tool to achieve both the change of socio-economic role of women and foster the economic development of a country. Therefore, this study analyzed contributions of cooperative societies to well-being of women in the study area. The study was carried out in Yewa North Local Government Area of Ogun State of South Western Nigeria. Systematic sampling technique was used to select 180 respondents from registered members of cooperative societies. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. The result revealed that majority (82.22%) of the respondents were married with average age of 53years. Most of them (99.44%) have formal education with average years of schooling of 11years and mean household size of 6 members. It was generally affirmed by the respondents that benefits derived from cooperative societies are; access to credits, training, information and farm input, market for farm produce and social recognition. Majority of the respondents were able to possess farm land, building, motorcycle, bicycle, telephone, radio and television after they joined cooperative societies. They also have better access to good health care and food. The result of t-test showed that there is significant different in the average monthly income (N39,614.44 difference) of the women and t-value of 23.64. In conclusion, this study provided strong evidence that cooperative societies contributed a lot to well-being of women in the study area. It is recommended that women should be encouraged to join cooperative society of their choice.

Keywords: Cooperative, Women, Contributions and Wellbeing.

## INTRODUCTION

Cooperatives have been defined in diverse ways by various people and writers for different purposes. Cooperatives are defined by (ICA, 1995) as "an autonomous association of persons who unite voluntarily to meet their common economic and social needs and aspiration through a jointly owned and democratically controlled enterprise. Tonyi (2009) views cooperatives as an association of persons with a common economic and thorough formation of a democratically controlled business organization, such persons as associates make equitable contribution to the capital required and accept fair share of benefits and risks of their undertaking. Cooperative society was viewed by Ihimodu (2008) as a voluntary association stated with the aim of service of its members. It is a form of business where individuals belonging to the same class join their hands together for the promotion of their common goals. Cooperatives have been acknowledged as a valuable tool to achieve both the change in the socio-economic role of women and foster the economic development of a country. As such, there is also a general belief that cooperative participation leads to benefits that exceed the initial purpose of merely increasing income, especially those benefits have caused both international development agencies and national governments to promote the use of cooperatives in women empowerment, (Ferguson and Kepe, 2011). Cooperatives societies seem to be the best instrument used by government mostly to bring about serious economic development in the country. Financial institutions like banks tend to use mostly rural women cooperatives to improve or develop the economy. This could be said to be in the form of empowerment to the rural women cooperatives (Godwin, 2011).

\*Corresponding Author: Olojede, M.O.,

Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Igboora, Oyo State, Nigeria.

An important contribution of the cooperative society continues to be its capacity in promoting and supporting entrepreneurial development in forms compatible with the principles and objectives of the World Summit for Social Development ICA (1998). Cooperatives provide low interest loan, job training, self-employment through millions of worker-owners of production and service cooperatives; financial cooperatives mobilize capital for productive investment and provide people with secure institutions for the deposit of savings; consumer cooperatives provide households with affordable goods and services reducing the proportion of income used for basic living costs, and similarly user-owned cooperatives such as housing, utility, health and social care cooperatives provide affordable access to basic services. All these are the indices of measuring women well-being (ILO, 1999).

## **Problem statement**

Perhaps, the major problems confronting the women today in Africa, particularly in Nigeria are maternal mortality, gender discrimination, insecurity, malnutrition and unemployment which leads to high rate of poverty among women. Nigerian culture placed women in lower class and they are often treated as second class citizens. This is relegating African countries, of which Nigeria is one to the back seat among the countries of the world because of lack of equity between male and female gender thereby limiting women contributions into the society.

# **Objectives of the Study**

The main objective of the study is to analyze the contributions of cooperative societies to women well-being in Yewa North local government area of Ogun State, Nigeria while the specific objectives are to: describe the socio-economic characteristics of members of women cooperative societies,

identify the benefits the women derived from their various cooperative societies and analyze the contributions of cooperative societies to the well being of the women in the study area.

# Hypothesis

**H0:** There is no significant difference in the income of the women in the study area before and after they joined cooperative society.

#### Justification

In realizing this predicament, government at all levels, corporate organization and non-organization have come up with different policies and programmes to bring about gender equity and improved well-being. One of these programmes is cooperative formation which aimed at improving the well-being of these less privileged people. There is therefore need to check and weigh the contributions of these cooperative societies on women's well-being.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This research was carried out in Yewa North Local Government Area of Ogun State, South Western Nigeria. Yewa North is a Local Government Area in the west of Ogun State, Nigeria bordering the Republic of Benin. Its headquarter is in the town of Aiyetoro at latitude of 7°12'N and longitude of 3°2′E in a deciduous derived savannah zone. The climate is sub-humid tropical with a longtime average rainfall of 1,909.30mm. It has an area of 2,087 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of 181,826 at the 2006 census (NPC 2006). The area is known for its agricultural products as most citizens are farmers. The population of the study is the women in Yewa North Local Government Area who are member of cooperative society. A well-structured questionnaire with close-ended questionnaire format was use to gather information from the sampled population. Systematic sampling technique was used to select 180 respondents from registered members of cooperative societies. The data were analyzed by the use of descriptive statistics (such as percentage, standard deviation, mean) and inferential statistics such as T-test.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 revealed that large number (44.44%) of the women has age between 41-50 years and this is closely followed by those that were between the range of 51-60 year (32.78%). Only 3.89% of the respondents were between 31-40years while 18.89% were above 60 years of age. The mean age was approximately 53 years. This implies that the women in the study area were in their productive age. This is in tandem with findings of Ufoaroh (2017) in her work "Impact of Cooperative Society in Empowerment: a case of indigenous women of Nimo town Anambra State Nigeria" where majority were in their productive ages. Majority (82.22%) of the women was married and none of them was single. Divorced respondents were 11.11% while only 6.67% were widowed. This implies that majority of the women were married and have families to cater for. This result echoed the findings of Ademilua (2017) in her "Influence of Cooperative Society Membership on Women Owned SMEs in West Africa" where majority (92.8%) of the women was married. The table also

discovered that 56.11% of the respondents were Christians while 42.78% were Muslims and 1.11% were traditional worshippers. This implies that the three major religions in Nigeria were practiced in the study area. This result contradict the findings of Kareem, et al., (2012) in their work "The Impact of Co-Operative Society on Capital Formation: A Case Study of Temidere Cooperative and Thrift- Society, Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria" where only two religions (Christianity and Islam) were practiced. It was exposed that 99.44% of the respondents have formal education while only 0.54% did not have formal education. This shows that majority of the women were literate. This is in agreement with the result of Taiwo et al., (2015) in their work "Effect of Cooperative Membership on the Economic Empowerment of Women in Osun State of Nigeria" where women cooperators were literate. Table 1 further shows that 0.56% of the respondents were students while the rest (99.44%) were engaging in one occupation or others (farming, artisan, civil service and trading). This implies that women cooperators were employed. This result echoed the findings of Ufoaroh (2017) in her work "Impact of Cooperative Society in Empowerment: A Case of Indigenous Women of Nimo town Anambra State Nigeria" where majority (80.9%) of women were employed. It was also known that majority (73.33%) of the respondents have household members ranging between 6-10 persons. Those that have between 1-5 household members were 25.56% while only 1.11% of respondents have above 10 persons in their household. The mean household size was 6 persons. This implies that the women have sizeable household members to take care. This result is contrary to the findings of Baruwa, et al., (2016) in their work "Influence of Farmers Cooperatives Membership on Inputs Access and Land Management in Osun State, Nigeria" where mean household size of cooperators was 5 persons.

The table showed that 28.89% of the respondents belong to credit and thrift cooperative society while 20.55% and 35.56% belong to trader and multipurpose cooperative societies respectively. Only 15.00% belong to farmer cooperative societies. The results showed that the four identified cooperatives were in operation in the study area. This is in tandem with the findings of Ibitoye (2012) in his research "Survey of the Performance of Agricultural Cooperative Societies in Kogi State" where major cooperative society in the rural areas are traders cooperatives, farmers multipurpose cooperatives as well as thrift and credit cooperatives. Table 1 disclosed that 66.66% of the women affirmed that they were feeling very good as member of cooperative society. Those that claimed that they were feeling good were 26.67% while 6.67% were indifference. None of the respondents was feeling bad. This implies that women were free to choose any type of cooperative based on their need.

# Benefits derived from cooperative societies by respondents

According to table 2, 52.78% of the respondents strongly agreed that they benefited immensely from cooperative loan while only 1.67% strongly disagreed with this claim. Those who agreed that they got job opportunity through cooperative societies were 68.33% while only 2.22% strongly disagreed to this assertion. 33.52% of the respondents were indecisive whether they received job trainings from cooperatives or not, while those strongly agreed and partially agreed were 24.58% and 22.91% respectively.

Table 1. Frequency distribution of respondents by socio-economic characteristics (N=180)

Age (years)	Socio-economic Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage(%)	Mean
31 - 40	Age (years)			
51 - 60       59       32.78         61 and above       34       18.89         Marital Status		7	3.89	53.21
Marital Status   Single	41 - 50	80	44.44	
Marital Status   Single	51 - 60	59	32.78	
Single       0       0.00         Married       148       82.22         Divorce/Separated       70       11.11         Widowed       12       6.67         Religion       Christianity         Christianity       101       56.11         Islam       77       42.78         Traditional       2       1.11         Education Status       Non-Formal       1       0.56         Formal       179       99.44         Major Occupation       Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size       1       0.56         1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33         Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society       Trader co-operative Society         Trader co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit an	61 and above	34	18.89	
Married         148         82.22           Divorce/Separated         70         11.11           Widowed         12         6.67           Religion         Christianity         101         56.11           Islam         77         42.78           Traditional         2         1.11           Education Status         Non-Formal         1         0.56           Formal         179         99.44           Major Occupation         Farming         71         39.44           Artisan         32         17.89           Civil Service         25         13.89           Trading/Business         51         28.33           Student         1         0.56           Household Size         1         0.56           1 -5 persons         46         25.56         6.35           6 -10 persons         132         73.33           Above 10 persons         2         1.11           Type of Co-operative Society         Trader co-operative Society           Trader co-operative         27         15.00           Credit and Thrift co-operative         52         28.89           Feeling	Marital Status			
Divorce/Separated       70       11.11         Widowed       12       6.67         Religion	Single	0	0.00	
Widowed       12       6.67         Religion       Christianity       101       56.11         Islam       77       42.78         Traditional       2       1.11         Education Status       Non-Formal         Non-Formal       1       0.56         Formal       179       99.44         Major Occupation       Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size       1       0.56         Household Size       1       0.56         Household Persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33         Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative       20.51 <td>Married</td> <td>148</td> <td>82.22</td> <td></td>	Married	148	82.22	
Religion           Christianity         101         56.11           Islam         77         42.78           Traditional         2         1.11           Education Status         Non-Formal         1         0.56           Formal         179         99.44           Major Occupation         Trading/Occupation         32         17.89           Farming         71         39.44         39.44         39.44           Artisan         32         17.89         17.89         17.89         17.89         18.33 </td <td>Divorce/Separated</td> <td>70</td> <td>11.11</td> <td></td>	Divorce/Separated	70	11.11	
Christianity       101       56.11         Islam       77       42.78         Traditional       2       1.11         Education Status           Non-Formal       1       0.56         Formal       179       99.44         Major Occupation           Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size           1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33       Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society             Trader co-operative Society             Trader co-operative       64       35.56           Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00          Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89          Feeling of b	Widowed	12	6.67	
Islam       77       42.78         Traditional       2       1.11         Education Status       0.56         Non-Formal       179       99.44         Major Occupation       317       39.44         Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size       31       25.56       6.35         1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33       Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society       37       20.55       Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00       Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative       52       28.89       Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       48       26.67       Indifferent       40       22.22	Religion			
Traditional       2       1.11         Education Status       Non-Formal       1       0.56         Formal       179       99.44         Major Occupation       32       17.89         Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size       46       25.56       6.35         1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33       Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society       37       20.55       Auditi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00       Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative       52       28.89       Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       48       26.67       Indifferent       40       22.22				
Non-Formal   1	Islam	77	42.78	
Non-Formal         1         0.56           Formal         179         99.44           Major Occupation         39.44           Farming         71         39.44           Artisan         32         17.89           Civil Service         25         13.89           Trading/Business         51         28.33           Student         1         0.56           Household Size         46         25.56         6.35           6-10 persons         46         25.56         6.35           6-10 persons         132         73.33         Above 10 persons         2         1.11           Type of Co-operative Society         37         20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative         64         35.56           Farmers' co-operative         27         15.00         2         28.89           Feeling of being a member of co-operative         52         28.89         5           Feeling of being a member of co-operative         92         51.11         Good         48         26.67           Indifferent         40         22.22         40         22.22	Traditional	2	1.11	
Formal         179         99.44           Major Occupation         Farming           Farming         71         39.44           Artisan         32         17.89           Civil Service         25         13.89           Trading/Business         51         28.33           Student         1         0.56           Household Size         1         5           1 -5 persons         46         25.56         6.35           6 -10 persons         132         73.33         Above 10 persons         2         1.11           Type of Co-operative Society           Trader co-operative         37         20.55           Multi-purpose co-operative         64         35.56           Farmers' co-operative         27         15.00           Credit and Thrift co-operative         52         28.89           Feeling of being a member of co-operative         92         51.11           Good         48         26.67           Indifferent         40         22.22	Education Status			
Major Occupation         Farming       71       39.44         Artisan       32       17.89         Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size       1       1         1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33         Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22	Non-Formal	1	0.56	
Farming 71 39.44 Artisan 32 17.89 Civil Service 25 13.89 Trading/Business 51 28.33 Student 1 0.56  Household Size 1-5 persons 46 25.56 6.35 6-10 persons 132 73.33 Above 10 persons 2 1.11  Type of Co-operative Society Trader co-operative 37 20.55 Multi-purpose co-operative 64 35.56 Farmers' co-operative 52 28.89 Feeling of being a member of co-operative Very good 92 51.11 Good 48 26.67 Indifferent 40 22.22	Formal	179	99.44	
Artisan 32 17.89 Civil Service 25 13.89 Trading/Business 51 28.33 Student 1 0.56  Household Size 1 -5 persons 46 25.56 6.35 6 -10 persons 132 73.33 Above 10 persons 2 1.11  Type of Co-operative Society Trader co-operative 37 20.55 Multi-purpose co-operative 64 35.56 Farmers' co-operative 27 15.00 Credit and Thrift co-operative 52 28.89 Feeling of being a member of co-operative Very good 92 51.11 Good 48 26.67 Indifferent 40 22.22	Major Occupation			
Civil Service       25       13.89         Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size         1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33         Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society         Trader co-operative       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22	Farming		39.44	
Trading/Business       51       28.33         Student       1       0.56         Household Size			17.89	
Student       1       0.56         Household Size	Civil Service		13.89	
Household Size   1-5 persons	Trading/Business	51		
1 -5 persons       46       25.56       6.35         6 -10 persons       132       73.33         Above 10 persons       2       1.11         Type of Co-operative Society         Trader co-operative       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22	Student	1	0.56	
6 -10 persons 132 73.33 Above 10 persons 2 1.11  Type of Co-operative Society Trader co-operative 37 20.55 Multi-purpose co-operative 64 35.56 Farmers' co-operative 52 28.89 Feeling of being a member of co-operative Very good 92 51.11 Good 48 26.67 Indifferent 40 22.22	Household Size			
Above 10 persons 2 1.11  Type of Co-operative Society  Trader co-operative 37 20.55  Multi-purpose co-operative 64 35.56  Farmers' co-operative 52 28.89  Feeling of being a member of co-operative  Very good 92 51.11  Good 48 26.67  Indifferent 40 22.22	1 -5 persons	46	25.56	6.35
Type of Co-operative Society         Trader co-operative       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22	6 -10 persons		73.33	
Trader co-operative       37       20.55         Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22		2	1.11	
Multi-purpose co-operative       64       35.56         Farmers' co-operative       27       15.00         Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22				
Farmers' co-operative 27 15.00 Credit and Thrift co-operative 52 28.89  Feeling of being a member of co-operative Very good 92 51.11 Good 48 26.67 Indifferent 40 22.22				
Credit and Thrift co-operative       52       28.89         Feeling of being a member of co-operative         Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22			35.56	
Feeling of being a member of co-operative           Very good         92         51.11           Good         48         26.67           Indifferent         40         22.22			15.00	
Very good       92       51.11         Good       48       26.67         Indifferent       40       22.22		52	28.89	
Good         48         26.67           Indifferent         40         22.22				
Indifferent 40 22.22	Very good		51.11	
		48	26.67	
Bad 0 0.00				
	Bad	0	0.00	

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Table 2. Distribution of the respondents by benefits derived from cooperative societies

(N=180)

Benefit from co-operative society	Strongly Agreed	Agreed	Undecided	Disagreed	Strongly Disagreed
Access to Credit/Loan	95 (52.78)	36 (20.00)	25 (13.89)	21 (11.67)	3 (1.67)
Job Opportunity	19 (10.56)	123 (68.33)	15 (8.33)	19 (10.56)	4 (2.22)
Job Training	44 (24.58)	41 (22.91)	60 (33.52)	32 (17.88)	2 (1.12)
Farm Input	50 (27.78)	47 (26.11)	39 (21.67)	41 (22.78)	3 (1.67)
Access to Subsidy	155 (86.11)	0 (0.00)	25 (13.89)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Access to information	102 (56.67)	46 (25.56)	0 (0.00)	25 (13.89)	7 (3.89)
Savings increase	77 (73.89)	30 (16.67)	12 (6.67)	5 (2.78)	0 (0.00)
Low interest on Loan	152 (83.34)	26 (14.44)	0 (0.00)	4 (2.22)	0 (0.00)
Easier than Micro-finance	79 (43.89)	40 (22.22)	25 (13.89)	23 (12.78)	13 (7.22)
Increase in income	0 (0.00)	180 (77.78)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Better access to Expenditure on health	167 (92.78)	13 (7.22)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Access to quality food and other materials	0 (0.00)	180 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Social recognition	39 (21.67)	141(78.33)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Increase in decision making roles	121 (67.23)	23 (12.78)	27 (15.00)	0 (0.00)	9 (5.00)
Market for farm produce.	122 (67.78)	27 (15.00)	23 (12.78)	8 (4.44)	0 (_0.00)

Note: Values in parentheses are percentages

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Those that strongly affirmed that they received farm inputs from cooperatives were 27.7% while 26.11% partially agreed to this claim and 1.67% strongly disagreed. Majority (86.11%) of the respondents strongly agreed that they received subsidies from cooperative societies and none of the women raised objection to this claim. Table 2 also revealed that 56.67% of the respondents strongly agreed that they have access to information through the help of cooperative society while only 3.89% strongly disagreed with this claim. Majority (73.88%) strongly claimed that their savings were increased through the cooperative while 6.67% were not certain whether their savings increased or not. Large number (83.34%) of the cooperators strongly agreed that the interest on the cooperative loan is very low.

Respondents generally (100%) agreed that they have their income increased through the aid of cooperatives. Large number (92.78%) of the respondents claimed that they were able to spend more money on their family health when they joined cooperative societies. It was generally (100%) agreed by the respondents that they have better access to quality food through cooperative societies. Majority (78.33%) also agreed that they have better social recognition when they joined cooperative society and none of them raise objection to this claim. Roles in decision making process by women was increase when they joined cooperative society, as it was strongly confirmed by majority (67.23%) and only 5% of respondents strongly disagreed with this claim.

25(13.9)

87(48.3)

110(61.1)

149(82.8)

102(56.7)

129(71.7)

Farm land

Building Motorcycle

Bicycle Car

Telephone

Television

Radio

Do you own this Acquired before join Acquired after join Asset/items/livestock Asset/item/livestock cooperative society cooperative society Yes Yes No No Yes No 160(90.0) 69(38.3) 111(61.7) 18(10.0) 93(51.7) 87(48.3) 81(45.0) 99(55.0) 13(7.2) 167(92.8) 68(37.8) 112(62.2) 104(57.8) 76(42.2) 2(1.1) 178(98.9) 102(56.7) 78(43.3)

7(3.9)

5(2.8)

22(12.2)

13(7.2)

7(3.9)

31(17.2)

Table 3. Distribution of respondents by contributions of cooperative societies to the well-being of women (180)

155(86.1)

93(51.7)

70(38.9)

31(17.2)

78(43.3)

51(28.3)

Note: Values in parentheses are percentages

Animal (Cow, goat, Sheep, Chicken)

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Table 4. T-test analysis (Income)

Group	Observation	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Income/Month before joining cooperative	180	71,174.44	02759.45	37021.98	65729.2	76619.69
Income/Month after joining cooperative	180	110,788.90	3331.60	44698.12	104214.6	117363.20
Difference	180	-39,614.44	1675.98	22485.69	-42921.67	-36307.22

diff = mean(0) - mean(1); t = -23.6365;

Ho: diff = 0; degree of freedom = 179;

Ha: diff < 0; Ha: diff! = 0; Ha: diff > 0;

Pr(T < t) = 0.0000; Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0000; Pr(T > t) = 1.0000

They got better market for their produce when they joined cooperative societies as it was confirmed by 67.78% of the respondents.

## Contributions of cooperative societies to the well-being of women

Table 3 revealed that 61.7% of the respondents affirmed that they owned farm land. Out of these 61.7% only 10% were able to acquired farmland before joining cooperative society while 51.7% got theirs after they joined cooperative. Majority (37.8% out of 45%) of the respondents who have buildings were able to acquire it after joining cooperative society. Also 56.7% out of 57.8% of those respondents that possessed motorcycle were able to purchased it after they joined cooperative society, ditto to bicycle (10.0% out of 13.9%) and car (45.6% out of 48.3% of the respondents).

It was further revealed that 48.9% out of 61.1% of respondents who have family animals were able to acquire it after they joined cooperative society. Also, 75.6% out of 82.8% that have access to mobile phones claimed that they were able to acquired the phones after they joined cooperative society, ditto to radio (52.8% out of 56.7%) and television (54.4% of 71.7%). This implies that majority of the women were able to acquire farmland, Building, Motorcycle, Bicycle, Car, Animal, Mobile phone, Radio and Television after they have joined cooperative society.

# **Hypothesis Testing**

Table 5 showed the mean income of the women in the study area before and after they joined cooperative societies. The mean incomes of the women on monthly basis before and after they become members of cooperative were ₹71,174.44 and ₹110,788.90 respectively. The difference in the mean of the income (before and after) was \$\frac{1}{39},614.44 and was statistically significant. This implies that there was significant difference between the incomes of the respondent before and after they joined cooperative societies.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

173(96.1)

175(97.2)

158(87.8)

167(92.8)

173(96.1)

149 (82.2)

18(10.0)

82(45.6)

88(48.9)

95(52.8)

98(54.4)

136(75.6)

162(90.0)

98(54.4)

92(51.1)

44(24.4)

85(47.2)

82(45.6)

Women in the study area were in their productive age and were employed. Majority of respondents were married and have sizeable household members to take care of. Three major religions in Nigeria were practiced in the study area and there was high level of literacy among them. Majority of the respondents were able to gather more possessions as a result of their cooperative society membership and have better access to good health because they earned higher income after joining cooperative societies. All these findings provide strong evidence that cooperative societies contributed a lot to women well-being in the study area. It is therefore recommended, based on the findings of this study that women should be encouraged to join cooperative society of their choice and government at all level should use cooperative as a mean to better well-being of women in Nigeria.

# **REFERENCES**

Ademilua, V. 2017. Influence of cooperative membership on women owned SMEs in West Africa. Global Scientific Journal, 5(12), 9-27.

Baruwa, O.I., Owombo, P.T., Idumah, F.O. and Adesina, C.A. 2016. Influence of Farmers' Cooperatives Membership on Inputs Access and Land Management in Osun State, Nigeria, Applied Tropical Agriculture, 21(1), 68-73.

Ferguson, H. and Kepe, T. 2011. Agricultural cooperatives and social empowerment of women: A ugandan case study. Development in Practice, 21(3), 421-429.

Godwin, S. 2011. Poverty Reduction Through the Use of Cooperative Societies. Kaduna: Nuhu-Bamalli Polytechnic International Cooperative Alliance (ICA) (1995). Review **International Cooperatives** 

Ibitoye, S.J. 2012. Survey of the Performance of Agricultural Cooperative Societies in Kogi State, Nigeria. European Scientific Journal, 8 (28), 98-114.

ICA, 1995. Statement on the Cooperative Identity, Geneva, 2002

- ICA, 1998. Statistics and Information on European Cooperatives. December, 1998. Pp.57.
- Ihimodu, 2008. Gender Equality and Woman's Empowerment: A Critical Analysis of the Third Millennium Development Goal. *Gender and Development*, 12(1), 13.
- ILO, 1999. The Role of the ILO in Technical Cooperation: Report VI to the 87th Session of the International Labour Conference.
- Kareem, R.O, Arigbabu, Y.D, Akintaro, J.A and Badmus, M.A. 2012. The Impact of Co- Operative Society on Capital Formation (A Case Study of Temidere Co Operative and Thrift- Society, Ijebu- Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria). Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Veterinary Science 12(11): 17-28.
- Taiwo, A.O., Udunze, U.M, and Agbasi, O.E. 2015. Effect of cooperative membership on the economic empowerment of women in Osun State of Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Economics Research*, 4(2): 21-29.
- Tonyi, S. 2009. People First: A Guide To Self-Reliant, Participatory And Rural Development. London, Zed Press.
- Ufoaroh, E.T. 2017. Impact of cooperative society in empowerment of rural women: a case of indigenous women of Nimo town, Anambra State Nigeria. *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 2(5A): 488-499.
- UN. Cooperative Enterprise in the Health and Social Care Sectors: A Global Survey. UN Publications Sales No. E.96.IV.11. 1997.

\*\*\*\*\*