

DECIPHERING ARTICLE 6 OF THE PARIS AGREEMENT: MECHANISMS FOR ENHANCED CLIMATE COLLABORATION***Ahmed Elidrisy**

Environmental and Social Governance (ESG) and Sustainability Manager, Qatar

Received 09th March 2024; **Accepted** 12th April 2024; **Published online** 30th May 2024

Abstract

The Paris Agreement, adopted in 2015 as a landmark accord in the fight against climate change, introduced a set of innovative mechanisms under Article 6, aimed at fostering international collaboration towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Article 6 outlines three distinct mechanisms: voluntary cooperation between parties (Article 6.2), the establishment of a new sustainable development mechanism (Article 6.4), and the provision for non-market approaches (Article 6.8). Each mechanism offers unique opportunities and challenges in facilitating nations to achieve and enhance their nationally determined contributions (NDCs). The research article explores the intricacies of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement, where implications on global climate cooperation are dissected. The article examines the mechanism of voluntary collaboration, emphasizing the Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes. Challenges found range from political complexities to environmental standards, as these are quite carefully analyzed when the solutions have to be proposed. The success of non-market approaches depends upon seamless integration with national strategies. These address the technology transfer by overcoming the measurement and reporting challenges. Economic dynamics, political hurdles, and global collaboration requirements are vital. Navigating such challenges provides an opportunity for expediting climate action, where economic growth is driven along with fostering innovation.

Keywords: Article 6 of Paris Agreement, Climate Collaboration, Non-Market Approaches..

INTRODUCTION

The Paris Agreement, a landmark treaty within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), ratified in 2015, marked a pivotal moment in global efforts to combat climate change. It set forth an ambitious goal to limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels while pursuing efforts to limit the increase to 1.5 degrees Celsius. The innovative and collaborative framework introduced by Article 6 of the Agreement is central to achieving these objectives[1]. This crucial component of the Paris Agreement is designed to facilitate and enhance international cooperation in the fight against climate change, offering a pathway for countries to achieve their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) more efficiently and effectively. The introduction of Article 6 represents a significant evolution in the approach to international climate policy. It acknowledges the interconnected nature of global climate systems and the need for collaborative solutions transcending national borders. Article 6 comprises three distinct but complementary mechanisms: voluntary cooperation between parties through internationally transferred mitigation outcomes (ITMOs) as per Article 6.2; the establishment of a new sustainable development mechanism (SDM) under Article 6.4; and the promotion of non-market approaches outlined in Article 6.8[1]. Together, these mechanisms provide a diverse set of tools for countries to engage in mutually beneficial climate action, facilitating the achievement of emission reduction targets cost-effectively and sustainably. Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement will likely address the critical aspects of international climate cooperation.

As voluntary collaboration between the countries is established, nationally determined contributions will probably be achieved. The article aims to enhance global efforts so that countries will be able to collaborate voluntarily for the execution of climate action plans. The article provides a mechanism to help countries accomplish their NDCs more efficiently [2]. The movement of the mitigation outcomes across the various borders and sustainable development is likely to be provided here. Article 6.2 establishes an entire system for countries to receive and transfer ITMOs. The reductions in emissions and removals will likely be attained through such cooperative activities. The nations get an opportunity to work collaboratively to reach the collective climate goals. The suitable approaches for joint implementations, collaborative projects, and market-based mechanisms are handed over to the countries involved[3]. Such methods comprise emission reduction projects, trading systems on emissions, and initiatives on sustainable development. Moreover, Article 6.2 emphasizes the significance of cooperative activities in helping accomplish sustainable development goals. The economic and social co-benefits, environmental integrities, and minimization of the significant effects on the local communities are promoted through these [4]. A robust accounting framework is likely to be established through the article so that the movement of ITMOs can be verified and tracked. The accuracy, environmental integrity, and transparency of emissions reduction calculations will likely be ensured. An inclusive and flexible platform is provided by Article 6.2 as the various countries collectively collaborate and address the challenges of climate change. As the movement of the mitigation outcomes and the encouragement of sustainable development is facilitated, the effectiveness of global climate action is enhanced. The climate goals are then accomplished through the cooperative and an interconnected approach. Therefore, article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement sets the ground for voluntary

***Corresponding Author: Ahmed Elidrisy,**
Environmental and Social Governance (ESG) and Sustainability
Manager, Qatar.

cooperation among the nations[5]. The countries will likely leverage the resources and strengths that collectively proceed towards a low carbon-based sustainable future.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Voluntary Cooperation under Article 6.2

A framework for voluntary cooperation is found to be established through Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement, where nations collaborate to accomplish climate goals. This provision allows countries to get involved with cooperative approaches such as joint projects and emissions trading. These foster efficiencies by achieving nationally determined contributions [6]. The ideology of Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes is central to Article 6.2, where the removals among the nations and the emission-based reductions must be facilitated. While sustainable development is emphasized, the article promotes activities that generate economic, environmental, and social co-benefits [7]. The integrity within the tracking of ITMOs, along with transparency, has to be ensured through robust accounting mechanisms. As shared responsibility and flexibility are promoted, global responses in terms of climate change are enhanced through Article 6.2.

Operational Framework of Article 6.2

Regarding establishing the operational framework by Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement, voluntary cooperation has to be considered. The various nations are engaged in cooperative approaches like joint projects and emissions trading that contribute to sustainable development, ensuring environmental integrity and avoiding adverse effects. Transparency measures and robust accounting are integral in verifying ITMO transfers and accurate reporting[8]. The significance of promoting sustainable development goals has to be fostered while global collaboration must be monitored. A structured pathway is provided to the nations through Article 6.2, through which the nations can easily enhance climate-related goals and earn a resilient future.

Potential Impacts

There are profound implications for global greenhouse gas emissions reduction and economic stability. As voluntary cooperation and collective efforts are involved, the nations will likely accomplish further emission reduction targets [9]. The collaborative approach in the scenario enhances the use and sharing of innovative technologies. Furthermore, Article 6.2 also ensures that the contribution to climate action is through economic stability in terms of promoting environment-related and socially inclusive practices. The outlined cooperative mechanisms of the article, like joint projects and emissions trading, hold the potential for economic opportunities[10]. Innovations are stimulated through these, and green opportunities are developed. As these nations affiliate and address climate change, the after-effects go beyond the environmental benefits.

Challenges in Implementation

Some multifaceted challenges exist when Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement is implemented. Some countries needed more domestic action as these hindered the performance of the

cooperative mechanisms. One of the most repeated challenges includes balancing practical feasibility and environmental integrity [11]. As the nations are found to strive for the cause of designing collaborative projects, emissions are reduced, and sustainable development is promoted. This sort of balance demands navigating the priorities along with the national circumstances. Furthermore, the maintenance of the environmental standards as per collaborative efforts needs to be ensured as the regulations can head towards disparities[12]. Therefore, the international community has to navigate all the potential obstacles and address all of these so that cooperation and trust can be maximized.

Role of Non-State Actors and Subnational Entities

Some non-state actors are essential in advancing the climate as per Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement. Such actors encompass a wide spectrum, including civil society organizations, subnational entities, and businesses. The various companies contribute towards the execution of sustainable practices, taking initiatives on emission reductions and making investments in renewable technologies[13]. The role of an advocate is played by the Civil society organizations that influence the opinion of the public and the policy-making assessments. Non-governmental firms facilitate collaboration and provide expertise in project development. Collaboratively, these non-governmental organizations facilitate collaboration and offer expertise for project development. These non-state actors create a dynamic landscape with diverse contributions[14]. The involvement of these parties intensifies the effects of the climate actions and fosters a bottom-up approach. The inclusion of the various non-state actors is essential for the goals that need to be achieved.

Sustainable Development Mechanism Article 6.4

The Sustainable Development Mechanism is believed to be the successor of the Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development Mechanism as it was introduced per the Paris Agreement. The SDM stands over the CDM's foundation but is found to incorporate improvements to address the shortcomings[15]. Sustainable Development must be promoted while the contribution towards reducing global emissions must be monitored. The internationally transferred mitigation outcomes have to be allowed by the SDM as this develops cooperation among the developing and developed countries. A broader range of assessments is emphasized here, including policy and sectoral approaches[16]. The structures of robust governance ensure transparency, environmental integrity, and the minimization of double counting. The concerned mechanism correlates with the landscape that evolves with the climate action-based landscape.

Objectives of Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM)

The primary objectives of Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement are to ensure that emission reductions are likely to contribute towards attaining nationally determined contributions and sustainable development. As the integration of the sustainable development goals is emphasized, Article 6.2 ensures that all cooperative activities emphasize the multiple benefits. The concerned approach will likely affiliate with climate action as broader development objectives are involved[17]. Moreover, the mechanism assists the countries regarding how the NDCs facilitate international cooperation. As there are some

collaborative efforts, the nations maximize the resources they possess, execute innovative technologies, and work collectively to meet the climate targets.

Operational Framework

Challenges in Implementation

The challenges found to be affiliated with Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement include maintaining a balance while conducting the Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) and the execution of emission reduction projects. Understanding this balance is essential to strengthen accountability and transparency by avoiding the excessive burdens likely to be a part of project developers. The challenge is found in the design procedures of the MRV framework, which appear quite rigorous but adaptable to some extent[18]. Proper navigation of the multiple priorities is required to balance the needs of governments, civil societies, local communities, and businesses. Effective stakeholder engagement is essential to ensure equitable distribution and build trust.

Potential Impacts

A promising avenue for investment in sustainable practices and clean technologies is presented in Article 6.2. The framework encourages the nations to engage in emission reduction projects that foster an investment-attractive environment. All of the investors for these scenarios are drawn towards the projects that contribute to the global climate goals and align with the sustainable development objectives[19]. The exchange offered by the Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes allows the flow conducted by the financial resources. The mechanism's collaborative nature enhances the scalability of initiatives on clean technology.

Role of Non-State Actors

The success of Article 6.2 depends significantly on the role played by businesses, Non-state actors, and NGOs. The NGOs act as influential advocates who drive awareness and mobilize public support. These parties' involvement ensures that the cooperative mechanisms prioritize the social and environmental integrities. Conversely, businesses contribute by investing in and executing sustainable practices[20]. These businesses carry innovation and the financial resources that accelerate the transition towards a low-carbon economy. As the efforts of companies and NGOs collaborate, the diversity and the initiatives on climate effectiveness are enriched.

Non-Market Approaches (Article 6.8)

The non-market approaches are introduced by Article 6.8 of the Paris Agreement as these act as complementary mechanisms for market-based approaches. Non-market approaches play a vital role in achieving emission reduction. These primarily focus on the strategies that don't involve movement of the mitigation outcomes. The non-market approaches address a range of cooperative actions, including the regulations, policies, and other activities that contribute to reducing emissions [21]. The flexibility for the countries is provided through these as they tailor the climate strategies to their priorities and local contexts. The non-market approaches

found within Article 6.8 help recognize the significance of non-market-related solutions.

Significance of Non-Market Approaches

Under Article 6.8 of the Paris Agreement, the Non-Market Approaches hold quite some significance regarding the global effort that combats climate change. These approaches offer an inclusive and flexible framework where market-based mechanisms are complemented. As compared with the traditional market approaches, the non-market mechanisms hold an entire set of actions, initiatives and policies that add to emissions reductions and sustainable development. The concerned flexibility holds some challenges and priorities for the individual nations [20]. The non-market approaches give powers to the countries to execute several measures like capacity building programs, regulatory frameworks and technology transfers. While emphasizing the significance of the comprehensive strategy, the non-market approaches play a rather essential role.

METHODOLOGY

Secondary qualitative research includes assessing and interpreting qualitative data that already exists. The secondary qualitative research researchers collect the data from focus groups, case studies, and interviews. The method concerned manages a deeper comprehension of the research topic, in which the information is reanalyzed and interpreted based on theoretical lenses. The procedures involve synthesizing the information from the different sources that permit a comprehensive view[22]. The validity and reliability within the secondary analysis are essential in the original studies and quality assessment. Secondary qualitative research brings cost-effective means for utilizing existing data to contribute to cumulative knowledge.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Potential Applications

These non-market approaches are significantly effective in the diverse areas of climate action. Most importantly, these prove valuable in executing robust regulatory frameworks and eventually shaping the policies promoting governance structures [4]. The non-market approaches excel by providing support regarding the capacity-building initiatives through which technology movement is facilitated. A pivotal role is played by fostering research where development collaborations are involved. Non-market approaches are quite practical as they must be promoted through nature-based solutions. These are crucial for addressing the adaptation challenges, specifically in developing countries [23]. These non-market approaches demonstrate effectiveness towards a broader spectrum with an inclusive and flexible framework.

Challenges in Implementation

While these approaches are meant to be defined, a common understanding is required regarding consistency and ensuring its execution across nations. These scenarios' operational guidelines are essential as they develop transparency for non-market approaches that collaborate with national climate strategies. There is some clarity regarding the definitions, so

the ambiguity will likely be prevented as effective communication is facilitated and the stakeholders collaborate [24]. A well-defined structure establishes criteria for the non-market initiatives where trust and broader participation are encouraged. So, operationalization and delineation of non-market approaches are recommended to maximize the influence.

Collaboration and Capacity Building

As per Article 6.8 of the Paris Agreement, a crucial role of collaboration among the diverse stakeholders has to be addressed regarding the NGOs where the indigenous communities, NGOs, and private sectors are involved. An inclusive and participatory approach is promoted through these initiatives that help recognize climate change issues along with collective efforts. These NGOs play a critical role in advocacy and knowledge-sharing, which must be examined[25]. Still, in the private sector, a contribution is made in terms of financial resources and innovation. The collaborative nature of the concerned article develops partnerships among the stakeholders and the countries where the unique strengths and expertise are leveraged.

Integration with National Policies

The non-market approaches and their success hinge on the seamless integration of policies and national climate plans. The core factor in this regard is the alignment of the non-market approaches and the overarching goals of climate actions. The embedding of non-market mechanisms within the policy frameworks is likely to be leveraged as integral tools of the emission reduction targets. Strategic integration includes the harmonization of non-market approaches along with existing regulatory structures, all while promoting clarity and consistency [19]. Moreover, success is found to be contingent on the creation of environments that encourage collaboration among diverse stakeholders. The efficient coordination maintains that the non-market approaches can complement broader climate policies where the impact would be maximized.

Role of Technology and Innovation

Regarding the movement of innovation and technology, the key components of the non-market approaches are found to be pivotal. As the exchange of sustainable technologies can be facilitated, the countries will likely leapfrog the conventional development pathways. The collaboration of creative solutions regarding climate strategies can enhance resilience and promote long-term sustainability. The technology transfer is expected to foster global cooperation where the nations allow the sharing of knowledge and the finest practices[26]. Maintaining equitable access to technological advancements and innovations will likely become important, specifically for developing countries that promote inclusive and balanced approaches.

Challenges and Opportunities

Technical Challenges

Issues surrounding measurement, reporting, and verification are among the critical components contributing to non-market approaches' success. Standardization is crucial in establishing a

consistent framework for reporting and assessing emissions reductions. Developing a balance between the robustness and the flexibility of the MRV systems ensures that accuracy and transparency are involved in the diverse initiatives. The movement of technology is fundamental, where enabling innovative solutions can be essential[27]. Equitable access to technologies demands comprehensive efforts of capacity building, specifically in the nations at the developing stage. A successful resolution of such aspects increases the effectiveness of the non-market approaches where inclusive and collaborative global responses are fostered.

Political Challenges

The various political challenges will likely loom large within the execution of the non-market approaches. The overall success of the collaborative efforts is expected to depend on global cooperation and its effectiveness. Maintaining a balance for national interests is likely a challenge, as some countries can prioritize economic development over climate action. The accomplishment of the equities can be intricate as the disparities within the resources and capacities must be addressed to ensure that all nations can benefit[5]. The political hurdles can be negotiated as the decision-making procedures regarding their transparency are likely to be considered. Maintaining a balance in political challenges is essential to successfully execute non-market approaches as the fairness of global climate initiatives is ensured.

Economic Challenges

There can be some challenges in mobilizing finance where the navigation of the market dynamics is centralized for proper execution of the non-market approaches. The mobilizing of adequate financial resources for climate-related initiatives is a long-lasting obstacle. The overall variability in the market dynamics, including the uncertainties of the carbon pricing and the investment risks, is likely to complicate the execution and development of the non-market mechanisms[28]. The concerned challenge is found within a conducive environment where the private sector and its engagement with the market signals align with the climate goals. Addressing such difficulties is likely to demand a robust financial instrument where transparency in the market mechanisms is detected.

Opportunities

These non-market approaches represent a myriad of multiple opportunities that have the potential to influence climate action tremendously. These offer avenues for accelerating climate action, where collaboration among businesses, communities, and countries can be fostered. The emphasis on innovation permits the deployment and development of cutting-edge technologies and some practices through which a pathway for sustainable solutions is developed[29]. The mentioned approaches can also add to economic growth by enhancing investments in clean technologies and green jobs and enhancing resilience and sustainability within industries. Global collaboration is likely to be improved through the encouragement of knowledge sharing, joint initiatives, and the movement of technologies.

Conclusion

Article 6 reveals a rather detailed framework for international cooperation on climate change issues. The focus of Article 6.2

is on voluntary collaboration, where mechanisms such as Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes are promoted to enhance global efforts. The article examines the balance that needs to be maintained regarding sustainable development and emission reduction. Article 6.8, on the other side, presents non-market approaches that provide flexibility beyond traditional market mechanisms. Collaboration within businesses, countries, and NGOs is emphasized to help accomplish climate goals. Some challenges include the balancing of the MRV procedures, the accommodation of diverse national interests, and the maintenance of environmental standards. These Non-Market approaches are likely to succeed through integration with the national strategies. The political and economic challenges that might be underestimated demand an ample amount of attention. As these challenges are successfully navigated, the opportunities for accelerating climate action will be unlocked.

REFERENCES

1. Agreement, P. Paris agreement. In report of the conference of the parties to the United Nations framework convention on climate change (21st session, 2015: Paris). Retrived December. 2015. HeinOnline.
2. Edmonds, J., et al., How much could Article 6 enhance nationally determined contribution ambition toward Paris Agreement goals through economic efficiency? *Climate Change Economics*, 2021. 12(02): p. 2150007.
3. Müller, B. and A. Michaelowa, How to operationalize accounting under Article 6 market mechanisms of the Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, 2019. 19(7): p. 812-819.
4. La Hoz Theuer, S., L. Schneider, and D. Broekhoff, When less is more: limits to international transfers under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, 2019. 19(4): p. 401-413.
5. Stua, M., C. Nolden, and M. Coulon, Climate clubs embedded in Article 6 of the Paris Agreement. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 2022. 180: p. 106178.
6. Oliveira, T.D., A.C. Gurgel, and S. Tonry, International market mechanisms under the Paris Agreement: A cooperation between Brazil and Europe. *Energy policy*, 2019. 129: p. 397-409.
7. Kreibich, N. and L. Hermwille, Caught in between: credibility and feasibility of the voluntary carbon market post-2020. *Climate Policy*, 2021. 21(7): p. 939-957.
8. Schneider, L. and S. La Hoz Theuer, Environmental integrity of international carbon market mechanisms under the Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, 2019. 19(3): p. 386-400.
9. Höhne, N., et al. The Paris Agreement: resolving the inconsistency between global goals and national contributions. in *Climate Policy after the 2015 Paris Climate Conference*. 2021. Routledge.
10. Meinshausen, M., et al., Realization of Paris Agreement pledges may limit warming just below 2 C. *Nature*, 2022. 604(7905): p. 304-309.
11. Tolliver, C., A.R. Keeley, and S. Managi, Green bonds for the Paris agreement and sustainable development goals. *Environmental Research Letters*, 2019. 14(6): p. 064009.
12. Rogelj, J., et al., A new scenario logic for the Paris Agreement long-term temperature goal. *Nature*, 2019. 573(7774): p. 357-363.
13. Streck, C., Strengthening the Paris agreement by holding non-state actors accountable: Establishing normative links between transnational partnerships and treaty implementation. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 2021. 10(3): p. 493-515.
14. Murthy, S.L., States and cities as norm sustainers: A role for subnational actors in the paris agreement on climate change. *Va. Envtl. LJ*, 2019. 37: p. 1.
15. Wang, L., L. Chen, and Y. Li, Digital economy and urban low-carbon sustainable development: the role of innovation factor mobility in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2022. 29(32): p. 48539-48557.
16. Barua, S., Financing sustainable development goals: A review of challenges and mitigation strategies. *Business Strategy & Development*, 2020. 3(3): p. 277-293.
17. Bhutta, U.S., et al., Green bonds for sustainable development: Review of literature on development and impact of green bonds. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2022. 175: p. 121378.
18. Gershinkova, D., Unresolved issues of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement—Is a compromise possible in Glasgow? *International Organizations Research Journal*, 2021. 16(3): p. 79-98.
19. Olsen, K.H., et al., Sustainability labelling as a tool for reporting the sustainable development impacts of climate actions relevant to Article 6 of the Paris Agreement. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 2019. 19: p. 225-251.
20. Michaelowa, A., et al., Development of guidance for non-market approaches in the Paris Agreement: operationalizing Articles 6.8 and 6.9 of the Paris Agreement. *Climate Change*, 2021. 42(42).
21. Anderson, R., A Seat at the (Trading) Table: Non-Market Mechanisms in the Paris Agreement. 2020, Itä-Suomen yliopisto.
22. Cheong, H.-i., et al., Secondary qualitative research methodology using online data within the context of social sciences. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 2023. 22: p. 16094069231180160.
23. Nauels, A., et al., Attributing long-term sea-level rise to Paris Agreement emission pledges. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2019. 116(47): p. 23487-23492.
24. Zhang, H., X. Zhang, and J. Yuan, Transition of China's power sector consistent with Paris Agreement into 2050: Pathways and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2020. 132: p. 110102.
25. Mehling, M.A., Governing cooperative approaches under the Paris Agreement. *Ecology Law Quarterly*, 2019. 46(3): p. 765-828.
26. Voitko, S., O. Trofymenko, and T. Pavlenko. Decarbonisation of the economy through the introduction of innovative technologies into the energy sector. 2021. International Conference on Sustainable, Circular Management and
27. Zafar, M.W., Q. Qin, and S.A.H. Zaidi, Foreign direct investment and education as determinants of environmental quality: The importance of post Paris Agreement (COP21). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2020. 270: p. 110827.
28. Sumaila, U.R., et al., Benefits of the Paris Agreement to ocean life, economies, and people. *Science advances*, 2019. 5(2): p. eaau3855.
29. Marlow, T., S. Miller, and J.T. Roberts, Bots and online climate discourses: Twitter discourse on President Trump's announcement of US withdrawal from the Paris Agreement. *Climate Policy*, 2021. 21(6): p. 765-777.