

**Research Article****LITERACY IN THE 21ST CENTURY: DIMENSIONS, DEVELOPMENT, AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS*****Ashwannie Harripersaud, Lourianne Batson and Daizal R. Samad**

Corentyne Comprehensive High School, Ministry of Education, Guyana

Received 26th August 2026; Accepted 20th September 2026; Published online 30th October 2026

Abstract

This paper takes a long, hard look at literacy as something far bigger and far messier than the simple ability to read and write. It wanders through the many definitions and types of literacy that have grown up around us digital literacy, critical media literacy, cultural literacy, multimodal literacies, and more and asks what each of them actually demands of learners and teachers. From there, it digs into the psychological side of things: the cognitive, social-emotional, and ecological forces that decide how people pick up literate practices and what they do with them. Major psychological theories cognitive developmental theory, sociocultural theory, and constructivism get a thorough working-over, with an eye to what they mean for real classrooms. A historical sweep follows, tracing how literacy pedagogy moved from behaviourist drilling to the sociocultural and critical approaches that dominate today. Threaded through all of it is a running concern with practice: what all this theory and history actually asks of teachers, especially those working in diverse and multi-dialectal settings like Guyana.

Keywords: Literacy, Pedagogical, Sociocultural.

INTRODUCTION

Literacy sits at a strange crossroads. It is one of the great achievements of human civilization and, at the same time, one of the thorniest problems schools face. Everyone agrees it matters. Almost no one agrees on exactly what it is. For much of the twentieth century, the answer seemed obvious enough literacy meant reading and writing printed text, a tidy set of skills that could be taught, tested, and ticked off. That answer still echoes through policy documents and census forms around the world. Pakistan's census definitions, for instance, crept along from "one who could read a clear print in any language" in 1951 to "one who can read newspaper and write a simple letter" in 1998. Official definitions move slowly, and they move with the times. But they capture only a sliver of what literacy actually involves. Scholars across a range of disciplines have spent decades pulling that sliver apart. Scribner and Cole, working among the Vai people of Liberia, showed that literacy practices cannot be separated from the social worlds they live in. Street drew a line between *autonomous* and *ideological* models of literacy and, in doing so, made a point that is hard to unsee: literacy is never neutral. It carries cultural assumptions, power relations, and epistemological baggage wherever it goes. UNESCO's current framing pushes further still. Literacy, in its account, is a means of identification, understanding, interpretation, creation, and communication in a world that is digital, text-saturated, information-heavy, and changing fast. It is a continuum of learning that lets people pursue their goals, grow their knowledge, and take part fully in their communities. Digital competencies, media literacy, education for sustainable development, global citizenship all of it falls under the same umbrella. That is a long way from decoding print. For educators, this widening has real consequences. At the Cyril Potter College of Education, courses like *Literacy Conception and Theoretical Perspectives*, *Foundations of Teaching Reading in the Secondary School*, and *Learning and Cognition*

are preparing teachers for Guyanese classrooms. Treating literacy as multidimensional is not a scholarly indulgence in that setting it is a working necessity. The students in those classrooms arrive with different linguistic repertoires, cultural backgrounds, and digital lives, and all of those shapes how they meet a text, whether it's printed or on a screen. Guyana's multilingual society Indigenous languages, Creolese, Formal English, all rubbing shoulders demands an approach that honours that diversity rather than steamrolling it. Digital technologies have made the picture more complicated again. The COVID-19 pandemic shoved remote learning into the mainstream and laid bare both the promise and the peril of digital literacy. Students now move through social media, weigh up online information, communicate across multiple modes, and bump up against artificial intelligence systems. These new literacies ask for technical competence, yes, but also critical evaluation, ethical reasoning, and equitable access. This paper pulls together contemporary scholarship on literacy around four questions. How has literacy been defined, and what types of literacy are recognised now? The answer involves a long shift from singular, skill-based definitions to multifaceted conceptions that include digital, cultural, critical, and multimodal literacies. What cognitive, social-emotional, and ecological factors shape literacy development? Here the focus falls on psychological processes phonological awareness, working memory, motivation, self-concept and environmental influences family, community, culture, policy that together decide literacy outcomes. How do major psychological theories inform literacy instruction? Cognitive developmental theory, sociocultural theory, and constructivism each offer something different, and each has practical implications for designing instruction and intervention. What does the historical evolution of literacy pedagogy reveal about current best practices? The journey runs from behaviourist skill-drilling through whole-language approaches to sociocultural and critical pedagogies, and it leaves behind both enduring insights and cautionary tales. The thesis running through all of this is straightforward: literacy is not a single skill but a dynamic, socially situated, and multidimensional set of practices.

***Corresponding Author: Ashwannie Harripersaud,**
Corentyne Comprehensive High School, Ministry of Education, Guyana.

Understanding that complexity matters for designing instruction that prepares learners for the full range of literate practices they will need in the twenty-first century. For educators in Guyana and elsewhere, the urgency is real. Literacy remains, as Freire insisted, a means of reading both the word and the world. The task of literacy instruction is to equip learners for both.

2. Defining Literacy: From Singular Skill to Multifaceted Construct

2.1 Traditional and Evolving Conceptions

The most conventional definition of literacy the ability to read and write still turns up in policy documents and census categories around the world. It has deep historical roots. For centuries, literacy meant mastering a fixed set of mechanical skills: recognising letters, decoding words, producing written text. Signing your name, reading a passage from a religious text, writing a simple letter any of these could serve as the threshold for being counted "literate." Definitions like these were functional, measurable, and administratively convenient, letting governments track progress, allocate resources, and compare populations across regions and time periods. Scholars have been pushing back against the narrow framing for a long time. Scribner and Cole's work on the psychology of literacy, conducted among the Vai people of Liberia, showed that literacy practices cannot be prised apart from the social contexts in which they are embedded. The Vai had developed their own script and used it for specific purposes keeping records, writing letters while remaining "non-literate" in English or Arabic. Scribner and Cole found that the cognitive effects of literacy depended not on literacy as such but on the specific practices in which it was embedded. That finding undercut the assumption that literacy automatically produces generalised cognitive benefits and opened the door to seeing literacy as a set of socially situated practices rather than a single, transferable skill.

Street's distinction between *autonomous* and *ideological* models of literacy sharpened the point. Literacy, he argued, is never neutral it always carries cultural assumptions, power relations, and epistemological commitments. The autonomous model treats literacy as a technical skill independent of social context, a view that underpins plenty of educational policies and international development initiatives. The ideological model recognises that literacy practices are always embedded in power relations and cultural values. What counts as literacy, who gets to define it, and how it is taught are all political questions. Street's work, drawing on ethnographic research in Iran and elsewhere, demonstrated that literacy practices vary across cultures and that imposing one model of literacy on diverse communities can perpetuate inequality and push local knowledge to the margins. Later scholars extended the critique. Gee's work on social linguistics and literacies introduced the concept of "Discourses" ways of being, doing, and saying that are bound up with literacy practices. Literacy, on this account, is not just about reading and writing; it is about mastering the social languages and identities associated with particular communities. Barton and Hamilton's ecological approach emphasised that literacy is always situated in specific social contexts and that people's literacy practices are shaped by their everyday lives, relationships, and purposes. Taken together, these perspectives paint literacy as dynamic, plural, and deeply embedded in social life.

The implications are considerable. If literacy is not a single, universal skill but a diverse set of socially situated practices, then educational approaches have to be responsive to context, culture, and purpose. One-size-fits-all literacy curricula will not do. Assessment practices that measure only decoding and comprehension miss essential dimensions of literate competence. Policies that treat literacy as a simple input-output equation ignore the complex social, cultural, and psychological factors that shape how people actually engage with text.

2.2 Types of Literacy in the Contemporary Landscape

Contemporary scholarship recognises a whole proliferation of literacy types, each addressing a distinct domain of communicative practice. The proliferation reflects the growing complexity of modern life, the diversification of communication technologies, and the recognition that different contexts demand different literate competencies.

2.2.1 Basic and Functional Literacy

Basic and functional literacy covers the foundational skills of decoding, encoding, and comprehension needed to get through everyday life reading signs, filling in forms, understanding instructions, doing basic calculations. Functional literacy, as UNESCO defines it, refers to whether a person can engage in all those activities in which literacy is required for effective functioning in their community. That includes reading and writing simple texts, performing basic numerical operations, and using these skills to accomplish practical tasks. Basic and functional literacy are often treated as the foundation on which other literacies are built, but they're not merely stepping stones. For millions of adults worldwide, achieving functional literacy is a significant, life-changing accomplishment. It opens access to information, participation in civic life, and economic opportunities that would otherwise be out of reach. Even so, functional literacy alone is insufficient for full participation in contemporary society. Being able to read and write does not automatically confer the ability to critically evaluate information, navigate digital platforms, or understand complex texts.

2.2.2 Digital and Media Literacy

Digital and media literacy involves the ability to access, analyse, evaluate, and create messages across digital platforms. As digital technologies have become ubiquitous, the skills needed to navigate online environments have become essential for work, education, and civic participation. Digital literacy covers a range of competencies: using hardware and software, searching for, and evaluating online information, communicating through digital channels, creating digital content. It also involves understanding online safety, privacy, and ethics. Critical media literacy goes further, examining the power dynamics, corporate interests, and ideological forces that shape media production and consumption. Drawing on the critical theory tradition, it encourages learners to interrogate the messages they encounter, ask who produced them and for what purpose, and consider whose perspectives are represented and whose are pushed to the margins. This form of literacy matters enormously in an era of "fake news," algorithmic curation, and corporate consolidation of media ownership. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the importance of digital literacy. As education, work, and social life moved online, the digital divide became starkly visible. Students without reliable

internet access, devices, or digital skills were disproportionately disadvantaged. The experience emphasized that digital literacy is not a luxury but a necessity and that ensuring equitable access to digital literacy education is a matter of social justice.

2.2.3 Cultural Literacy

Cultural literacy refers to familiarity with the knowledge, symbols, and references that enable participation in a given cultural community. The term was popularised by E.D. Hirsch, who argued that cultural literacy shared knowledge of history, literature, and cultural references is essential for effective communication and civic participation. Hirsch's work sparked considerable debate. Critics argued that his list of essential knowledge was Eurocentric, privileging the cultural capital of dominant groups while marginalising the knowledge and experiences of minority communities. More recent scholarship has reframed cultural literacy in more inclusive terms. Torres's framework distinguishes humanistic literacy the capacity to narrate one's own identity and understand one's cultural heritage from public literacy, the capacity for informed civic participation. This distinction recognises that cultural literacy is not about assimilating into a dominant culture but about developing the knowledge and skills needed to participate fully in public life while maintaining one's cultural identity. Cultural literacy carries particular weight in diverse societies like Guyana, where multiple cultural traditions coexist. Understanding and respecting cultural differences, navigating cross-cultural communication, and engaging with diverse perspectives are essential competencies for citizens in a pluralistic democracy. Cultural literacy also involves critical reflection on one's own cultural assumptions and the power dynamics that shape cultural representation.

2.2.4 Multimodal and Multiliteracies

Multimodal and multiliteracies acknowledge that meaning-making happens across multiple modes visual, auditory, gestural, spatial not just linguistic ones. The New London Group's seminal 1996 article, "A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies," argued that effective communication in contemporary society requires facility with diverse representational resources. In a world saturated with images, sounds, and interactive media, the ability to read and produce multimodal texts is essential. Multiliteracies pedagogy emphasises that literacy learning should engage students with a range of semiotic modes and prepare them to traverse the complex communicative designs of contemporary life. This includes traditional print literacy but extends to visual literacy, media literacy, and digital literacy. The concept of "design" is central: learners are seen as designers of meaning who draw on available resources to create texts that serve their purposes. Kress's work on multimodality has been particularly influential. Meaning, he argues, is always constructed through multiple modes, and focusing exclusively on language misses much of what is communicated. In digital environments, for example, meaning is made through the interplay of text, image, sound, layout, and interactivity. Multimodal literacy involves the ability to understand and produce such texts, along with critical awareness of how different modes shape meaning.

2.2.5 Disciplinary and Academic Literacy

Disciplinary and academic literacy focuses on the specialised reading, writing, and reasoning practices of particular fields.

Academic literacy for English for Academic Purposes, for instance, involves genre awareness and discourse-community competence. Each discipline history, science, mathematics, literature has its own ways of reading, writing, and reasoning. Historians read primary sources differently than scientists read research articles. Mathematicians construct proofs differently than literary critics construct arguments. Disciplinary literacy instruction emphasises that students need to learn not just generic reading and writing skills but the specific practices of the disciplines they are studying. This includes understanding how knowledge is constructed in a field, what counts as evidence, how arguments are structured, and what conventions govern communication. Moje's work on disciplinary literacy argues that secondary content-area teachers must be teachers of literacy as well as content, helping students navigate the specialised literacies of their fields. The concept of disciplinary literacy challenges the assumption that literacy is a generic skill that transfers automatically across contexts. Instead, it suggests that literacy is always situated in particular communities of practice and that learning to read and write in a discipline involves socialisation into that discipline's ways of knowing and communicating.

2.2.6 AI-Mediated and Algorithmic Literacies

AI-mediated and algorithmic literacies represent emerging domains. As artificial intelligence systems increasingly mediate communication, scholars argue for integrating AI literacy, prompt literacy, and algorithmic/data literacies into educational frameworks to adopt critical engagement with these technologies. AI literacy involves understanding what AI is, how it works, its capabilities and limitations, and its ethical implications. Prompt literacy involves the ability to communicate effectively with AI systems to generate desired outputs. Algorithmic and data literacies involve understanding how algorithms shape information access and how data is collected, analysed, and used. The rise of generative AI tools like ChatGPT has made these literacies increasingly urgent. Students need to understand how these tools work, what they can and cannot do, and how to use them ethically and effectively. They also need to develop critical awareness of the biases, limitations, and potential harms of AI systems. As AI becomes more embedded in educational, professional, and civic life, AI literacy will become as essential as digital literacy is today.

2.2.7 Health, Financial, and Environmental Literacies

Beyond the types already discussed, contemporary scholarship recognises a range of domain-specific literacies that are essential for navigating modern life. Health literacy involves the ability to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions. Financial literacy involves the knowledge and skills needed to make informed financial decisions, including budgeting, saving, investing, and understanding credit. Environmental literacy involves understanding environmental issues and the interconnections between human activities and natural systems, as well as the skills needed to address environmental challenges. These domain-specific literacies share common features with other literacies: they involve specialised knowledge, skills, and practices; they are socially situated; and they are essential for full participation in contemporary society. Recognising their importance has led to calls for integrating them into educational curricula and for developing assessments that measure these competencies.

2.2.8 Critical Literacy

Critical literacy deserves particular attention as a cross-cutting perspective that informs all other literacy types. Drawing on Freire's pedagogy of the oppressed and subsequent critical theory, critical literacy emphasises that literacy is never neutral but always involves power relations. Critical literacy involves reading the word and the world understanding not just what texts say but how they position readers, whose interests they serve, and what alternative readings are possible. Critical literacy pedagogy encourages learners to question texts, to analyse power relations, and to use literacy as a means of critique and transformation. It involves developing awareness of how language and other semiotic modes are used to construct social realities, legitimate power relations, and marginalise certain perspectives. Critical literacy is not a separate type of literacy but a lens that can be applied to all literacy practices.

In an era of misinformation, propaganda, and algorithmic curation, critical literacy is more important than ever. The ability to evaluate sources, identify bias, and construct counter-narratives is essential for democratic citizenship. Critical literacy education should begin early and continue throughout the lifespan, enabling learners to become active, critical participants in public discourse.

2.3 Synthesis: Literacy as Plural, Situated, and Dynamic

This proliferation of literacy types reflects a fundamental insight: literacy is not one thing but many things, and what counts as literate practice depends on context, purpose, and community. The recognition of multiple literacies has profound implications for education.

Literacy instruction must be responsive to the specific contexts and purposes for which learners will use literacy. Generic literacy skills are insufficient; learners need opportunities to develop the specific literacies they will need in their academic, professional, and personal lives. Assessment must capture the full range of literate competencies. Standardized tests that measure only decoding and comprehension provide a partial picture at best. Authentic assessments that engage learners in real-world literacy tasks provide more meaningful information about their competencies. Literacy education must be critical and empowering. In a world of misinformation, algorithmic curation, and corporate media, learners need not just the ability to read and write but the critical capacity to question, evaluate, and transform. Literacy education should prepare learners to be active participants in their communities and agents of change in their societies. Literacy education must be equitable and inclusive. The proliferation of literacies has not eliminated persistent inequalities in access and outcomes. Learners from marginalised communities often have less access to the resources and opportunities needed to develop advanced literacies. Addressing these inequities requires not just better instruction but broader social and economic changes.

The recognition of multiple literacies is not a rejection of the importance of basic reading and writing skills. These remain foundational. But they are not sufficient. Full participation in contemporary society requires a broad repertoire of literate practices, critical awareness of how literacy works in different contexts, and the ability to move confidently through the complex communicative demands of the twenty-first century.

3. The Psychology of Literacy Development

3.1 Cognitive Dimensions

Literacy acquisition engages a complex array of cognitive processes that work in concert to enable fluent reading and writing. Understanding these processes matters for educators because it provides the foundation for designing instruction that meets learners where they are and helps them develop the full range of competencies they need.

3.1.1 Phonological Awareness

Phonological awareness the ability to perceive and manipulate the sound structures of language is foundational for alphabetic reading. Before children can learn to map letters to sounds, they must first be able to hear and manipulate the individual sounds (phonemes) within spoken words. This metalinguistic awareness does not develop automatically; it requires explicit instruction and practice. Children who enter school with well-developed phonological awareness who can rhyme, segment words into syllables, and identify initial sounds are significantly more likely to become successful readers.

Research has consistently demonstrated that phonological awareness is one of the strongest predictors of later reading achievement. The National Reading Panel's landmark 2000 report identified phonemic awareness as one of the five essential components of reading instruction, alongside phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. Studies have shown that systematic instruction in phonological awareness, particularly when combined with letter-sound instruction, produces significant gains in reading achievement, especially for children at risk for reading difficulties.

The development of phonological awareness follows a predictable sequence. Children first develop awareness of larger units of sound words, syllables, and onsets and rimes before they can manipulate individual phonemes. A child might first recognise that "cat" and "hat" rhyme, then that "cat" has one syllable, and finally that "cat" consists of three separate sounds: /k/, /æ/, and /t/. This progression has important implications for instruction: activities should be matched to the child's current level of phonological awareness, with gradual progression to more difficult tasks.

3.1.2 Orthographic Processing

Orthographic processing enables rapid recognition of written word forms. Once children have established basic phonological awareness and letter-sound knowledge, they begin to develop orthographic representations mental images of how words are spelled. These representations allow skilled readers to recognise words instantly, without having to sound them out letter by letter. This automatic word recognition is essential for fluent reading, as it frees cognitive resources for comprehension.

The development of orthographic processing involves several sub-skills. Children must learn the visual features of letters, understand the conventions of print (left-to-right directionality, spaces between words), and recognise common letter patterns and spelling conventions. They must also develop sensitivity to the statistical regularities of written language which letter sequences are common and which are rare. This sensitivity

develops through extensive exposure to print and is supported by explicit instruction in phonics and spelling. Research on orthographic processing has revealed important individual differences. Some children develop orthographic representations quickly and easily, while others struggle. These differences are associated with variations in phonological processing, visual attention, and print exposure. For children who struggle with orthographic processing, targeted instruction in spelling patterns, word sorts, and repeated reading of connected text can be effective.

3.1.3 Morphological Awareness

Morphological awareness supports vocabulary development and comprehension. Morphology is the study of word structure how words are formed from smaller meaningful units called morphemes. The word "unhappiness," for example, consists of three morphemes: "un-" (not), "happy" (the root), and "-ness" (the state of being). Morphological awareness involves understanding how morphemes combine to create meaning and how they can be manipulated to form new words.

Morphological awareness becomes increasingly important as students encounter more complex texts. In the elementary grades, students encounter mostly simple, high-frequency words. As they progress through school, they encounter increasingly complex vocabulary words with Latin and Greek roots, prefixes, and suffixes that carry specific meanings. Students who understand morphology can use this knowledge to infer the meanings of unfamiliar words, which supports both vocabulary development and reading comprehension.

Research has shown that morphological awareness is related to reading comprehension even after controlling for phonological awareness and vocabulary knowledge. Instruction in morphology teaching students about prefixes, suffixes, roots, and word families has been shown to improve vocabulary and comprehension outcomes. This instruction is particularly beneficial for English language learners, who can use morphological knowledge to unlock the meanings of thousands of words.

3.1.4 Executive Functions

Executive functions, including working memory, inhibition, and cognitive flexibility, underpin the strategic reading behaviours that skilled comprehenders deploy. These higher-order cognitive processes allow readers to plan, monitor, and regulate their reading; to hold information in mind while processing new information; to inhibit irrelevant information; and to shift between different reading strategies as needed. Working memory is particularly important for reading comprehension. Reading requires readers to hold information in mind the meanings of words, the gist of sentences, the overall structure of the text while simultaneously processing new information. Readers with limited working memory capacity may struggle to integrate information across sentences and paragraphs, leading to comprehension difficulties. Research has shown that working memory capacity is correlated with reading comprehension and that interventions designed to improve working memory can produce modest gains in comprehension. Inhibition is also important for reading. Skilled readers must inhibit irrelevant information distracting thoughts, incorrect interpretations, the meanings of words that do not fit the context to focus on the relevant

information. Children with poor inhibitory control may be more easily distracted while reading and may have difficulty suppressing incorrect interpretations. Cognitive flexibility the ability to shift between different mental sets or strategies is essential for strategic reading. Skilled readers adjust their reading strategies based on the text and their purpose. They might skim a text to get the gist, read carefully to understand complex arguments, or reread a passage to clarify confusion. Children with poor cognitive flexibility may persist with ineffective strategies or fail to adjust when comprehension breaks down.

3.1.5 Piaget's Constructivist Theory and Cognitive Development

Piaget's constructivist theory offers a powerful lens for understanding how these cognitive capacities develop. Children actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment, making hypotheses, testing them against experience, and either assimilating new information into existing schemas or accommodating their schemas to fit new data. This process of active construction is central to literacy development.

From a Piagetian perspective, literacy learning is not a matter of passively receiving information but of actively constructing understanding. Children who are learning to read are constantly forming hypotheses about how print works for example, that the first letter of a word corresponds to its initial sound, or that words are separated by spaces. They test these hypotheses against their experiences with print, and they revise them when they encounter contradictory evidence.

Errors in early literacy invented spellings, miscues, unconventional grammatical choices are not failures but windows into the child's current cognitive structures. When a child writes "kat" for "cat," they are demonstrating their understanding of letter-sound correspondence while revealing that they have not yet internalized the conventional spelling pattern. When a child reads "horse" for "house," they are drawing on their existing knowledge and making a reasonable prediction based on the visual information available. These "errors" provide valuable diagnostic information for teachers, revealing what children understand and what they still need to learn.

Piaget's stages of cognitive development also have implications for literacy instruction. In the preoperational stage (ages 2–7), children are developing symbolic thought the ability to use symbols (including letters and words) to represent objects and ideas. This is the period when foundational literacy skills emerge: oral language develops rapidly, children begin to recognise letters and their sounds, and they engage in pretend play that involves literacy behaviours. Instruction during this stage should be concrete, playful, and embedded in meaningful activities.

In the concrete operational stage (ages 7–11), children develop logical thinking about concrete objects and events. They become capable of understanding reversibility, classification, and seriation cognitive achievements that support more advanced literacy skills. They can understand that letters represent sounds, that words can be broken into parts, and that texts have structure. Instruction during this stage can include more explicit teaching of phonics, spelling patterns, and

comprehension strategies. In the formal operational stage (ages 11 and up), children develop abstract reasoning and hypothetical thinking. They can understand complex texts, engage in critical analysis, and construct sophisticated arguments. Instruction during this stage should challenge students to engage with increasingly complex texts, to analyse authorial craft, and to use literacy for inquiry and argumentation.

3.1.6 The Simple View of Reading and Beyond

The Simple View of Reading, proposed by Gough and Tunmer in 1986, provides a useful framework for understanding the cognitive dimensions of reading comprehension. According to this model, reading comprehension is the product of two components: decoding (the ability to translate printed words into speech) and linguistic comprehension (the ability to understand spoken language). Both components are necessary for successful reading comprehension; neither is sufficient alone.

The Simple View has been enormously influential in reading research and has been supported by numerous studies. It helps explain why some children struggle with reading: some have difficulty with decoding (dyslexia), some have difficulty with linguistic comprehension (specific language impairment), and some have difficulty with both. The model also suggests that instruction should address both decoding and comprehension, with the balance depending on the child's specific needs.

The Simple View has been criticised for being too simple, though. Reading comprehension also involves vocabulary knowledge, background knowledge, inference-making, comprehension monitoring, and other cognitive and metacognitive processes. More recent models, such as the Reading Rope (Scarborough, 2001) and the Direct and Indirect Effects Model of Reading (DIER; Kim, 2020), provide more comprehensive accounts of the multiple factors that contribute to reading comprehension. Scarborough's Reading Rope model is particularly useful for educators. It depicts reading as consisting of two intertwined strands: word recognition (including phonological awareness, decoding, and sight recognition) and language comprehension (including background knowledge, vocabulary, language structures, verbal reasoning, and literacy knowledge). As children develop, the strands become increasingly automated and strategic. The model emphasises that all components are necessary for skilled reading and that instruction must address all components.

3.1.7 Neurobiological Foundations

Advances in cognitive neuroscience have provided insights into the brain mechanisms underlying literacy development. Neuroimaging studies have identified brain regions that are consistently involved in reading, including the occipitotemporal region (often called the visual word form area), the temporoparietal region (involved in phonological processing), and the inferior frontal region (involved in articulation and semantic processing). These regions form a distributed network that supports skilled reading. The visual word form area enables rapid recognition of familiar words; the temporoparietal region supports phonological processing and decoding; and the inferior frontal region supports semantic access and articulation. Skilled readers show efficient

communication among these regions, allowing them to read fluently with minimal conscious effort. Research on reading development has shown that these brain regions undergo significant changes as children learn to read. In beginning readers, activation is more diffuse and effortful; as reading becomes more automatic, activation becomes more focal and efficient. Children with reading difficulties, such as dyslexia, often show atypical patterns of brain activation, which can be partially normalised through effective instruction.

These neurobiological findings have important implications for education. They confirm that reading is not a natural process but one that requires explicit instruction and practice. They suggest that effective instruction should target the specific brain systems involved in reading. And they highlight the importance of early intervention: the brain is most plastic early in development, and early instruction is more effective than remediation later.

3.1.8 Implications for Instruction

Understanding the cognitive dimensions of literacy development has several implications for instruction. Instruction should be systematic and explicit. Because literacy is not a natural process, children need explicit instruction in the skills and strategies that skilled readers use. This is particularly important for phonological awareness, phonics, and comprehension strategies. Instruction should be differentiated. Children enter school with widely varying levels of cognitive and linguistic development. Some have strong phonological awareness but limited vocabulary; others have rich vocabulary but weak decoding skills. Effective instruction meets children where they are and provides targeted support. Instruction should be integrated. The cognitive processes involved in literacy are interconnected; instruction that focuses on one component in isolation is less effective than instruction that integrates multiple components. For example, phonics instruction is more effective when it is embedded in meaningful reading and writing activities. Instruction should be responsive. Teachers should use assessment to monitor children's progress and adjust instruction accordingly. This requires ongoing formative assessment and the flexibility to modify instruction based on what children need. Instruction should attend to motivation and engagement. Cognitive processes are necessary but not sufficient for literacy development. Children must also be motivated to read and write, to persist in the face of difficulty, and to see themselves as literate individuals. Instruction that is engaging, meaningful, and relevant to children's lives is more likely to produce these outcomes.

3.2 Social-Emotional and Affective Dimensions

Literacy development is not merely cognitive. While phonological awareness, orthographic processing, and executive functions provide the cognitive architecture for reading and writing, it is the affective domain motivation, self-concept, attitude, and emotional engagement that determines whether and how individuals invest in literate practices. A child may possess the cognitive capacity to read but choose not to; another may struggle cognitively but persist because reading matters deeply to them. Understanding these social-emotional and affective dimensions is therefore essential for effective literacy instruction.

3.2.1 Motivation and Engagement

Motivation is the engine that drives literacy development. Without motivation, even the most carefully designed instruction fails to produce lasting learning. Research has consistently shown that motivation is not a fixed trait but a dynamic construct that is shaped by experience, context, and relationships. Students who are motivated to read read more, and students who read more become better readers a virtuous cycle that begins with positive early experiences.

Ryan and Deci's Self-Determination Theory provides a powerful framework for understanding literacy motivation. According to this theory, intrinsic motivation flourishes when three basic psychological needs are met: autonomy (the sense that one has choice and control), competence (the sense that one is capable and effective), and relatedness (the sense that one is connected to others). In literacy contexts, this translates into opportunities for choice in reading materials, instruction that builds competence through scaffolded success, and classroom communities that substitute connection and belonging.

Research on "ludic readers" those who read for pleasure reveals that positive emotional experiences with reading create self-reinforcing cycles. The child who derives pleasure from stories is motivated to persevere through the challenging early stages of skill acquisition. The child who experiences reading as drudgery, by contrast, may avoid it altogether, depriving themselves of the practice needed to develop fluency and comprehension. How errors are responded to can determine whether a child develops a love of reading or a fear of failure.

3.2.2 Self-Concept and Identity

A reader's self-concept as a learner is inseparable from their literacy trajectory. Children who see themselves as "readers" are more likely to engage in reading, persist through difficulty, and seek out opportunities to read. Children who see themselves as "non-readers" or worse, as "bad readers" may avoid reading, disengage from instruction, and fall further behind.

The concept of "reading identity" has received increasing attention in recent years. Reading identity is not just about skill but about how one sees oneself in relation to reading whether reading is seen as a valued part of one's identity or as something foreign and alien. Research has shown that reading identity is shaped by multiple factors: early reading experiences, family attitudes toward reading, peer norms, teacher expectations, and cultural messages about what counts as "real" reading. A critical insight from this research is that reading identity is not fixed. Children who have negative reading identities can develop more positive ones through supportive experiences being read to by a caring adult, finding books that reflect their interests and experiences, experiencing success with appropriately challenging texts, and being recognised as a reader by others.

3.2.3 The Role of Anticipation and Future Orientation

"Reading occurs, as does all thinking and learning, based on the anticipation of the future." This insight, drawn from research on reading development, highlights the importance of future orientation in literacy motivation. Children who

envision a future in which literacy matters who see adults reading for pleasure, who are surrounded by books and print, whose families express high expectations are more likely to develop active literacy, reading across diverse materials and monitoring their own comprehension.

Children whose environments communicate that reading is unimportant or inaccessible may plateau at functional levels. If a child never sees an adult reading for pleasure, if there are no books in the home, if reading is presented only as a school task rather than a life practice, the child may conclude that reading is not for them. This is not a matter of cognitive ability but of vision the ability to imagine oneself as a reader in the future. The concept of "possible selves" provides a useful framework. Possible selves are the visions people have of who they might become their hopes, fears, and aspirations. Children who have a "possible self" as a reader who can imagine themselves reading for pleasure, succeeding in school, pursuing a career that requires literacy are more likely to invest in literacy development. Children who lack such a vision may see little point in the effort that literacy learning requires.

3.2.4 Emotional Dimensions of Reading

Reading is an emotional experience, not just a cognitive one. The emotions associated with reading curiosity, delight, excitement, suspense, empathy, sadness, joy are not incidental to reading but constitutive of it. When children read stories, they enter into the emotional lives of characters, experience vicarious pleasures and pains, and expand their emotional repertoires.

Research on "emotional engagement" in reading has shown that the emotions experienced during reading are associated with comprehension, memory, and motivation. Texts that evoke strong emotions whether positive or negative are more likely to be remembered and to influence future reading choices. This has implications for instruction: teachers should select texts that evoke emotional responses, create opportunities for students to discuss their emotional responses, and validate the full range of emotions that reading can evoke. Emotional engagement is particularly important for struggling readers. Children who have experienced repeated failure with reading may associate reading with anxiety, shame, or frustration. These negative emotions can create a barrier to engagement that no amount of skill instruction can overcome. Addressing these emotional barriers through creating safe, supportive reading environments; through selecting texts that connect to students' interests and experiences; through celebrating small successes is essential for re-engaging struggling readers.

3.2.5 Anxiety, Shame, and Reading Difficulties

Anxiety is one of the most powerful emotional barriers to literacy development. Reading anxiety the fear of reading, of making mistakes, of being judged can interfere with the cognitive processes involved in reading, creating a vicious cycle in which anxiety impairs performance and impaired performance increases anxiety. Children with reading anxiety may avoid reading, refuse to read aloud, or rush through texts without comprehending them all behaviours that prevent them from developing the skills they need.

Shame is another powerful emotional barrier. Children who struggle with reading may internalize a sense of shame a belief

that they are "stupid" or "not good enough." This shame can be more damaging than the reading difficulty itself, leading children to disengage from learning, hide their difficulties, and develop negative self-concepts that persist into adulthood.

Research on shame in educational contexts has shown that it is often unintentionally reinforced by well-meaning teachers and parents. Practices such as round-robin reading, public correction of errors, and comparison with peers can trigger shame and undermine motivation. By contrast, practices that emphasise effort, celebrate progress, and normalise difficulty can help children develop resilience and maintain positive self-concepts.

3.2.6 The Importance of Relationships

Literacy development occurs in the context of relationships. The relationship between teacher and student, between parent and child, between peers all shape literacy outcomes. Children who have warm, supportive relationships with adults who value literacy are more likely to develop positive attitudes toward reading and writing. Children who experience literacy as a shared, social activity reading with a parent, discussing a book with a friend, writing a story for a grandparent are more likely to see literacy as meaningful and valuable.

Research on "reading relationships" has shown that the quality of the relationship between adult and child during reading interactions is more important than the specific techniques used. Children who experience reading as a warm, responsive, enjoyable activity are more likely to develop positive reading identities and to persist in reading. Children who experience reading as a cold, evaluative, anxiety-provoking activity are more likely to disengage.

Peer relationships also matter. Children who have friends who read, who talk about books, who share reading recommendations, are more likely to read themselves. Classroom communities that value reading where books are displayed, where reading is discussed, where students recommend books to each other create social norms that support literacy development.

3.2.7 The Whole Child in Cultural Context

Recent scholarship emphasises that social-emotional development and academic learning must be considered in an integrated way, honouring and supporting "the whole child in their cultural context." This means recognising that literacy development is not separate from social-emotional development but deeply intertwined with it. Children who feel safe, valued, and connected are more likely to take the risks that literacy learning requires. Children who feel anxious, isolated, or devalued are less likely to engage.

The cultural context is also essential. Different cultures have different values, practices, and expectations around literacy. In some cultures, reading is a solitary, silent activity; in others, it is a social, oral practice. In some cultures, children are expected to listen and learn; in others, they are encouraged to question and discuss. Understanding these cultural dimensions is essential for designing instruction that is responsive to all learners. For multilingual learners, the social-emotional dimensions of literacy are particularly complex. These students may experience anxiety about reading in a new language,

shame about their accent or vocabulary, or alienation from texts that do not reflect their experiences. At the same time, they bring rich linguistic and cultural resources that can be leveraged for literacy learning. Instruction that honours these resources that values bilingualism, that incorporates culturally relevant texts, that creates opportunities for students to use their full linguistic repertoires can support both literacy development and social-emotional well-being.

3.2.8 Implications for Instruction

Understanding the social-emotional and affective dimensions of literacy development has several implications for instruction. Instruction should prioritise motivation and engagement. This means providing choice in reading materials, creating opportunities for social interaction around texts, and connecting literacy to students' interests and lives. It means celebrating progress, not just achievement, and creating classroom cultures that value effort and growth. Instruction should attend to self-concept and identity. Teachers should help students develop positive reading identities by providing diverse texts that reflect their experiences, by recognising and affirming their reading practices, and by creating opportunities for them to see themselves as readers and writers. Instruction should address anxiety and shame. Teachers should create safe, supportive environments where mistakes are seen as learning opportunities, where difficulty is normalised, and where all students feel valued. They should avoid practices that trigger shame and instead use practices that build confidence and resilience. Instruction should encourage positive relationships. Teachers should build warm, supportive relationships with students; create opportunities for peer collaboration around literacy; and engage families as partners in literacy development. Instruction should honour the whole child in cultural context. Teachers should recognise that literacy development is inseparable from social-emotional development and cultural identity. They should design instruction that supports the whole child intellectually, emotionally, socially, and culturally.

3.3 Ecological and Cultural Factors

Literacy development does not occur in a vacuum. It is shaped by the multiple contexts in which learners live, learn, and interact from the intimate spaces of family life to the broad structures of policy and culture. Understanding these ecological and cultural factors is essential for educators who wish to support literacy development in all its complexity.

3.3.1 Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory

Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how multiple layers of context shape literacy development. Bronfenbrenner conceptualised development as occurring within a series of nested systems, each influencing and being influenced by the others. The microsystem consists of the immediate environments in which the child participates the family, the classroom, the peer group, the neighbourhood. These are the settings where literacy experiences first occur and where attitudes toward literacy are formed. Interactions with parents, teachers, and peers shape literacy experiences in profound ways. A child who is read to daily, who sees adults reading for pleasure, and who is encouraged to explore books is developing a foundation for literacy that differs markedly from

that of a child who has none of these experiences. The quality of these microsystem interactions whether they are warm and responsive or cold and evaluative shapes not only literacy skills but also literacy identities and motivations.

The mesosystem refers to the connections between microsystems the relationships between home and school, between family and peers, between school and community. These connections can either support or undermine literacy development. When home literacy practices align with school literacy practices, children experience continuity and build on familiar foundations. When they diverge, children may experience confusion, alienation, or a sense of being caught between two worlds. Schools and community institutions structure opportunities for literacy engagement libraries, after-school programs, community centres, religious institutions and the connections among these institutions shape the literacy ecology of a community.

The exosystem consists of settings in which the child does not directly participate but which nonetheless affect their development parental workplaces, school boards, government agencies, media. Parental employment conditions, for example, determine how much time and energy parents have for literacy activities with their children. School board policies determine what resources are available for literacy instruction. Media environments shape what texts and messages children encounter. These exosystem factors are often invisible to children but profoundly consequential for their literacy development.

The macrosystem encompasses the broader cultural, political, and economic contexts that shape all other systems. Policies, cultural values, and economic conditions determine which literacies are valued and resourced. In societies that value print literacy above all, digital and multimodal literacies may be marginalised. In societies that privilege certain languages or dialects, speakers of other languages or dialects may be disadvantaged. In societies with stark economic inequalities, access to literacy resources books, technology, well-trained teachers is unevenly distributed. The macrosystem sets the parameters within which all other systems operate.

The chronosystem refers to the dimension of time the changes that occur over the lifespan and across historical periods. A child's literacy development is shaped not only by current contexts but by the timing of experiences. A child who experiences a rich literacy environment in the early years may be more resilient in the face of later challenges. A child who experiences trauma or disruption during critical periods of literacy development may face long-term consequences. Historical changes technological advances, policy shifts, economic transformations also shape literacy development. The shift to digital literacy, for example, has transformed what it means to be literate and has created new opportunities and new inequalities.

3.3.2 The Cultural Nature of Literacy

Cultural context is not a backdrop to literacy development but a constitutive dimension of it. Literacy is always embedded in culture in the values, practices, beliefs, and social relationships of particular communities. What counts as literacy, how it is taught, and how it is used are all culturally shaped. Ethnographic research has documented how literacy practices

vary across cultural communities. Heath's classic work, *Ways with Words*, demonstrated that mainstream school literacy practices often align more closely with some communities' home practices than others, creating advantages and disadvantages that are cultural, not cognitive. Heath studied three communities in the Piedmont region of the Carolinas: Roadville, a white working-class community; Trackton, a Black working-class community; and Maintown, a middle-class community. She found that children in these communities were socialised into very different literacy practices.

In Maintown, children were surrounded by books from birth. Parents read to them, asked them questions about stories, and engaged them in conversations that extended beyond the here and now. These practices aligned closely with the expectations of school, where children were expected to answer questions about texts, to narrate stories, and to engage in decontextualized language. Maintown children entered school with cultural capital that gave them a significant advantage.

In Roadville, children were also surrounded by books, but the practices around them were different. Parents read to children but did not engage in the same kind of extended questioning. Reading was more about transmitting moral lessons than about developing comprehension or critical thinking. Roadville children entered school with some familiarity with books but did not have the same facility with the decontextualized language that school required. In Trackton, children were not read to in the same way. Instead, they were immersed in oral storytelling, in the rich verbal play of adults and older children, in a world where language was used for performance, humour, and social connection. Trackton children entered school with sophisticated oral language skills but without familiarity with the specific practices of book reading and school literacy. They were not cognitively disadvantaged but culturally different and the school system was not designed to recognise or build on their strengths. Heath's work has profound implications for educators. It suggests that differences in literacy outcomes are not simply a matter of cognitive ability or effort but of the alignment between home and school practices. Children who come from communities whose literacy practices align with school expectations have a head start; children whose practices differ must bridge a cultural gap. Effective literacy instruction must recognise these differences and build on the strengths that all children bring, rather than treating difference as deficit.

3.3.3 Cultural Variation in Literacy Practices

Cultural variation in literacy practices is not limited to the kinds of practices Heath documented. Across cultures, literacy takes different forms, serves different purposes, and is embedded in different social relationships. In some cultures, literacy is primarily a collective, social activity. Reading and writing are done in groups, and texts are discussed and shared. In others, literacy is a solitary, private activity. These differences shape how children learn to read and write and what they consider literacy to be for.

In some cultures, oral and written traditions are deeply intertwined. Stories are told aloud and written down; written texts are read aloud and discussed. In others, oral and written traditions are more separate. These differences shape the relationship between oral language development and literacy development. In some cultures, children are expected to listen and learn; in others, they are encouraged to question and discuss. These differences shape the kinds of interactions that

occur around texts and the kinds of literacy skills that are developed. These cultural variations are not just interesting ethnographic facts; they have real consequences for literacy instruction. Instruction that assumes a particular cultural model of literacy usually the model of the dominant culture may fail to engage children whose experiences differ. Instruction that recognises and builds on cultural variation, by contrast, can be more effective for all children.

3.3.4 Socioeconomic Factors

Socioeconomic status (SES) is one of the most powerful predictors of literacy outcomes. Children from lower-SES backgrounds consistently score lower on measures of literacy achievement than children from higher-SES backgrounds, and these differences persist into adulthood. Understanding why SES matters and what can be done about it is essential for addressing literacy inequalities. SES shapes literacy development through multiple pathways. SES affects access to resources. Higher-SES families have more books, more technology, and more opportunities for enriching literacy experiences. They can afford tutors, enrichment programs, and educational materials. Lower-SES families often lack these resources. SES affects the quality of early literacy environments. Higher-SES parents tend to engage in more language-rich interactions with their children more talking, more reading, more questioning. They tend to have more education themselves, which is associated with more sophisticated language use. Lower-SES parents may work multiple jobs, have less time and energy for literacy activities, and may themselves have limited literacy skills. SES affects school quality. Schools in lower-SES communities often have fewer resources, less experienced teachers, and higher teacher turnover. They may have larger class sizes, fewer books, and less technology. These factors create conditions that make effective literacy instruction more difficult. SES affects stress and health. Poverty is associated with chronic stress, which can affect cognitive development and literacy outcomes. It is associated with health problems that can interfere with school attendance and learning. It is associated with housing instability, food insecurity, and other factors that disrupt children's lives. These pathways are not deterministic. Many children from lower-SES backgrounds become highly literate, and many children from higher-SES backgrounds struggle. But the odds are stacked against lower-SES children, and addressing these inequalities requires not just better instruction but broader social and economic changes.

3.3.5 Multilingual Learners and Multiple Literacies

For multilingual learners, navigating multiple literacies is a common and complex task. These learners bring rich linguistic and cultural resources to the classroom, but they also face unique challenges as they develop literacy in a new language while maintaining literacy in their first language. Research on multilingual education emphasises the importance of building a foundation in the first language before transitioning to a second. Children who develop strong literacy skills in their first language can transfer many of these skills to their second language. They understand how print works, how stories are structured, how to monitor comprehension knowledge that supports literacy development in any language. Children who are forced to abandon their first language before developing literacy in it may lose this foundation and struggle to develop literacy in either language.

Research also emphasises the importance of providing tailored curricula and books that reflect the experiences and cultures of multilingual learners. When children see themselves and their communities in the texts they read, they are more likely to engage and persist. When texts are only about the dominant culture, multilingual learners may feel alienated and disengaged. Ensuring adequate teacher training is also essential. Teachers of multilingual learners need to understand second language acquisition, cultural variation in literacy practices, and strategies for supporting bilingual development. They need to be able to assess literacy in multiple languages and to distinguish between differences that reflect normal second language development and differences that reflect actual difficulties.

There is no one-size-fits-all solution for multilingual learners. Different learners have different needs depending on their age, their first language, their prior educational experiences, and their current contexts. But policies that respect and leverage linguistic diversity are more effective than those that suppress it. Bilingual education, dual language programs, and culturally responsive instruction have all been shown to support literacy development for multilingual learners.

3.3.6 Community and Family Literacy Practices

Families and communities are not just contexts for literacy development; they are sites of literacy practice in their own right. Research on family literacy has documented the rich and varied literacy practices that occur in homes and communities reading bedtime stories, writing shopping lists, texting friends, reading religious texts, sharing oral stories, engaging with social media.

These practices are often invisible to schools, which tend to recognise only the literacy practices that align with school expectations. But they are real and valuable. Children who grow up in homes where literacy is used for multiple purposes for entertainment, for information, for communication, for spiritual practice develop a broad understanding of what literacy is for and how it works.

Programs that engage families as partners in literacy development have been shown to be effective. These programs recognise that parents are their children's first teachers and that they bring valuable knowledge and skills to the task. They provide parents with information about how to support literacy at home, but they also learn from parents about the literacy practices that are meaningful in their communities. Community literacy practices are also important. Libraries, community centres, religious institutions, and other community organizations provide opportunities for literacy engagement outside of school. These organizations can be powerful partners in literacy development, especially for children who may not have rich literacy environments at home.

3.3.7 Policy and Economic Dimensions

At the macro-level, policies and economic conditions determine which literacies are valued and resourced. Education policies determine what is taught, how it is assessed, and who has access. Language policies determine which languages are used in schools and which are marginalised. Technology policies determine who has access to digital tools and who is left behind.

Economic conditions shape literacy development in multiple ways. Economic development creates opportunities for literacy jobs that require literacy, media that assume literacy, social institutions that depend on literacy. Economic inequality creates disparities in access to literacy resources. Economic crises can disrupt literacy development, as families struggle to meet basic needs and schools struggle to maintain services. These policy and economic dimensions are often invisible to teachers and students, but they shape what happens in classrooms. A teacher may be doing excellent work with the resources available, but those resources are determined by policies and economic conditions beyond their control. Addressing literacy inequalities requires attention to these macro-level factors as well as to classroom practice.

3.3.8 Implications for Instruction

Understanding the ecological and cultural factors that shape literacy development has several implications for instruction. Instruction should recognise that literacy development is shaped by multiple contexts. Teachers should understand the home and community literacy practices of their students and build on these practices rather than ignoring or devaluing them. Instruction should be culturally responsive. This means selecting texts that reflect the experiences and cultures of all students, using instructional practices that align with students' cultural ways of learning, and creating classroom communities that value diversity. Instruction should engage families and communities. Teachers should communicate with families about literacy development, involve them in supporting literacy at home, and partner with community organizations to provide literacy opportunities beyond the classroom. Instruction should address inequalities. Teachers should be aware of the ways in which SES, language background, and other factors shape literacy outcomes and should work to provide equitable opportunities for all students. Instruction should recognise the assets that all students bring. Multilingual learners, students from lower-SES backgrounds, and students from marginalised communities bring valuable linguistic, cultural, and experiential resources to the classroom. Instruction that builds on these assets is more effective than instruction that treats them as deficits.

4. Psychological Theories and Literacy Instruction

4.1 Cognitive Developmental Theory

Psychological theories provide the conceptual foundations upon which effective literacy instruction is built. They offer explanations for how individuals learn to read and write, why some learners struggle, and what teachers can do to support development. Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development represents one of the most influential frameworks for understanding how children learn. Piaget proposed that children actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment, progressing through a series of qualitatively distinct stages of cognitive development. His theory highlights that learners are not passive recipients of information but active agents who make hypotheses, test them against experience, and revise their understanding based on what they discover. Piaget's constructivist theory offers a powerful lens for understanding how cognitive capacities develop. Children actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment, making hypotheses, testing them against experience, and either assimilating new information into

existing schemas or accommodating their schemas to fit new data. This process of active construction is central to literacy development. From a Piagetian perspective, literacy learning is not a matter of passively receiving information but of actively constructing understanding. Children who are learning to read are constantly forming hypotheses about how print works for example, that the first letter of a word corresponds to its initial sound, or that words are separated by spaces. They test these hypotheses against their experiences with print, and they revise them when they encounter contradictory evidence.

Piaget identified four major stages of cognitive development, each characterised by qualitatively different ways of thinking. The sensorimotor stage (birth to 2 years) is characterised by learning through sensory experience and motor action. Infants and toddlers explore the world through touching, tasting, looking, and listening. While formal literacy instruction is not appropriate at this stage, foundational experiences with language and print begin here. Babies who are talked to, sung to, and read to are developing the oral language and print awareness that will support later literacy.

The preoperational stage (2 to 7 years) is characterised by the development of symbolic thought the ability to use symbols (including letters and words) to represent objects and ideas. This is the period when foundational literacy skills emerge: oral language develops rapidly, children begin to recognise letters and their sounds, and they engage in pretend play that involves literacy behaviours. Children at this stage are egocentric in their thinking and have difficulty taking the perspective of others, which affects their ability to understand texts that require perspective-taking. Instruction during this stage should be concrete, playful, and embedded in meaningful activities.

The concrete operational stage (7 to 11 years) is characterised by the development of logical thinking about concrete objects and events. Children become capable of understanding reversibility, classification, and seriation cognitive achievements that support more advanced literacy skills. They can understand that letters represent sounds, that words can be broken into parts, and that texts have structure. Instruction during this stage can include more explicit teaching of phonics, spelling patterns, and comprehension strategies.

The formal operational stage (11 years and up) is characterised by the development of abstract reasoning and hypothetical thinking. Adolescents become capable of thinking about possibilities, considering multiple perspectives, and engaging in systematic problem-solving. They can understand complex texts, engage in critical analysis, and construct sophisticated arguments. Instruction during this stage should challenge students to engage with increasingly complex texts, to analyse authorial craft, and to use literacy for inquiry and argumentation.

Piaget's concept of schemas mental frameworks that organise knowledge has been enormously influential in understanding reading comprehension. Schema theory, developed by cognitive psychologists building on Piaget's work, proposes that comprehension involves activating relevant schemas and integrating new information with existing knowledge. Readers understand texts by relating them to what they already know. When readers encounter a text about a familiar topic dinosaurs, for example they activate their dinosaur schema, which

includes knowledge about different types of dinosaurs, what they ate, where they lived, and so on. This schema helps them make sense of the text, fill in gaps, and remember what they read. When readers encounter a text about an unfamiliar topic, they lack the relevant schema and may struggle to comprehend.

Schema theory has important implications for instruction. Teachers should activate students' prior knowledge before reading. This can be done through discussion, questioning, brainstorming, or other activities that help students connect what they already know to what they are about to read. Teachers should build background knowledge for students who lack it. If students are going to read about a topic they know little about, teachers should provide the necessary background through read-alouds, videos, discussions, or other means. Teachers should help students develop rich, interconnected schemas. This means providing opportunities for wide reading, for discussing texts, and for making connections across texts and subjects. Teachers should teach students to monitor their comprehension and to recognise when they lack the schema needed to understand a text. This metacognitive awareness is essential for strategic reading.

Piaget's concepts of assimilation, accommodation, and equilibration describe how cognitive structures change through interaction with the environment. Assimilation occurs when new information is incorporated into existing schemas. Accommodation occurs when existing schemas are modified to fit new information. Equilibration is the process of achieving balance between assimilation and accommodation. When children encounter texts that match their existing schemas, they can assimilate the information easily. When they encounter texts that challenge their schemas, they must accommodate modify their understanding to make sense of the new information. This accommodation is where learning occurs, but it can also be uncomfortable.

Teachers should provide a balance of texts that match students' existing schemas (for fluency and confidence) and texts that challenge their schemas (for growth and learning). They should create safe environments where students feel comfortable being challenged and where making mistakes is seen as part of learning. They should help students recognise when their existing understanding is insufficient and support them as they revise their schemas.

Errors in early literacy invented spellings, miscues, unconventional grammatical choices are not failures but windows into the child's current cognitive structures. When a child writes "kat" for "cat," they are demonstrating their understanding of letter-sound correspondence while revealing that they have not yet internalised the conventional spelling pattern. When a child reads "horse" for "house," they are drawing on their existing knowledge and making a reasonable prediction based on the visual information available. These "errors" provide valuable diagnostic information for teachers, revealing what children understand and what they still need to learn. Rather than simply correcting errors, teachers should seek to understand the logic behind them, using them as opportunities to scaffold the child's construction of more sophisticated understanding. This requires a shift from a deficit perspective focusing on what the child cannot do to a developmental perspective that recognises the child's active sense-making. A child who writes "went" as "wnt" is

demonstrating phonological awareness (hearing the sounds in the word) but has not yet learned the conventional spelling pattern. A teacher who recognises this can provide instruction in the specific spelling pattern while celebrating the child's phonological insight. A child who reads "house" as "home" is making a semantic prediction based on meaning rather than decoding the actual word. A teacher who recognises this can provide instruction in decoding strategies while acknowledging the child's comprehension monitoring.

Piaget's theory has been influential in shaping the concept of developmentally appropriate practice instruction that is matched to children's cognitive developmental levels. Instruction should be matched to children's current developmental levels. Activities that are too easy lead to boredom; activities that are too difficult lead to frustration. Teachers should assess children's developmental levels and design instruction that is challenging but achievable. Instruction should recognise that children at different developmental levels think differently. Preoperational children, for example, have difficulty taking the perspective of others, so texts that require perspective-taking may be challenging. Concrete operational children can think logically about concrete objects but struggle with abstract concepts, so instruction should be concrete and hands-on. Instruction should provide opportunities for active exploration and discovery. Children learn best when they are actively engaged in making meaning, not passively receiving information. Teachers should create environments rich in literacy materials and provide time for exploration, experimentation, and play. Instruction should be flexible and responsive. Children develop at different rates, and instruction should be adapted to individual needs. Some children may be ready for more advanced work; others may need more support. While Piaget's theory has been enormously influential, it has also been criticised and revised. Research has shown that children are more cognitively capable at earlier ages than Piaget believed. Even infants have some understanding of objects and causality; pre-schoolers can engage in more sophisticated reasoning than Piaget acknowledged. The stage model has been criticised for being too rigid. Development is more continuous and variable than Piaget proposed. Children may show characteristics of different stages at different times or in different domains. Piaget focused on individual construction of knowledge and neglected the social and cultural contexts in which learning occurs. Vygotsky and other sociocultural theorists have addressed this limitation. Piaget did not focus specifically on language and literacy development, and his theory does not fully explain how children learn to read and write.

Despite these limitations, Piaget's core insight that children actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment remains foundational for literacy instruction. His emphasis on developmentally appropriate practice, active learning, and understanding children's thinking continues to shape educational practice. Piaget's cognitive developmental theory has several practical implications for literacy instruction. Teachers should create rich literacy environments. Children learn about literacy by interacting with literacy materials. Classrooms should be filled with books, writing materials, and other literacy resources. Children should have time to explore these materials and to engage in literacy activities of their own choosing. Teachers should provide opportunities for active construction. Children learn best when they are actively engaged in making meaning. Instruction

should provide opportunities for children to make hypotheses, test them, and revise them. This means providing opportunities for writing, discussion, and inquiry, not just passive reception of information. Teachers should match instruction to developmental levels. Instruction should be challenging but achievable. Teachers should assess children's developmental levels and design instruction that is appropriate. This may mean providing different activities for different children or scaffolding instruction so that all children can participate. Teachers should use errors as learning opportunities. Errors reveal children's current understanding and provide opportunities for instruction. Teachers should create environments where errors are expected and valued as part of learning, and they should use errors diagnostically to inform instruction. Teachers should emphasise concrete, hands-on experiences. Especially for younger children, instruction should be concrete and hands-on. Children should manipulate letters, write in sand, build words with tiles, and engage in other multisensory activities that support literacy learning. Teachers should support the transition to abstract thinking. As children develop, instruction should support the transition from concrete to abstract thinking. This means providing opportunities for children to think about language and texts in increasingly abstract ways to analyse, synthesise, and evaluate.

4.2 Sociocultural Theory

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory represents a fundamental shift in understanding how learning occurs. While Piaget emphasised the individual child's active construction of knowledge through interaction with the physical environment, Vygotsky emphasised that learning is fundamentally social and mediated by cultural tools, especially language. For Vygotsky, the child is not a solitary scientist discovering the world but a social being who learns through participation in culturally organised activities with more knowledgeable others. This perspective has profound implications for literacy instruction. Vygotsky's central claim is that higher mental functions including attention, memory, reasoning, and literacy originate in social interaction and are progressively internalised by the individual. As Vygotsky argued, "every function in the child's cultural development appears twice: first, on the social level, and later, on the individual level; first, between people (inter-psychological), and then inside the child (intra-psychological)."

This claim has radical implications for understanding literacy. Reading and writing are not natural, biologically predetermined activities like walking or talking. They are cultural inventions that must be learned through social interaction. Children learn to read and write by participating in literacy activities with more knowledgeable others: parents, teachers, siblings, peers who model literate behaviours, provide assistance, and gradually withdraw support as competence develops.

Consider a young child learning to read. Initially, the child sits with a parent or teacher who reads aloud, points to words, asks questions, and provides explanations. The child participates in the activity, perhaps turning pages, repeating words, or answering questions. Over time, the child takes on more responsibility reading some words independently, then phrases, then sentences. Eventually, the child can read independently, having internalised the cognitive processes that were originally enacted in social interaction.

This process of internalisation is not simply copying. The child transforms what is social into something personal, adapting the literacy practices encountered in social interaction to their own purposes and contexts. The child who learned to read with a parent may later read independently for pleasure, using the skills and dispositions developed in that social context. Vygotsky emphasised that learning is mediated by cultural tools: the artifacts, symbols, and sign systems that humans create and use to interact with the world. Language is the most important cultural tool, but literacy itself is also a cultural tool, as are the various technologies of reading and writing (books, pencils, computers, etc.). Mediation means that humans do not interact directly with the world but through cultural tools. When we read, we are not simply decoding marks on a page; we are using a cultural tool: written language that has been developed over centuries and that carries with it particular ways of thinking and communicating. When we write, we are using the same tool to create meaning and communicate with others.

The concept of mediation has important implications for literacy instruction. Instruction should make the tools of literacy explicit. Children need to learn not just how to read and write but also what literacy is for, how it works, and how it can be used. They need to understand that reading and writing are tools for communication, expression, learning, and participation in society. Instruction should provide opportunities for children to use literacy tools for authentic purposes. Children learn to use tools by using them, not by learning about them in the abstract. They need opportunities to read and write for real reasons to communicate with others, to learn about topics that interest them, to express their ideas and feelings. Instruction should recognise that different cultural tools have different affordances and constraints. Printed books, digital texts, and multimodal compositions each offer different possibilities for meaning-making. Children should have opportunities to use a range of tools and to understand how different tools shape communication.

The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) is perhaps Vygotsky's most famous concept. Vygotsky defined the ZPD as "the distance between the actual developmental level as determined by independent problem solving and the level of potential development as determined through problem solving under adult guidance or in collaboration with more capable peers." The ZPD provides a framework for understanding scaffolding: the support that teachers and more knowledgeable others provide to help learners accomplish tasks they could not accomplish independently.

Guided reading is a practice in which the teacher works with a small group of students who are at similar reading levels. The teacher selects a text that is slightly challenging at the students' instructional level rather than their independent level and provides support as students read. The teacher may introduce vocabulary, activate background knowledge, model strategies, and provide prompts and cues as students read. As students become more proficient, the teacher gradually withdraws support, moving them toward independent reading. Interactive writing is a practice in which teacher and students compose a text together. The teacher and students discuss what to write, and the teacher writes some parts while students write others. The teacher provides support sounding out words, discussing spelling patterns, modelling sentence structure while students contribute their ideas and take on increasing responsibility for

the writing. Interactive writing makes the process of writing visible and provides scaffolded practice in the skills and strategies of writing. Dialogic teaching is a practice in which teacher and students engage in extended dialogue about texts. Rather than simply asking questions to which the teacher knows the answer, the teacher engages students in genuine inquiry posing open-ended questions, inviting multiple perspectives, and helping students build on each other's ideas. Dialogic teaching supports comprehension by making thinking visible and by providing opportunities for students to articulate, defend, and revise their interpretations.

The concept of scaffolding, developed by Wood, Bruner, and Ross (1976) building on Vygotsky's ZPD, has become central to literacy instruction. Scaffolding refers to the temporary support that teachers provide to help learners accomplish tasks they could not accomplish independently. Like the scaffolding used in construction, instructional scaffolding is temporary it is removed as the learner becomes more competent. Effective scaffolding is contingent it is responsive to the learner's current level of performance. The teacher observes what the learner can do and provides just enough support to enable success. If the learner struggles, the teacher provides more support; if the learner succeeds, the teacher withdraws support. Scaffolding is graduated it involves a gradual transfer of responsibility from teacher to learner. The teacher begins by modelling and demonstrating, then moves to guided practice, then to independent practice. At each stage, the learner takes on more responsibility. Scaffolding is dialogic it involves ongoing dialogue between teacher and learner. The teacher provides prompts, cues, and questions that help the learner think through the task. The learner's responses inform the teacher's next move. Scaffolding is aimed at the ZPD it is designed to help learners accomplish tasks that are just beyond their independent capability. If tasks are too easy, scaffolding is unnecessary; if tasks are too difficult, scaffolding may be insufficient. Scaffolding is faded it is gradually removed as the learner becomes more competent. The goal is independence, not dependence on the teacher's support.

The concept of internalisation is particularly relevant for literacy instruction. Internalisation is the process by which social, external activities become internal, psychological processes. Vygotsky argued that all higher mental functions begin as social interactions and are gradually internalised. For literacy, this means that reading and writing begin as social activities reading with a parent, writing with a teacher and are gradually internalised as individual cognitive processes. The child who reads with a parent is not just learning to decode words; they are internalising the cognitive processes involved in reading predicting, questioning, monitoring comprehension, making connections. The child who writes with a teacher is not just learning to spell; they are internalising the cognitive processes involved in writing planning, drafting, revising, editing. Internalisation is not a simple copying of external processes. It is a transformation. The child who internalises reading does not just replicate the parent's reading behaviours; they develop their own reading processes, shaped by their experiences, purposes, and contexts.

Vygotsky emphasised that language is not just a means of communication but also a tool for thought. Through language, children learn to regulate their own thinking to plan, monitor, and evaluate their cognitive processes. This process, which Vygotsky called "private speech" and later "inner speech," is

essential for the development of self-regulation. In literacy, language serves multiple functions. It is the medium through which literacy is taught teachers explain, model, and discuss. It is the content of literacy texts are made of language. And it is a tool for thinking about literacy children use language to talk about texts, to discuss strategies, to reflect on their reading and writing. Instruction should provide rich opportunities for talk about literacy. Children should discuss texts, share interpretations, ask questions, and articulate their thinking. This talk is not just a way of checking comprehension; it is a way of developing comprehension. Instruction should model the language of literacy. Teachers should use terms like "predict," "infer," "summarise," and "evaluate," and they should explain what these terms mean. They should make their own thinking visible through think-alouds, demonstrating how skilled readers and writers use language to guide their cognitive processes. Instruction should encourage children to use language to regulate their own literacy. Children should be taught to ask themselves questions as they read, to talk themselves through writing tasks, and to use self-talk to monitor and guide their performance.

While Vygotsky emphasised the role of adults in learning, he also recognised the importance of peer collaboration. Working with peers who are slightly more advanced can provide scaffolded support that enables learning. Literature circles are small groups of students who read the same text and discuss it together. Students take on different roles discussion director, word wizard, connector, illustrator that structure their participation and support comprehension. Literature circles provide opportunities for students to learn from each other, to articulate their thinking, and to develop social skills. Writing groups are groups of students who share their writing and provide feedback to each other. Students learn to give and receive constructive criticism, to revise their work based on feedback, and to develop their own critical eye. Writing groups provide authentic audiences for student writing and opportunities to learn from peers. Reciprocal teaching is a structured approach in which students take turns leading discussions about texts. Students are taught four strategies predicting, questioning, clarifying, and summarising and then take turns leading the group through these strategies. Reciprocal teaching provides scaffolded practice in comprehension strategies and opportunities for students to learn from each other. Collaborative writing involves students working together to compose texts. They might brainstorm together, draft together, or revise together. Collaborative writing provides opportunities for students to learn from each other's strengths, to negotiate meaning, and to develop communication and collaboration skills.

In sociocultural theory, the teacher plays a crucial role as a more knowledgeable other who guides learning. The teacher is not a transmitter of information but a mediator of learning someone who creates conditions for learning, provides scaffolded support, and gradually transfers responsibility to the learner. Effective teachers in a sociocultural framework create a supportive learning environment. They build warm, trusting relationships with students and create classroom communities where students feel safe to take risks and make mistakes. They assess students' ZPDs. They observe what students can do independently and what they can do with support. They use this information to design instruction that is challenging but achievable. They provide scaffolded support. They model, demonstrate, prompt, cue, question, and explain. They adjust

their support based on students' responses, providing more support when needed and withdrawing it as students become more competent. They engage students in dialogue. They engage students in extended dialogue about texts, asking open-ended questions, inviting multiple perspectives, and helping students build on each other's ideas. They foster peer collaboration. They create opportunities for students to work together, to learn from each other, and to develop social and communication skills. They promote self-regulation. They teach students to monitor their own comprehension, to use strategies independently, and to regulate their own learning.

While sociocultural theory has been enormously influential, it has also been criticised and revised. Sociocultural theory emphasises social processes but has been criticised for not adequately addressing individual differences in learning. Children differ in their prior knowledge, cognitive abilities, motivation, and learning styles, and these differences affect how they respond to social interaction. Some critics argue that sociocultural theory overemphasises social processes at the expense of individual cognitive processes. While social interaction is important, learning also involves individual cognitive processing attention, memory, reasoning that sociocultural theory does not fully explain. Concepts like ZPD and scaffolding are powerful but can be difficult to operationalise in practice. Teachers may struggle to identify students' ZPDs, to provide appropriate scaffolding, and to know when to withdraw support. Sociocultural theory was developed in a particular cultural context and may not fully capture how learning occurs in all cultures. Different cultures have different ways of organising learning, and sociocultural theory may not adequately account for this diversity.

Despite these limitations, sociocultural theory remains foundational for literacy instruction. Its emphasis on the social nature of learning, on scaffolding, and on the role of cultural tools continues to shape educational practice. Sociocultural theory has several practical implications for literacy instruction. Teachers should make literacy social. Provide opportunities for students to read and write with others to discuss texts, to collaborate on writing, to share their work. Create classroom communities where literacy is a shared, social activity. Teachers should provide scaffolded support. Model strategies, provide prompts and cues, and gradually withdraw support as students become more competent. Use guided reading, interactive writing, and other scaffolded instructional practices. Teachers should engage students in dialogue. Use dialogic teaching to engage students in extended discussions about texts. Ask open-ended questions, invite multiple perspectives, and help students build on each other's ideas. Teachers should use language as a tool for thought. Teach students to use language to regulate their own literacy to ask questions, to monitor comprehension, to plan and revise their writing. Make thinking visible through think-alouds and discussions. Teachers should foster peer collaboration. Create opportunities for literature circles, writing groups, reciprocal teaching, and collaborative writing. Help students learn from each other and develop social and communication skills. Teachers should recognise the cultural nature of literacy. Understand that literacy practices vary across cultures and that students bring diverse literacy experiences to the classroom. Build on students' home literacy practices rather than ignoring or devaluing them. Teachers should support multilingual learners. Recognise that multilingual learners bring rich linguistic resources to the classroom. Provide opportunities for

them to use their first languages and to develop literacy in multiple languages.

4.3 Constructivism and Social Constructivism

Constructivism is not a single theory but a family of theories that share a common assumption: learners actively construct knowledge rather than passively receiving it. This assumption, which can be traced to Piaget's work on cognitive development, has profound implications for literacy instruction.

Constructivism rests on several core principles that distinguish it from other learning theories. Knowledge is constructed, not transmitted. Learners do not simply absorb information from teachers or texts; they actively build understanding by connecting new information to existing knowledge, making inferences, and generating their own explanations. Learning is an active process. Learners must engage with content manipulating it, questioning it, applying it rather than passively receiving it. Knowledge is personally constructed. While there may be shared understandings within a community, each learner constructs their own understanding based on their prior knowledge, experiences, and perspectives. Learning is situated. What is learned is shaped by the context in which it is learned, including the tasks, tools, and social interactions involved.

These principles have direct implications for literacy instruction. If knowledge is constructed, then teaching cannot be a matter of simply telling students about reading and writing. Students must actively construct their understanding of how literacy works how sounds map to letters, how texts are structured, how meaning is made. If learning is active, then students need opportunities to engage with literacy to read, write, discuss, and inquire rather than passively listening to explanations. If knowledge is personally constructed, then instruction must be responsive to individual learners, recognising that they bring different prior knowledge and experiences to the task. If learning is situated, then instruction should provide authentic contexts for literacy real texts, real purposes, real audiences.

Piaget's constructivism emphasises that children actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment. For literacy instruction, this implies that children need opportunities to experiment with language, to make and test hypotheses about how print works, and to engage with materials that match their developmental level. From a Piagetian perspective, the teacher's role is not to transmit knowledge but to create conditions for discovery and to scaffold the learner's active construction of understanding. This means providing rich literacy environments books, writing materials, opportunities to engage with print and allowing children to explore these materials at their own pace. It means providing opportunities for children to make and test hypotheses about how print works for example, writing words and checking whether they look right, reading words and checking whether they make sense. And it means matching instruction to children's developmental levels, providing materials and activities that are challenging but achievable. A Piagetian literacy classroom might look like this: Children choose books to read from a well-stocked library. They write in journals, using invented spelling to express their ideas. They engage in projects that integrate reading, writing, and other modes of expression. The teacher observes, provides materials,

asks questions, and offers support when needed. The emphasis is on exploration, discovery, and construction of understanding. Social constructivism shares with Piaget the emphasis on active knowledge construction but extends this by emphasising that knowledge is co-constructed through dialogue and collaboration. Drawing on Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, social constructivists argue that learning is fundamentally social that knowledge is constructed through interaction with others, not in isolation.

In a social constructivist literacy classroom, dialogue between teacher and students, and among peers, is not merely facilitative but formative. It is through dialogue that students construct their understanding of texts, develop their interpretations, and learn the strategies and dispositions of skilled readers and writers. The teacher models "think-aloud" strategies, demonstrating how skilled readers make sense of texts. Students practice predicting, questioning, and summarising strategies that skilled readers use in collaboration with peers. And gradually, students assume control of these cognitive processes, internalising what was first accomplished socially. Social constructivism also emphasises the role of cultural tools in learning. Language, texts, and literacy practices are cultural tools that mediate learning. Students learn to use these tools by participating in culturally organised activities reading groups, writing workshops, literature circles where more knowledgeable others provide guidance and support. Over time, students internalise these tools and use them independently.

In social constructivist literacy classrooms, dialogue is not just a technique for checking comprehension; it is the medium through which learning occurs. Through dialogue, students articulate their thinking, hear the perspectives of others, negotiate meaning, and construct new understanding. Consider a literature discussion. Students have read a short story and are discussing it in a small group. The teacher has provided open-ended questions "What did you notice about the character's behaviour?" "Why do you think the author ended the story this way?" and students are sharing their interpretations. One student notices a detail that others missed. Another student disagrees with an interpretation and explains why. A third student connects the story to a personal experience. Through this dialogue, students are not just sharing opinions; they are constructing meaning together, building on each other's ideas, and developing their understanding of the text. The teacher's role in this dialogue is crucial. The teacher listens carefully, asks probing questions, provides information when needed, and helps students build on each other's ideas. The teacher may also model thinking "I noticed that the author used a lot of descriptive language in this paragraph. That made me think about how the character was feeling." By making thinking visible, the teacher provides a model that students can emulate. The contrast between information-processing models, whole-language approaches, and social constructivism illuminates different assumptions about the roles of teachers and students. Information-processing models draw on cognitive psychology and conceptualise reading as a set of information-processing operations decoding, encoding, retrieving, integrating. In these models, the teacher is active and directive while the student follows. The teacher presents information, models strategies, and provides practice opportunities. The student receives information, practices skills, and demonstrates learning. Instruction is often explicit, systematic, and teacher-directed. Assessment focuses on discrete skills and sub-skills.

Information-processing models have been influential in literacy instruction, particularly in the "science of reading" movement, which emphasises explicit instruction in phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. These models have strong empirical support and have been shown to be effective, particularly for struggling readers. However, critics argue that they can be too teacher-centred, neglecting the active role of the learner and the social nature of learning. Whole-language approaches emerged as a reaction against skills-based instruction. Drawing on constructivist and psycholinguistic theories, whole-language approaches emphasise that children learn to read and write through authentic engagement with meaningful texts. In these approaches, the student discovers meaning through interaction with a rich print environment, with the teacher as facilitator. The teacher creates a literate environment, provides opportunities for reading and writing, and supports students as they engage with texts. The student chooses texts, reads for meaning, and writes for real purposes. Instruction is often implicit, integrated, and student-centred. Assessment focuses on authentic literacy performances. Whole-language approaches have been influential in early literacy instruction, particularly in the 1980s and 1990s. They emphasise the importance of meaning, authenticity, and student choice. However, critics argue that they can be too implicit, neglecting the systematic instruction that some students need to develop foundational skills.

Social constructivism draws on both constructivist and sociocultural theories. Like whole language, it emphasises active construction of knowledge and authentic literacy activities. But it goes further in emphasising the social nature of learning the role of dialogue, collaboration, and cultural tools. In social constructivist classrooms, both teachers and students are active negotiating meaning, co-constructing knowledge, and engaging in the dialogic processes through which higher-order literacy is developed. The teacher's role in social constructivism is multifaceted. The teacher is a facilitator who creates conditions for learning. The teacher is a model who demonstrates strategies and makes thinking visible. The teacher is a collaborator who engages in dialogue with students. The teacher is a scaffold who provides support and gradually withdraws it as students become more competent. The student's role is equally active. Students engage in dialogue, collaborate with peers, construct meaning, and gradually assume control of their own learning.

Social constructivism has inspired a range of instructional practices that are widely used in literacy classrooms. Reading workshops are structured periods during which students choose their own books, read independently, and participate in discussions about their reading. The teacher provides mini-lessons on reading strategies, confers with individual students, and facilitates group discussions. Reading workshops embody constructivist principles by giving students choice, providing authentic reading experiences, and creating opportunities for dialogue and collaboration. Writing workshops are similar in structure to reading workshops. Students choose their own topics, draft and revise their writing, and share their work with peers. The teacher provides mini-lessons on writing strategies, confers with individual students, and facilitates peer response groups. Writing workshops embody constructivist principles by giving students ownership of their writing, providing authentic writing experiences, and creating opportunities for feedback and collaboration. Literature circles are small groups

of students who read the same text and discuss it together. Students take on different roles discussion director, word wizard, connector, illustrator that structure their participation and support comprehension. Literature circles embody constructivist principles by giving students responsibility for their own learning, creating opportunities for dialogue and collaboration, and supporting the construction of meaning through social interaction. Inquiry-based learning engages students in investigating questions that matter to them. Students might research a topic of interest, conduct experiments, or create projects that demonstrate their learning. Inquiry-based learning embodies constructivist principles by giving students ownership of their learning, providing authentic purposes for literacy, and creating opportunities for exploration and discovery. Project-based learning engages students in extended projects that integrate reading, writing, and other modes of expression. Students might create a class newspaper, produce a video documentary, or design a museum exhibit. Project-based learning embodies constructivist principles by providing authentic purposes for literacy, creating opportunities for collaboration, and supporting the integration of skills and knowledge.

In constructivist classrooms, the teacher's role is fundamentally different from traditional instruction. The teacher is not the sole source of knowledge but a facilitator, model, collaborator, and scaffold. As facilitator, the teacher creates conditions for learning. This means designing environments that are rich in literacy materials, providing opportunities for choice and exploration, and creating a classroom culture that values inquiry, collaboration, and risk-taking. As model, the teacher demonstrates strategies and makes thinking visible. This means thinking aloud as they read and write, sharing their own reading and writing processes, and modelling the strategies and dispositions of skilled readers and writers. As collaborator, the teacher engages in dialogue with students. This means listening carefully to students' ideas, asking probing questions, providing information when needed, and helping students build on each other's thinking. As scaffold, the teacher provides support and gradually withdraws it as students become more competent. This means providing prompts, cues, and explanations; adjusting support based on students' responses; and gradually transferring responsibility to students.

While constructivism has been enormously influential, it has also been criticised. Some critics argue that constructivist approaches neglect the explicit instruction in foundational skills phonological awareness, phonics, fluency that some students need to become proficient readers. The "reading wars" of the 1980s and 1990s pitted whole-language advocates against phonics advocates, with each side claiming empirical support. More recent consensus, reflected in the "science of reading" movement, emphasises the importance of both explicit skill instruction and authentic literacy experiences. Constructivist approaches assume that students have prior knowledge on which to build. But students from disadvantaged backgrounds may lack the background knowledge that constructivist activities require. Instruction that assumes prior knowledge may leave these students behind. Constructivist instruction is challenging to implement well. It requires teachers who are skilled in facilitating dialogue, managing collaborative groups, and scaffolding learning. It requires time, resources, and support that many schools lack. Constructivist approaches were developed in particular cultural contexts and may not be appropriate for all cultures. Some cultures

emphasise teacher-directed instruction and may find constructivist approaches unfamiliar or uncomfortable. Constructivist approaches emphasise authentic assessment portfolios, projects, performances rather than standardized tests. While these assessments may be more meaningful, they are also more difficult to administer, score, and use for accountability purposes.

Constructivism and social constructivism have several practical implications for literacy instruction. Teachers should provide opportunities for active construction. Students should engage actively with literacy reading, writing, discussing, inquiring rather than passively receiving information. Instruction should provide opportunities for students to make meaning, test hypotheses, and construct understanding. Teachers should create rich literacy environments. Classrooms should be filled with books, writing materials, and other literacy resources. Students should have opportunities to choose what they read and write, and to engage in literacy activities that matter to them. Teachers should foster dialogue and collaboration. Instruction should provide opportunities for students to engage in dialogue about texts, to collaborate on writing and projects, and to learn from each other. Dialogue should be open-ended, exploratory, and respectful of diverse perspectives. Teachers should make thinking visible. Teachers should model thinking through think-alouds, sharing their own reading and writing processes, and making the strategies of skilled readers and writers explicit. Students should be encouraged to articulate their own thinking and to learn from the thinking of others. Teachers should scaffold learning. Teachers should provide support that is contingent, graduated, dialogic, and faded. They should observe what students can do, provide just enough support to enable success, and gradually withdraw support as students become more competent. Teachers should provide authentic literacy experiences. Students should read and write for real purposes and real audiences. They should engage with authentic texts not just basal readers and produce authentic writing not just worksheets. Teachers should balance explicit instruction and authentic engagement. Effective literacy instruction combines explicit instruction in foundational skills with opportunities for authentic reading and writing. Neither alone is sufficient; both are necessary.

5. Historical Evolution of Literacy Instruction

The history of literacy instruction is a story of shifting assumptions about what literacy is, who should have access to it, how it should be taught, and what purposes it should serve. Understanding this history matters for educators, not as a matter of antiquarian interest but because current practices are shaped by past debates, and current debates often replay older ones.

5.1 From Orality to Writing to Print

Walter Ong's work on orality and literacy traced the profound cognitive and cultural shifts associated with the transition from oral to written communication. Ong argued that writing, by "technologizing the word," enabled new forms of thought detached, analytic, linear that were not available in purely oral cultures. In oral cultures, knowledge had to be memorable, formulaic, and embedded in narrative and ritual. In literate cultures, knowledge could be abstract, analytical, and systematically organised.

Ong identified several key differences between oral and literate cultures. In oral cultures, thought is additive rather than subordinative ideas are strung together in sequence rather than organised hierarchically. Thought is aggregative rather than analytic ideas are expressed in clusters and formulas rather than broken down into components. Thought is redundant rather than economical repetition is valued because it aids memory. Thought is close to the human lifeworld rather than abstract knowledge is embedded in concrete situations and human concerns. Thought is agonistically toned oral cultures value verbal combat and debate. Thought is empathetic and participatory rather than objectively distanced listeners identify with the speaker and the story. And thought is homeostatic oral cultures maintain stability by adapting knowledge to current needs.

Ong's work has been enormously influential in understanding the cognitive and cultural consequences of literacy. It has also been criticised for being too dichotomous, painting oral and literate cultures as starkly different when the reality is more complex. Subsequent research has shown that oral and literate practices often coexist and intertwine, and that the effects of literacy depend on the specific practices in which it is embedded.

Scribner and Cole's research in Liberia complicated any simple equation of literacy with cognitive advancement. Studying the Vai people, who had developed their own script and used it for specific purposes keeping records, writing letters Scribner and Cole found that literacy did not automatically produce generalised cognitive benefits. The Vai who were literate in Vai script did not perform better on cognitive tasks than those who were not, except on tasks that were directly related to their literacy practices. Scribner and Cole's findings challenged the assumption that literacy is a single, transferable skill that automatically enhances cognitive abilities. Instead, they showed that the effects of literacy depend on the specific practices in which literacy is embedded. Literacy in Vai script, used for record-keeping and letter-writing, produced different cognitive effects than literacy in English, used in formal schooling. This insight has profound implications for literacy instruction: it suggests that what matters is not literacy per se but the specific practices in which literacy is embedded.

The social history of literacy reveals that literacy has never been evenly distributed. Throughout history, literacy has been a marker of social status, a tool of power, and a site of struggle. In ancient societies, literacy was restricted to scribal elites who served religious, governmental, and commercial functions. In medieval Europe, literacy was largely confined to clergy and nobility. The invention of the printing press in the fifteenth century dramatically expanded access to literacy, but literacy rates remained low until the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, when universal schooling became a goal.

The history of literacy is also a history of resistance. Enslaved people in the Americas were often forbidden to learn to read and write, and those who did risked severe punishment. Women were long excluded from formal education and denied access to literacy. Working-class people were often denied the literacy education that would have enabled them to challenge their social conditions. The struggle for literacy has been, and continues to be, a struggle for power and liberation. The invention of the printing press by Johannes Gutenberg in the mid-fifteenth century was a watershed moment in the history

of literacy. Before the printing press, books were hand-copied, expensive, and rare. The printing press made books cheaper, more abundant, and more accessible. This had profound consequences for literacy, education, religion, politics, and culture. The printing press enabled the Protestant Reformation by making Bibles available in vernacular languages, challenging the authority of the Catholic Church. It enabled the Scientific Revolution by facilitating the rapid dissemination of new ideas and findings. It enabled the Enlightenment by creating a public sphere in which ideas could be debated and shared. And it enabled the rise of mass literacy by making printed materials available to broader segments of the population. The printing press also standardised languages and created new genres. The availability of printed texts led to the standardisation of spelling, grammar, and vocabulary. It also led to the development of new genres the novel, the newspaper, the encyclopaedia that shaped how people thought about the world.

5.2 Behaviourist and Skills-Based Approaches

The early twentieth century saw the rise of behaviourist approaches to literacy instruction, emphasising drill, repetition, and reinforcement of discrete skills. Behaviourism, developed by John B. Watson and B.F. Skinner, held that learning is a matter of stimulus-response associations that are strengthened through reinforcement. Complex behaviours, including reading and writing, were seen as chains of simpler behaviours that could be taught sequentially and measured objectively. Behaviourist approaches to literacy instruction broke reading into component sub-skills phonics, sight words, comprehension questions that were taught sequentially and measured through standardized assessments. Instruction was teacher-directed, explicit, and systematic. Students practiced skills through drills and worksheets, and their progress was monitored through frequent testing.

Behaviourist approaches had the virtue of clarity and measurability. The objectives of instruction were clearly specified, the methods were systematic, and the outcomes were measurable. Teachers knew what to teach and how to teach it. Students knew what was expected of them. And administrators could track progress and compare results across classrooms and schools. Behaviourist approaches were also effective for teaching foundational skills. Research has consistently shown that explicit, systematic instruction in phonological awareness and phonics is more effective than implicit, incidental approaches, particularly for struggling readers. The "science of reading" movement has drawn on this research to advocate for explicit skill instruction.

However, behaviourist approaches were also criticised. Critics argued that they reduced literacy to a mechanical process divorced from meaning and purpose. Reading was treated as a set of discrete skills decoding, word recognition, comprehension rather than as a meaning-making process. Writing was treated as a set of sub-skills handwriting, spelling, grammar rather than as a communicative act. Students learned to read and write but not to love reading and writing. Critics also argued that behaviourist approaches were teacher-centred and passive. Students were seen as empty vessels to be filled with knowledge, not as active constructors of meaning. Instruction focused on the transmission of information rather than the development of understanding. Students had little choice in what they read or wrote, and little opportunity to

engage in authentic literacy activities. Finally, critics argued that behaviourist approaches were culturally biased. The texts and materials used in instruction often reflected the experiences and values of dominant groups, marginalising students from other backgrounds. The skills taught were those valued by the school, not necessarily those valued by students' communities.

5.3 Whole-Language and Process Approaches

The 1970s and 1980s witnessed a reaction against skills-based instruction in the form of whole-language and process approaches. Drawing on psycholinguistic research and constructivist theory, these approaches emphasised that children learn to read and write through authentic engagement with meaningful texts, rather than through isolated skill practice. Whole language was influenced by the work of psycholinguists like Frank Smith and Kenneth Goodman, who argued that reading is a "psycholinguistic guessing game" in which readers use their knowledge of language and the world to make predictions about text. Goodman's research on "miscue analysis" showed that readers' errors are not random but reflect their active efforts to make meaning. When a child reads "horse" for "house," for example, they are not simply failing to decode; they are making a semantic prediction based on their understanding of the story. Whole language advocates argued that reading and writing should be taught as integrated, meaning-making processes, not as isolated skills. Children should read real books, not basal readers. They should write for real purposes, not just complete worksheets. They should be immersed in a rich print environment that supports their natural development as readers and writers.

The writing process movement, associated with scholars like Donald Graves, Nancie Atwell, and Lucy Calkins, emphasised that writing is a process planning, drafting, revising, editing, publishing rather than a product. Writers need time to explore ideas, to experiment with language, and to revise their work. They need feedback from readers and opportunities to share their writing with authentic audiences. Process writing classrooms were characterised by choice, time, and response. Students chose their own topics, had extended time to write, and received feedback from peers and teachers. Teachers provided mini-lessons on writing strategies, conferred with individual students, and facilitated peer response groups. The emphasis was on developing students' voice, fluency, and confidence as writers.

Whole language and process approaches had the virtue of authenticity. Reading and writing were treated as meaningful activities, not as isolated skills to be mastered. Students read real books and wrote for real purposes. They had choice in what they read and wrote, and they were encouraged to take risks and make mistakes. The classroom environment rich in print, offering choice, encouraging risk-taking was seen as the primary pedagogical resource. These approaches also had the virtue of being student-centred. Students were seen as active constructors of meaning, not passive recipients of information. Instruction focused on developing students' understanding and engagement, not just their skills. Students were encouraged to think critically about texts and to use literacy for their own purposes. However, whole language and process approaches were also criticised. Critics argued that they neglected the explicit instruction in foundational skills that some students need to become proficient readers. The "reading wars" of the

1980s and 1990s pitted whole-language advocates against phonics advocates, with each side claiming empirical support. Research eventually showed that both explicit skill instruction and authentic literacy experiences are important. The National Reading Panel's 2000 report identified phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension as essential components of reading instruction. But it also recognised that these components must be taught in integrated, meaningful ways, not in isolation. Critics also argued that whole language and process approaches were difficult to implement well. They required teachers who were skilled in facilitating discussion, managing collaborative groups, and scaffolding learning. They required time, resources, and support that many schools lacked. And they required a level of student autonomy and motivation that not all students possessed.

5.4 Sociocultural and Critical Turn

The 1990s and 2000s brought the "New Literacy Studies" and critical literacy perspectives. Street, Gee, Luke, and others argued that literacy is not a neutral set of skills but a set of social practices embedded in power relations. The New Literacy Studies shifted attention from individual cognitive processes to social and cultural contexts, from skills to practices, from the classroom to the community. Gee's work on social linguistics and literacies introduced the concept of "Discourses" ways of being, doing, and saying that are intimately tied to literacy practices. He argued that literacy is not just about reading and writing but about mastering the social languages and identities associated with particular communities. Street's distinction between autonomous and ideological models of literacy crystallised a critical insight: literacy is never neutral but always carries cultural assumptions, power relations, and epistemological commitments.

Critical literacy, drawing on Freire's pedagogy of the oppressed, calls for learners not only to read the word but also to read the world to interrogate texts for their ideological assumptions and to use literacy as a means of critique and transformation. Freire argued that literacy education should be liberating, not domesticating. It should help learners understand and challenge oppressive social conditions, not just adapt to them. Critical literacy pedagogy encourages learners to question texts, to analyse power relations, and to use literacy as a means of critique and transformation. It involves developing awareness of how language and other semiotic modes are used to construct social realities, legitimate power relations, and marginalise certain perspectives. Critical literacy is not a separate type of literacy but a lens that can be applied to all literacy practices.

The New London Group's 1996 article, "A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies," extended the sociocultural turn by arguing that literacy education must address the increasing diversity of texts, modes, and cultural contexts in contemporary society. The group argued that effective communication requires facility with diverse representational resources linguistic, visual, auditory, gestural, spatial and that literacy education must prepare students to navigate these multiple modes. Multiliteracies pedagogy emphasises that literacy learning should engage students with a range of semiotic modes and prepare them to navigate the complex communicative landscapes of contemporary life. This includes traditional print literacy but extends to visual literacy, media literacy, and

digital literacy. The concept of "design" is central: learners are seen as designers of meaning who draw on available resources to create texts that serve their purposes. The sociocultural and critical turn has several implications for literacy instruction. Literacy instruction must attend to the social and cultural contexts in which literacy is embedded. Literacy is not just a set of skills but a set of practices that vary across contexts and communities. Instruction must recognise and build on the literacy practices that students bring from their homes and communities. Literacy instruction must be critical. Students need not just the ability to read and write but the critical capacity to question, evaluate, and transform. Literacy education should prepare students to be active participants in their communities and agents of change in their societies. Literacy instruction must be culturally responsive. Instruction should draw on students' cultural backgrounds and experiences, use texts that reflect diverse perspectives, and create classroom communities that value diversity. Literacy instruction must address multiple modes and media. Students need opportunities to engage with and produce multimodal texts that combine language, image, sound, and movement. They need to understand how different modes shape meaning and how to use multiple modes to communicate effectively.

5.5 Digital and AI-Mediated Literacies

The twenty-first century has seen the emergence of digital, multimodal, and now AI-mediated literacies. The shift to remote learning during the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated recognition that literacy must include the capacity to navigate digital platforms, evaluate online information, and communicate across multiple modes. Digital literacy involves the ability to access, analyse, evaluate, and create messages across digital platforms. It encompasses a range of competencies: using hardware and software, searching for and evaluating online information, communicating through digital channels, and creating digital content. It also involves understanding issues of online safety, privacy, and ethics. The digital turn has transformed what it means to be literate. In a world of social media, blogs, wikis, and video-sharing platforms, literacy involves not just consuming texts but producing them. It involves not just reading and writing but navigating, curating, and remixing. It involves not just print literacy but digital, visual, and media literacies.

Digital technologies have also made possible new forms of multimodal communication. In digital environments, meaning is made through the interplay of text, image, sound, layout, and interactivity. Multimodal literacy involves the ability to understand and produce such texts, as well as critical awareness of how different modes shape meaning. Kress's work on multimodality has been particularly influential. He argues that meaning is always constructed through multiple modes and that focusing exclusively on language misses much of what is communicated. In digital environments, for example, meaning is made through the interplay of text, image, sound, layout, and interactivity. Multimodal literacy involves the ability to understand and produce such texts, as well as critical awareness of how different modes shape meaning. More recently, the rise of generative AI has prompted calls for AI literacy, prompt literacy, and algorithmic literacies to be integrated into educational frameworks. AI literacy involves understanding what AI is, how it works, its capabilities and limitations, and its ethical implications. Prompt literacy

involves the ability to communicate effectively with AI systems to generate desired outputs. Algorithmic and data literacies involve understanding how algorithms shape information access and how data is collected, analysed, and used. The rise of generative AI tools like ChatGPT has made these literacies increasingly urgent. Students need to understand how these tools work, what they can and cannot do, and how to use them ethically and effectively. They also need to develop critical awareness of the biases, limitations, and potential harms of AI systems. As AI becomes more embedded in educational, professional, and civic life, AI literacy will become as essential as digital literacy is today.

The COVID-19 pandemic was a watershed moment for digital literacy. As schools around the world closed and instruction moved online, the digital divide became starkly visible. Students without reliable internet access, devices, or digital skills were disproportionately disadvantaged. This experience underscored that digital literacy is not a luxury but a necessity and that ensuring equitable access to digital literacy education is a matter of social justice. The pandemic also accelerated the development of new pedagogical practices. Teachers learned to use video conferencing, learning management systems, and digital collaboration tools. They developed new ways of engaging students online, assessing learning remotely, and supporting students who were struggling. These practices are likely to persist, in some form, even after the pandemic ends.

The emergence of digital and AI-mediated literacies has several implications for literacy instruction. Instruction must include digital literacy. Students need opportunities to develop the skills and dispositions needed to navigate digital environments searching, evaluating, communicating, creating. They need to understand issues of online safety, privacy, and ethics. Instruction must include multimodal literacy. Students need opportunities to engage with and produce multimodal texts that combine language, image, sound, and movement. They need to understand how different modes shape meaning and how to use multiple modes to communicate effectively. Instruction must include critical digital literacy. Students need not just technical competence but critical awareness of how digital technologies shape communication, knowledge, and power. They need to be able to evaluate online information, identify bias, and understand the algorithmic systems that shape their online experiences. Instruction must include AI literacy. Students need to understand what AI is, how it works, its capabilities and limitations, and its ethical implications. They need to be able to use AI tools effectively and ethically, and to think critically about the role of AI in society. Instruction must address the digital divide. Ensuring equitable access to digital literacy education requires addressing the material conditions that create digital inequality access to devices, internet connectivity, and technology support. It also requires addressing the skills and dispositions that enable effective use of digital technologies.

6. Implications for Literacy Instruction and Intervention

The theoretical and empirical insights examined in this paper carry profound implications for how literacy is taught and how struggling learners are supported. Four interconnected domains of practice emerge: balancing skills and meaning, culturally responsive and adaptive teaching, assessment as learning, and technology integration. Together, these domains constitute a comprehensive framework for literacy instruction that is

effective, equitable, and responsive to the demands of the twenty-first century.

6.1 Balancing Skills and Meaning

Effective literacy instruction must balance explicit attention to foundational skills with opportunities for authentic, meaningful engagement with text. This principle may seem self-evident, yet it has been the subject of one of the most contentious debates in the history of literacy education the so-called "reading wars" that pitted phonics advocates against whole-language advocates in the 1980s and 1990s.

The reading wars were characterised by a false dichotomy. One side argued that reading is a set of discrete skills phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary that must be taught explicitly and systematically. The other side argued that reading is a meaning-making process that develops naturally when children are immersed in rich literacy environments. Each side claimed empirical support; each side accused the other of harming children.

The resolution of this debate, if resolution it can be called, is that both sides were partly right. Research has consistently shown that explicit, systematic instruction in phonological awareness and phonics is more effective than implicit, incidental approaches, particularly for struggling readers. The National Reading Panel's 2000 report identified phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension as essential components of reading instruction. This finding has been reaffirmed by subsequent research, including the "science of reading" movement that has gained prominence in recent years. But research has also shown that skills instruction alone is insufficient. Children who learn to decode but not to comprehend, who can read words but not understand texts, have not become literate. Comprehension requires vocabulary knowledge, background knowledge, inference-making, comprehension monitoring, and strategic reading behaviours capacities that develop through engagement with meaningful texts, not through isolated skill practice. Skills instruction that is divorced from meaning fails to develop the motivational and strategic dimensions of literacy. Children who experience reading as a series of meaningless drills may learn to decode but not to love reading. They may develop the skills but not the disposition to use them. And in the long run, disposition matters as much as skill: the child who reads voluntarily will continue to develop as a reader, while the child who reads only when required will plateau.

The "science of reading" movement has reasserted the importance of systematic phonics and phonological awareness, particularly for struggling readers. Drawing on decades of cognitive and neuroscientific research, the movement argues that reading is not a natural process unlike speaking, which develops spontaneously in most children and that explicit instruction is necessary for most children to become proficient readers. Instruction should be explicit. Teachers should directly teach the skills and strategies that skilled readers use, rather than expecting children to discover them on their own. This includes teaching phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension strategies. Instruction should be systematic. Skills should be taught in a logical sequence, with each skill building on those that came before. Phonics instruction, for example, should begin with simple letter-sound correspondences and progress to more complex

patterns. Instruction should be cumulative. Skills should be reviewed and reinforced over time, so that children retain what they have learned. This means providing ongoing opportunities for practice and application. Instruction should be diagnostic. Teachers should assess children's skills and use the results to inform instruction. This means identifying which children are struggling and providing targeted support. Instruction should be responsive. Teachers should adjust instruction based on children's responses. If a child is struggling with a particular skill, the teacher should provide additional support; if a child has mastered a skill, the teacher should move on. These principles are essential for effective literacy instruction, particularly for struggling readers. They must be implemented in ways that do not reduce literacy to a mechanical process, though. Skills are not ends in themselves; they are tools for meaning-making.

The challenge for educators is to integrate skills instruction with meaningful engagement with text. This means teaching skills in the context of authentic reading and writing activities, not in isolation. It means providing opportunities for children to apply the skills they are learning to real texts and real purposes. It means creating classroom environments that are both structured and rich, both explicit and exploratory. Several instructional approaches embody this integration. Balanced literacy combines explicit skill instruction with authentic reading and writing activities. A balanced literacy program might include read-alouds, shared reading, guided reading, independent reading, word study, interactive writing, and independent writing. Each component serves a purpose: read-alouds build vocabulary and comprehension; guided reading provides scaffolded practice in reading strategies; word study develops phonological and orthographic knowledge; writing workshop develops composition skills. Structured literacy is an approach that emphasises explicit, systematic instruction in the structure of language phonology, orthography, morphology, syntax, and semantics. Structured literacy is particularly effective for students with dyslexia and other reading difficulties. But structured literacy is not just about skills; it also emphasises comprehension, fluency, and the application of skills to meaningful texts. Comprehensive literacy instruction addresses all components of literacy phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension, and writing in an integrated way. It provides explicit instruction in skills, but it also provides abundant opportunities for children to read and write for real purposes. It creates a classroom culture that values literacy and supports all learners.

Skills instruction must be accompanied by attention to motivation and engagement. Children who are motivated to read read more, and children who read more become better readers. This virtuous cycle begins with positive early experiences with literacy. Research on motivation identifies several factors that support engagement. Choice matters. Children are more motivated when they have choice in what they read and write. Classrooms should provide abundant opportunities for choice choice of books, choice of topics, choice of genres. Competence matters. Children are more motivated when they experience success. Instruction should be designed to provide achievable challenges, with scaffolded support that enables success. Teachers should celebrate progress, not just achievement. Relatedness matters. Children are more motivated when they feel connected to others. Classrooms should be communities where literacy is shared where children read together, discuss together, and write for

real audiences. Purpose matters. Children are more motivated when they see the purpose of literacy. Instruction should connect literacy to children's lives, interests, and aspirations. Children should see literacy as a tool for achieving their goals and expressing their identities.

The balance of skills and meaning is particularly important for struggling readers. These students often need more explicit, systematic instruction in foundational skills than their peers. But they also need more opportunities for meaningful engagement with text opportunities to experience the pleasure of reading, to see themselves as readers, and to develop the motivation to persist. Intervention for struggling readers should therefore be both intensive and engaging. It should provide explicit instruction in the skills the student lacks, but it should also provide abundant opportunities for the student to read and write for real purposes. It should address not just cognitive factors but also motivational and emotional factors anxiety, shame, self-concept that can interfere with learning.

Effective interventions for struggling readers include one-to-one tutoring, which allows the teacher to tailor instruction to the student's specific needs. Research has shown that one-to-one tutoring is one of the most effective interventions for struggling readers. Small-group instruction provides more individual attention than whole-class instruction and allows students to learn from each other. Guided reading groups, literature circles, and strategy groups are examples of small-group instruction. Intensive, systematic phonics instruction is often needed by struggling readers more explicit, more systematic than their peers receive. This instruction should be provided in addition to, not instead of, meaningful reading and writing activities. Repeated reading of familiar texts builds fluency and confidence. Struggling readers benefit from multiple opportunities to read the same text until they can read it fluently and with understanding. Assistive technology text-to-speech software, audiobooks, speech-to-text tools can help struggling readers access texts and demonstrate their learning. Technology should be used to support, not replace, instruction in foundational skills.

6.2 Culturally Responsive and Adaptive Teaching

Standardized curricula cannot meet the needs of all students. Students bring diverse linguistic repertoires, cultural backgrounds, and life experiences to the classroom. A curriculum designed for one group of students may be inappropriate for another. A text that engages one student may alienate another. A strategy that works for one learner may fail for another. This is not to say that standardisation is always bad. Standards provide common goals and expectations; they ensure that all students have access to challenging content; they enable comparisons across schools and districts. But standards must be implemented in ways that are responsive to local contexts and individual learners. Adaptive teachers "fully embrace robust forms of diversity, viewing students as individuals with rich linguistic and cultural backgrounds." They use standardized curricula where appropriate, but they adapt to local needs. They recognise that teaching is not a matter of simply delivering a curriculum but of constructing learning experiences that are meaningful and accessible for all students. Adaptive teaching involves knowing your students. Adaptive teachers take the time to learn about their students their backgrounds, interests, strengths, and needs. They use this knowledge to design instruction that is responsive to who

their students are. Adaptive teaching involves differentiating instruction. Adaptive teachers differentiate instruction content, process, and product to meet the needs of diverse learners. They provide multiple pathways to learning and multiple ways for students to demonstrate what they know. Adaptive teaching involves scaffolding learning. Adaptive teachers provide scaffolded support that is contingent, graduated, and faded. They observe what students can do, provide just enough support to enable success, and gradually withdraw support as students become more competent. Adaptive teaching involves reflecting on practice. Adaptive teachers reflect on their practice, asking what worked, what didn't, and what they might do differently. They use reflection to continuously improve their instruction.

Culturally responsive literacy instruction draws on students' home literacies as resources, connects texts to their experiences, and creates space for diverse perspectives. It recognises that literacy is not a neutral set of skills but a set of social practices embedded in culture and power relations. Culturally responsive literacy instruction involves using culturally relevant texts. Teachers should select texts that reflect the experiences, cultures, and perspectives of all students. This means including texts by and about people from diverse backgrounds not just as supplements but as central to the curriculum. It involves building on home literacies. Teachers should recognise and build on the literacy practices that students bring from their homes and communities. This means understanding that literacy takes different forms in different cultures and that all forms are valuable. It involves creating space for diverse perspectives. Teachers should create classroom communities where diverse perspectives are welcomed and valued. This means encouraging students to share their experiences, to question texts, and to consider multiple interpretations. It involves addressing issues of power and privilege. Teachers should help students understand how literacy is connected to power and privilege. This means examining whose voices are represented in texts, whose are marginalised, and how literacy can be used for both liberation and oppression. It involves engaging families and communities. Teachers should engage families and communities as partners in literacy development. This means communicating with families, involving them in supporting literacy at home, and learning from them about the literacy practices that are meaningful in their communities.

Culturally responsive instruction is particularly important for multilingual learners. These students bring rich linguistic and cultural resources to the classroom, but they also face unique challenges as they develop literacy in a new language. Research on multilingual education emphasises several principles. Building on the first language matters. Children who develop strong literacy skills in their first language can transfer many of these skills to their second language. Instruction should support continued development of the first language while also developing the second. Providing comprehensible input matters. Multilingual learners need input that is understandable language that is slightly above their current level but supported by context, visuals, and explanations. Teachers should use a variety of strategies to make input comprehensible. Creating opportunities for output matters. Multilingual learners need opportunities to use language to speak, read, and write in meaningful contexts. Teachers should provide abundant opportunities for students to practice and apply their developing language skills. Valuing

bilingualism matters. Multilingual learners should be encouraged to maintain and develop their first languages while also developing English. Bilingualism is an asset, not a deficit. Providing culturally relevant texts matters. Multilingual learners need texts that reflect their experiences and cultures. When children see themselves in the texts they read, they are more likely to engage and persist.

6.3 Assessment as Learning

Assessment should serve learning, not merely measure it. This principle, which has been articulated by assessment scholars for decades, has profound implications for how assessment is designed, implemented, and used. Assessment serves multiple purposes. It can be used to measure achievement, providing information about what students have learned and how well they have learned it. This information can be used for accountability purposes to report to parents, to evaluate schools, to inform policy. It can be used to guide instruction, providing information about what students need to learn next. This information can be used to plan instruction, to identify students who need additional support, and to adjust teaching strategies. It can be used to support learning. Assessment can itself be a learning experience. When students engage in assessment activities, they can develop their understanding, practice their skills, and reflect on their learning.

The third purpose assessment as learning is often neglected in practice. Assessment is typically seen as a way of measuring learning after the fact, not as a way of promoting learning in the moment. Research has shown that formative assessment that is used to inform instruction and support learning can significantly improve student outcomes. Formative assessment is assessment that is used to inform instruction and support learning. It is distinguished from summative assessment, which is used to measure achievement at the end of a period of instruction. Formative assessment is ongoing, interactive, and responsive. Formative assessment practices include conferencing, where teachers meet with individual students to discuss their reading and writing. Conferences provide opportunities for teachers to assess students' understanding, provide feedback, and set goals. Observation involves teachers observing students as they read and write, noting their strategies, their struggles, and their progress. Observations provide information that is not captured by standardized tests. Portfolio analysis involves teachers collecting and analysing samples of students' work over time. Portfolios provide evidence of growth and development that is not captured by single-point assessments. Self-assessment involves students assessing their own work, identifying strengths and areas for improvement. Self-assessment develops metacognitive awareness and self-regulation. Peer assessment involves students assessing each other's work, providing feedback and suggestions. Peer assessment develops critical thinking and communication skills.

Assessment that focuses on what students can do with support, rather than what they can do alone, aligns with Vygotskian principles and supports growth. Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) suggests that assessment should identify not just what students can do independently but what they can do with assistance their potential for development. Dynamic assessment, developed by Vygotskian scholars, is an approach that assesses students' learning potential by examining how they respond to support.

In dynamic assessment, the assessor provides prompts, cues, and explanations, and observes how the student responds. The student's responsiveness to support provides information about their ZPD what they are ready to learn next. Dynamic assessment has several advantages over traditional assessment. It provides information about learning potential, not just current achievement. It identifies specific areas where students need support. And it provides a model for how instruction can be differentiated to meet students' needs.

Assessment of multilingual learners presents particular challenges. These students may have strong literacy skills in their first language but limited proficiency in English. Traditional assessments that measure only English literacy may underestimate their abilities. Assessments that are culturally biased may disadvantage them. Effective assessment of multilingual learners involves assessing in both languages. Whenever possible, multilingual learners should be assessed in both their first language and English. This provides a more complete picture of their literacy abilities. It involves using culturally fair assessments. Assessments should be free of cultural bias. This means avoiding items that assume knowledge of a particular culture and using materials that are familiar to students from diverse backgrounds. It involves distinguishing language differences from learning difficulties. It is important to distinguish between differences that reflect normal second language development and differences that reflect actual learning difficulties. This requires knowledge of second language acquisition and careful observation of students' performance over time. It involves using multiple measures. No single assessment can capture the full range of multilingual learners' abilities. Multiple measures observations, portfolios, performance assessments should be used to build a comprehensive picture.

6.4 Technology Integration

Digital tools offer unprecedented opportunities for literacy learning, but also require thoughtful integration. Technology should extend, not replace, rich interaction with text and with others. The promise of technology for literacy learning is considerable. Digital tools can provide access to diverse texts. The internet provides access to an almost infinite variety of texts news articles, blogs, videos, podcasts, social media posts that can engage students with diverse interests and backgrounds. Digital tools can support collaboration. Digital tools enable collaboration across time and space. Students can collaborate on writing projects, discuss texts in online forums, and share their work with authentic audiences. Digital tools can enable multimodal composition. Digital tools enable students to compose texts that combine language, image, sound, and movement. Students can create videos, podcasts, infographics, and interactive stories. Digital tools can provide individualized instruction. Adaptive software can provide individualized instruction, adjusting the difficulty of tasks based on students' responses. Digital tools can support struggling readers. Assistive technology text-to-speech software, audiobooks, speech-to-text tools can help struggling readers access texts and demonstrate their learning. The perils of technology are also considerable. Digital tools can distract. The internet is full of distractions social media, games, videos that can divert students from learning. Digital tools can exacerbate inequality. Not all students have equal access to technology. The digital divide the gap between those who have access to technology and those who do not can exacerbate

existing inequalities. Digital tools can spread misinformation. The internet is full of misinformation fake news, conspiracy theories, propaganda that can mislead students. Digital tools can undermine privacy. Digital tools collect data on students' behaviour, raising concerns about privacy and surveillance. Digital tools can replace human interaction. Technology should extend, not replace, rich interaction with text and with others. Face-to-face discussion, collaborative learning, and human feedback are essential for literacy development.

Critical digital literacy involves not just technical competence but also ethical reasoning, critical evaluation, and equitable access. Students need to learn not just how to use digital tools but how to use them wisely, ethically, and critically. Critical digital literacy involves technical competence. Students need to be able to use digital tools hardware, software, platforms effectively. This includes basic skills like typing, navigating interfaces, and using search engines. It involves information literacy. Students need to be able to find, evaluate, and use information from digital sources. This includes evaluating the credibility of sources, identifying bias, and synthesising information from multiple sources. It involves media literacy. Students need to be able to analyse and evaluate media messages. This includes understanding how media are constructed, how they shape perception, and how they can be used to persuade or manipulate. It involves digital citizenship. Students need to understand their rights and responsibilities as digital citizens. This includes understanding issues of privacy, security, cyberbullying, and online ethics. It involves critical evaluation. Students need to be able to critically evaluate digital tools and platforms. This includes understanding how algorithms shape information access, how data is collected and used, and how technology can perpetuate bias and inequality.

Effective technology integration involves aligning technology with learning goals. Technology should be used to support learning goals, not as an end in itself. Teachers should ask: What do I want students to learn? How can technology help them learn it? It involves providing scaffolded support. Students need support to use technology effectively. Teachers should model technology use, provide guided practice, and offer ongoing support. It involves fostering collaboration. Technology should be used to foster collaboration, not isolation. Teachers should design activities that require students to work together, share ideas, and provide feedback. It involves promoting critical evaluation. Teachers should help students develop critical digital literacy the ability to evaluate information, analyse media, and use technology ethically. It involves addressing the digital divide. Teachers should be aware of inequalities in access to technology and work to ensure that all students have opportunities to use digital tools. This may involve providing access in school, offering technology training, and connecting students with community resources. It involves balancing screen time and offline learning. Technology should not replace offline learning. Students need opportunities to read print books, write by hand, and engage in face-to-face discussion.

The rise of generative AI presents both opportunities and challenges for literacy instruction. AI can provide personalized tutoring, generate writing prompts, offer feedback on writing, and support language learning. AI can help teachers differentiate instruction, assess student work, and develop instructional materials. AI can also generate misinformation, perpetuate bias, and undermine academic integrity. AI can

replace human interaction and feedback, which are essential for literacy development. AI can exacerbate inequality, as not all students have equal access to AI tools. Literacy instruction should help students develop AI literacy understanding what AI is, how it works, its capabilities and limitations, and its ethical implications. Students should learn to use AI tools effectively and ethically, and to think critically about the role of AI in society. Teachers should model ethical AI use, provide guidance on appropriate use, and create opportunities for students to reflect on the implications of AI for literacy and learning.

6.5 Synthesis: A Comprehensive Framework for Literacy Instruction

The four domains of practice examined in this section balancing skills and meaning, culturally responsive and adaptive teaching, assessment as learning, and technology integration constitute a comprehensive framework for literacy instruction. Each domain is essential; none is sufficient alone. Balancing skills and meaning ensures that students develop both the foundational skills and the meaning-making capacities they need to become proficient readers and writers. It recognises that skills are tools for meaning-making, not ends in themselves. Culturally responsive and adaptive teaching ensures that instruction is responsive to the diverse backgrounds, experiences, and needs of all students. It recognises that literacy is not a neutral set of skills but a set of social practices embedded in culture and power relations. Assessment as learning ensures that assessment serves learning, not merely measures it. It recognises that assessment can be a powerful tool for promoting growth and development. Technology integration ensures that students develop the digital and critical literacies they need to thrive in a rapidly changing world. It recognises that technology should extend, not replace, rich interaction with text and with others. Together, these domains provide a vision of literacy instruction that is effective, equitable, and responsive to the demands of the twenty-first century. They provide guidance for teachers, administrators, and policymakers who are working to ensure that all students develop the literacy practices they need for full participation in contemporary society.

7. Conclusion

7.1 Recapitulation of the Argument

Literacy is among the most consequential competencies that education can develop, and it is among the most complex to teach well. This paper has traced the expansion of literacy's conceptual boundaries, the psychological processes that underpin its development, the theories that inform effective instruction, and the historical evolution of pedagogical practice. The through-line is that literacy is not a single skill but a dynamic, socially situated, and multidimensional set of practices. The paper began by examining the evolution of literacy's definition from the narrow conception of reading and writing printed text to a multifaceted construct encompassing digital, media, cultural, critical, multimodal, disciplinary, and AI-mediated literacies. This proliferation reflects a fundamental insight: what counts as literate practice depends on context, purpose, and community. Literacy is not one thing but many things, and effective instruction must prepare learners for the full range of literate practices they will need. The paper then explored the psychology of literacy

development, examining the cognitive, social-emotional, and ecological factors that shape how individuals acquire and deploy literate practices. Cognitive factors phonological awareness, orthographic processing, morphological awareness, and executive functions provide the architecture for reading and writing. Social-emotional factors motivation, self-concept, emotional engagement, and relationships determine whether and how individuals invest in literacy. Ecological factors family, school, community, culture, policy, and economics shape the contexts in which literacy develops.

The paper examined three major psychological theories cognitive developmental theory, sociocultural theory, and constructivism and their implications for literacy instruction. Piaget's theory highlights that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment. Vygotsky's theory emphasises that learning is fundamentally social and mediated by cultural tools. Constructivism and social constructivism extend these insights, emphasising that knowledge is co-constructed through dialogue and collaboration. Together, these theories provide a powerful framework for understanding how literacy develops and how it can be taught. The paper traced the historical evolution of literacy instruction from orality to writing to print, from behaviourist and skills-based approaches to whole-language and process approaches, from sociocultural and critical perspectives to digital and AI-mediated literacies. This history reveals that literacy instruction is always embedded in social, cultural, and political contexts, and that what counts as best practice is always contested and evolving. Finally, the paper synthesised implications for literacy instruction and intervention, examining four interconnected domains of practice: balancing skills and meaning, culturally responsive and adaptive teaching, assessment as learning, and technology integration. Together, these domains constitute a comprehensive framework for literacy instruction that is effective, equitable, and responsive to the demands of the twenty-first century.

7.2 Implications for Educators

For educators in the Cyril Potter College of Education and beyond, these insights carry practical weight. The future teachers who pass through our classrooms will enter diverse settings urban and rural, monolingual and multilingual, well-resourced and under-resourced and will be responsible for helping all their students develop the literacy practices they need for full participation in society. Understanding that literacy encompasses digital, cultural, and multimodal dimensions means designing instruction that prepares students for the full range of literate practices they will need. Teachers must move beyond a narrow focus on print literacy to include digital literacy, media literacy, cultural literacy, critical literacy, and multimodal literacy. They must provide opportunities for students to read, write, and communicate across multiple modes and media. They must prepare students for the literacies of the future, not just the literacies of the past. Recognising the cognitive, affective, and ecological factors that shape literacy development means attending to the whole learner, not just the reading level. Teachers must understand that literacy development is shaped by cognitive processes, emotional experiences, social relationships, cultural contexts, and economic conditions. They must create classroom environments that support the whole child intellectually, emotionally, socially, and culturally. They must recognise that

a student's reading level is only one dimension of their literacy development and that motivation, identity, and engagement are equally important. Drawing on cognitive, sociocultural, and constructivist theories means creating classrooms where learners are active agents in constructing meaning, supported by teachers who scaffold strategically and dialogue intentionally. Teachers must move beyond transmission models of instruction to create classrooms where students are active participants in their own learning. They must provide scaffolded support that is contingent, graduated, and faded. They must engage students in dialogue that makes thinking visible and supports the co-construction of meaning. They must create opportunities for students to read and write for real purposes and real audiences.

Balancing skills and meaning means providing explicit instruction in foundational skills while also providing abundant opportunities for authentic reading and writing. Teachers must recognise that skills are tools for meaning-making, not ends in themselves. They must teach phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension strategies explicitly and systematically. But they must also provide opportunities for students to apply these skills to real texts and real purposes. They must create classroom environments that are both structured and rich, both explicit and exploratory. Culturally responsive and adaptive teaching means recognising that literacy is not a neutral set of skills but a set of social practices embedded in culture and power relations. Teachers must know their students their backgrounds, interests, strengths, and needs. They must select texts that reflect diverse experiences and perspectives. They must build on students' home literacies as resources. They must create classroom communities where diverse perspectives are welcomed and valued. They must address issues of power and privilege, helping students understand how literacy can be used for both liberation and oppression.

Assessment as learning means using assessment to inform instruction and support learning, not just to measure achievement. Teachers must use formative assessment practices conferencing, observation, portfolio analysis, self-assessment, peer assessment to understand students' literacy processes and to plan instruction that meets their needs. They must provide feedback that is specific, timely, and actionable. They must involve students in assessing their own work and the work of their peers. They must use assessment to identify students' Zones of Proximal Development and to plan instruction that supports their development. Technology integration means using digital tools to extend, not replace, rich interaction with text and with others. Teachers must use technology purposefully, aligning it with learning goals. They must develop students' critical digital literacy their ability to find, evaluate, and use information from digital sources; to analyse and evaluate media messages; to understand their rights and responsibilities as digital citizens; and to critically evaluate digital tools and platforms. They must address the digital divide, ensuring that all students have opportunities to use digital tools. They must develop students' AI literacy, helping them understand what AI is, how it works, its capabilities and limitations, and its ethical implications.

7.3 Implications for Teacher Education

These insights have particular implications for teacher education. If future teachers are to be prepared for the

complexities of literacy instruction in the twenty-first century, teacher education programs must provide them with a robust theoretical foundation. Future teachers need to understand the major theories of literacy development and instruction: cognitive developmental theory, sociocultural theory, constructivism, critical literacy, and multiliteracies. They need to understand how these theories inform practice and how they can be applied in diverse classroom contexts. Teacher education must provide deep knowledge of literacy processes. Future teachers need to understand the cognitive, social-emotional, and ecological factors that shape literacy development. They need to understand how children learn to read and write, why some children struggle, and what can be done to support them. Teacher education must provide a broad repertoire of instructional strategies. Future teachers need to know how to teach phonological awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. They need to know how to design and implement reading and writing workshops, literature circles, inquiry-based learning, and project-based learning. They need to know how to differentiate instruction for diverse learners, including multilingual learners, struggling readers, and students with disabilities. Teacher education must develop cultural competence. Future teachers need to understand the cultural dimensions of literacy and how to provide culturally responsive instruction. They need to know how to select diverse texts, build on students' home literacies, and create classroom communities that value diversity. Teacher education must develop assessment literacy. Future teachers need to know how to use assessment to inform instruction and support learning. They need to know how to design and implement formative assessments, how to interpret assessment data, and how to use assessment to plan instruction. Teacher education must develop technological competence. Future teachers need to know how to integrate technology into literacy instruction. They need to know how to use digital tools to support reading, writing, and communication. They need to know how to develop students' critical digital literacy and AI literacy. Teacher education must foster a commitment to lifelong learning. Future teachers need to understand that literacy is constantly evolving and that they will need to continue learning throughout their careers. They need to develop the dispositions and skills for ongoing professional development: reflection, inquiry, collaboration, and adaptability.

7.4 Implications for Policy

These insights also have implications for policy. If we are serious about ensuring that all students develop the literacy practices they need for full participation in society, policymakers must invest in high-quality early literacy education. The early years are critical for literacy development. Policymakers should invest in high-quality preschool programs, well-trained early childhood educators, and resources that support early literacy development. Policymakers must support equitable access to literacy resources. Not all students have equal access to books, technology, and other literacy resources. Policymakers should work to ensure that all students have access to the resources they need to develop literacy. Policymakers must address the digital divide. The digital divide the gap between those who have access to technology and those who do not exacerbates literacy inequalities. Policymakers should invest in broadband infrastructure, provide devices to students who lack them, and support digital literacy education. Policymakers must support

multilingual learners. Multilingual learners bring rich linguistic and cultural resources to the classroom. Policymakers should support bilingual education, dual language programs, and culturally responsive instruction. Policymakers must invest in teacher education and professional development. Teachers are the most important school-based factor in literacy development. Policymakers should invest in high-quality teacher education and ongoing professional development. Policymakers must support research on literacy development and instruction. Our understanding of literacy is constantly evolving. Policymakers should support research that advances our knowledge of how literacy develops and how it can be taught effectively.

7.5 Implications for Research

These insights have implications for research as well. While much is known about literacy development and instruction, many questions remain. Future research should investigate the development of new literacies. As digital and AI-mediated literacies become increasingly important, research is needed on how these literacies develop and how they can be taught. Future research should examine the effectiveness of different instructional approaches. While we know a great deal about what works in literacy instruction, we need more research on how different approaches work for different learners in different contexts. Future research should explore the intersections of literacy and identity. Literacy is intimately connected to identity who we are, who we want to be, and how we see ourselves in relation to others. Research is needed on how literacy development intersects with identity formation, particularly for students from marginalised communities. Future research should investigate the role of motivation and engagement. Motivation and engagement are essential for literacy development, yet we still have much to learn about how to foster them. Future research should examine the impact of technology on literacy development. As technology becomes increasingly embedded in literacy practices, research is needed on how technology shapes literacy development and how it can be used to support learning. Future research should develop more equitable assessments. Current assessments often fail to capture the full range of literate competencies and may disadvantage students from marginalised communities. Research is needed on more equitable assessments.

7.6 A Final Reflection

Literacy remains, as Freire insisted, a means of reading both the word and the world. The task of literacy instruction is to equip learners for both. Reading the word means developing the skills and strategies needed to decode, comprehend, and produce texts. It means learning to navigate the conventions of print and digital media. It means developing fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. It means becoming proficient in the technical dimensions of literacy. Reading the world means developing the critical capacity to question, evaluate, and transform. It means understanding how literacy is connected to power and privilege. It means recognising whose voices are represented and whose are marginalised. It means using literacy as a means of critique and transformation. It means becoming an active, critical participant in public discourse. Both are essential. Literacy instruction that focuses only on skills produces students who can read but not think critically. Literacy instruction that focuses only on critique produces students who can question but not comprehend.

Effective literacy instruction integrates both, preparing students to read the word and the world. This is the challenge and the opportunity that faces educators in the twenty-first century. It is a challenge because literacy is more complex than ever before more modes, more media, more contexts, more demands. It is an opportunity because we now know more than ever before about how literacy develops and how it can be taught effectively. For educators in the Cyril Potter College of Education and beyond, this is our calling. We are preparing the next generation of teachers, who will in turn prepare the next generation of readers, writers, and citizens. We are shaping not just skills but identities, not just competencies but dispositions, not just readers but human beings. The task is urgent. In a world of misinformation, inequality, and rapid change, literacy is more important than ever. It is the foundation for learning, for work, for civic participation, and for personal fulfilment. It is a means of liberation and a tool for transformation. The task is also joyful. There is nothing more rewarding than helping a child discover the pleasure of reading, the power of writing, the thrill of understanding. There is nothing more meaningful than equipping young people with the literacy practices they need to pursue their dreams and contribute to their communities. Literacy is among the most consequential competencies that education can develop. It is also among the most complex to teach well. But it is work worth doing. It is work that matters. And it is work that we can do together.

REFERENCES

- Adams, M. J. (1990). *Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print*. MIT Press.
- Alexander, P. A., & Fox, E. (2019). Reading research in the 21st century: The need for a new synthesis. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(3), 175–192.
- Alvermann, D. E., Unrau, N. J., Sailors, M., & Ruddell, R. B. (Eds.). (2018). *Theoretical models and processes of literacy* (7th ed.). Routledge.
- Aukrust, V. G. (Ed.). (2011). *Learning and cognition*. Elsevier.
- Barton, D., & Hamilton, M. (1998). *Local literacies: Reading and writing in one community*. Routledge.
- Barton, D., Hamilton, M., & Ivanič, R. (Eds.). (2000). *Situated literacies: Reading and writing in context*. Routledge.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1986). *Actual minds, possible worlds*. Harvard University Press.
- Cazden, C. B. (2001). *Classroom discourse: The language of teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.
- Coiro, J., Knobel, M., Lankshear, C., & Leu, D. J. (Eds.). (2008). *Handbook of research on new literacies*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Duke, N. K., & Carlisle, J. (2011). The development of comprehension. In M. L. Kamil, P. D. Pearson, E. B. Moje, & P. P. Afflerbach (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 4, pp. 199–228). Routledge.
- Freire, P., & Macedo, D. (1987). *Literacy: Reading the word and the world*. Bergin & Garvey.
- Gee, J. P. (2015). *Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Graff, H. J. (1987). *The legacies of literacy: Continuities and contradictions in western culture and society*. Indiana University Press.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. Edward Arnold.
- Heath, S. B. (1983). *Ways with words: Language, life, and work in communities and classrooms*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jewitt, C. (2008). Multimodality and literacy in school classrooms. *Review of Research in Education*, 32(1), 241–267.
- Kress, G. (2003). *Literacy in the new media age*. Routledge.
- Kucer, S. B. (2009). *Dimensions of literacy: A conceptual base for teaching reading and writing in school settings* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Lankshear, C., & Knobel, M. (2011). *New literacies: Everyday practices and classroom learning* (3rd ed.). Open University Press.
- Leu, D. J., Kinzer, C. K., Coiro, J., Castek, J., & Henry, L. A. (2013). New literacies: A dual-level theory of the changing nature of literacy, instruction, and assessment. In D. E. Alvermann, N. J. Unrau, & R. B. Ruddell (Eds.), *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (6th ed., pp. 1150–1181). International Reading Association.
- Moje, E. B. (2008). Foregrounding the disciplines in secondary literacy teaching and learning: A call for change. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 52(2), 96–107.
- National Reading Panel. (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction*. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
- New London Group. (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. *Harvard Educational Review*, 66(1), 60–92.
- Nystrand, M. (2006). Research on the role of classroom discourse as it affects reading comprehension. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 40(4), 392–412.
- Ong, W. J. (1982). *Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word*. Methuen.
- Pearson, P. D., & Gallagher, M. C. (1983). The instruction of reading comprehension. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 8(3), 317–344.
- Piaget, J. (1970). *The science of education and the psychology of the child*. Grossman.
- RAND Reading Study Group. (2002). *Reading for understanding: Toward an R&D program in reading comprehension*. RAND Corporation.
- Rogoff, B. (1990). *Apprenticeship in thinking: Cognitive development in social context*. Oxford University Press.
- Scribner, S., & Cole, M. (1981). *The psychology of literacy*. Harvard University Press.
- Street, B. V. (1984). *Literacy in theory and practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Street, B. V. (1995). *Social literacies: Critical approaches to literacy in development, ethnography and education*. Longman.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wells, G. (1999). *Dialogic inquiry: Towards a sociocultural practice and theory of education*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wineburg, S., & Reisman, A. (2015). Disciplinary literacy in history: A toolkit for digital citizenship. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 58(8), 636–639.